A hit-and-run heat shock factor governs sustained histone methylation and transcriptional stress memory
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It has been hypothesized that recent transcriptional activity of a locus may be marked to mediate a modified response following a second stimulus. H3K4me3 and H3K4me2 have been discussed as such chromatin marks. In yeast, H3K4me3 hyper-methylation was proposed to act as a memory of recent transcriptional activity (Ng et al., 2003). It was suggested that elevated H3K4me3 is important for genes to be rapidly switched on and off by environmental stimuli and that it acts to prevent the associated genes from being silenced (Ng et al., 2003). In mammals, H3K4me3 marks genes that are poised for expression (Guenther et al., 2007). Transcriptional stress memory in plants has been described for recurring drought stress (Ding et al., 2012a), hyper-osmotic stress (Sani et al., 2013), and defense priming (Conrath, 2011; Jaskiewicz et al., 2011). Priming refers to the ability for quicker and more effective activation of specific cellular defenses upon a previous exposure to stress (Hilker et al., 2015). Originally used mainly for biotic stress responses, it is now also used to describe abiotic stress responses. In the above-mentioned cases of priming, molecular responses were associated with lasting changes in chromatin modifications. Transcriptional memory following drought stress was correlated with elevated H3K4me3 and stalled RNA polymerase II that is phosphorylated at Ser5 (Ding et al., 2012a). Whether chromatin modifications are involved in priming-like phenomena in response to other abiotic stresses such as HS is unknown. What triggers and maintains the deposition of these plant memory marks remains unclear in all reported cases. In particular, the interaction of such chromatin modifications with the transcription factors that govern the induction or sustained activation of the corresponding loci remains unclear.

Moderate heat stress (HS) allows a plant to acquire thermostolerance and subsequently withstand high temperatures that are lethal to a plant in the naïve state (Mittler et al., 2012). After returning to non-stress temperatures, acquired thermostolerance is maintained over several days, and this maintenance is genetically separable from the acquisition itself (Charng et al., 2006, 2007; Meiri & Breiman, 2009; Yeh et al., 2012; Stief et al., 2014a). We refer to this maintenance of acquired thermostolerance as HS memory. While the molecular events that lead to the acquisition of thermostolerance are relatively well understood, little is known about the mechanism of HS memory. The acquisition of thermostolerance involves the activation of heat shock transcription factors (HSFs) that induce the expression of heat shock proteins (HSPs), which protect cellular proteins from denaturation (Scharf et al., 2012). This HS response is conserved in plants, animals, and fungi (Richter et al., 2010). Beyond its function in the HS response, mammalian HSF1 has important roles in aging and pathologies (Vilhervaara & Sistonen, 2014). Yeast and animals have only one or a few copies of HSF genes, yet many plants contain more than 20 copies with specialized functions. Among the 21 HSFs in Arabidopsis thaliana, so far eight have been shown to act in the responses to HS (Charng et al., 2007; Schramm et al., 2008; Ikeda et al., 2011; Liu et al., 2011; Scharf et al., 2012). Of these, HSFA2 has received special attention, as its expression is highly induced by heat (Nishizawa et al., 2006; Schramm et al., 2006). Interestingly, HSFA2 is not required for the acquisition of thermostolerance, but specifically for its maintenance (Charng et al., 2007). Similar effects have been described for HSA32 (Charng et al., 2006), and miR156 (Stief et al., 2014a). Microarray analyses have identified a number of HS memory-related genes that were classified based on their sustained induction after HS, which lasts for at least 3 days (Stief et al., 2014a). They comprise many small HSPs (such as HSP21, HSP22.0, and HSP18.2), but also ASCORBATE PEROXIDASE 2 (APX2). Their expression pattern is in strong contrast to that of HS-inducible non-memory genes such as HSP70 and HSP101, whose expression peaks soon after HS and declines relatively quickly. HSFA2 was reported to be required for the maintenance of high expression levels of several HS memory-related genes, but not for their induction, suggesting they could be direct targets of HSFA2 (Nishizawa et al., 2006; Charng et al., 2007). This idea was confirmed by in vitro binding studies (Schramm et al., 2006), but so far not in planta. How HS induction is maintained on some HSPs for several days but not on others remains an open question. Also, it is unknown how the molecular responses to a recurring HS during the memory period differ from the responses to the initial HS, that is, whether there is a transcriptional memory in the classical sense.

In general, the term “transcriptional memory” has been used to describe at least two different phenomena (D’Urso & Brickner, 2014). The first phenomenon is where transcriptional response upon a stimulus (such as induction) is modified if the gene was recently active compared to a copy of the gene that was not active before (type 1). An example is transcriptional memory of INO1 in yeast (Light et al., 2010). The second type of transcriptional memory refers to a phenomenon during which gene expression levels are lastingly modified after a transient signal (type 2). A classic example here is the epigenetic silencing of FLC in response to cold by vernalization (Berry & Dean, 2015). During HS memory, a modified re-induction after a second HS would indicate type 1, whereas the sustained induction of HS memory-related genes indicates type 2. For the sake of distinction and clarity, we here refer to type 1 transcriptional memory as such, whereas type 2 transcriptional memory will be referred to as sustained induction.

This study investigates a possible involvement of histone modifications during HS memory and their interaction with HSFA2. We show that HSFA2 is required for the maintenance of induction of HS memory-associated genes and directly binds to these loci in planta. Interestingly, this binding occurs transiently during the first few hours after HS. In addition, we identify sustained H3K4me3 and H3K4me2 as chromatin marks that discriminate HS memory-related genes from other HS-inducible genes. Elevated H3K4me3 and H3K4me2 levels persist after HSFA2 association with the locus has declined. Loci that maintain very high levels of H3K4 methylation show an increased response after a recurring HS 2 days after the primary HS, consistent with the definition of transcriptional memory. Thus, HS memory consists of two components, first, the sustained induction of HS memory-associated genes, and second, differential response upon recurring HS. Both components are associated with elevated levels of H3K4me3 and H3K4me2 and depend on functional HSFA2. In summary, our study identifies H3K4 methylation as a HS memory mark and reveals its dependency on a transiently binding transcription factor.

Results

Prolonged expression of HS memory-related genes is associated with changes in chromatin modifications

We hypothesized that sustained activation of HS memory-related genes may be associated with changes in chromatin modifications
and that such modifications may prime the plant for recurring HS. To test this hypothesis, we investigated H3K4me3, H3K4me2, and H3K9 acetylation (H3K9ac) at the HSP22.0 and HSP70 loci as representatives of the HS memory-related and non-memory HS-inducible genes, respectively.

We analyzed histone modifications in the A. thaliana accession Col-0 by chromatin immunoprecipitation followed by quantitative real-time PCR (ChIP-qPCR) 4, 28 and 52 h after an acclimatising HS (ACC), consisting of 60 min at 37°C, 90 min recovery at 23°C, 45 min at 44°C (Stief et al., 2014a). A temperature of 44°C is commonly used in A. thaliana HS studies (Yeh et al., 2012). In nature, full insolation causes leaf temperatures to rise much higher than air temperatures (Salisbury & Spomer, 1964). During the 2 days following ACC, HSP22.0 transcript levels remain elevated while HSP70 transcript levels are elevated only during the first 28 h (Stief et al., 2014a). For both genes, we analyzed one genomic region at the 5’-end of the gene and one flanking region about three kb away in an intergenic region. For H3K9ac, we observed strong enrichment at 4 h that was specific for the genic region at both loci (Fig 1). This enrichment declined rapidly in HSP70, where it was no longer significantly enriched at 28 h and undetectable at 52 h relative to no-NS (NHS) control conditions (Fig 1B). In contrast, H3K9ac at HSP22.0 declined more slowly and was still significantly enriched at 52 h (Fig 1A). We next investigated H3K4me3 levels at HSP70. A moderate enrichment (threefold) was observed 4 h after ACC that declined over the next 2 days and returned to baseline levels by 52 h. In contrast, HSP22.0 showed strongly elevated H3K4me3 levels relative to NHS (up to 75-fold enrichment). These remained very highly elevated over the course of the experiment. For H3K4me2, no HS-dependent changes were observed at the HSP70 locus (Fig 1B). However, at HSP22.0, enrichment of H3K4me2 was observed following HS. Interestingly, this modification accumulated only at the later time points (28, 52 h), while at 4 h no significant enrichment compared to the NHS control was found. Together, these results indicate that H3K4me3 and H3K4me2 accumulated on HSP22.0 especially toward the later phases during HS memory, when expression and acetylation levels have declined (Figs 1A and 2A). Thus, given their sustained enrichment in comparison with H3K9ac, H3K4me3 and H3K4me2 at HSP22.0 may store information of recent transcriptional activity. Interestingly, the genomic regions of HSP22.0 and HSP70 displayed a slight enrichment of H3K4me4 and H3K4me3 relative to their respective intergenic control regions also in the absence of any HS (compare regions 1 and 2 in Fig 1, see Fig EV1 for no antibody control). This suggests that HSP22.0 and HSP70 may both be constitutively poised for rapid activation in response to elevated temperatures. Notably, our results for HSP70 confirm the notion that there is no close correlation between high expression levels and H3K4me2 accumulation. At the physiological level, HS memory is detectable for at least 3 days after ACC (Stief et al., 2014a). Thus, the duration of the physiological memory phase is in good accordance with elevated H3K4me3 and H3K4me2 at HSP22.0.

**Sustained activation of HS memory-related genes in response to ACC depends on HSFA2**

HSFA2 was previously shown to be required for the maintenance of acquired thermotolerance (HS memory), but not acquisition per se (Chang et al., 2007; see also Stief et al., 2014a). We next investigated whether the expression profiles of HS memory-related and non-memory HS-inducible genes depended on HSFA2 during a time course of 3 days (76 h) following ACC (Fig 2A). To put further analyses on a broader basis, we investigated four memory genes and two HS-inducible non-memory genes. For the memory-related genes HSP18.2, HSP22.0, and HSP21, we observed unchanged induction 4 h after ACC in hsfa2 mutants compared to wild type. This induction declined faster in hsfa2 mutants over the next 3 days. The dependency on HSFA2 was most pronounced in HSP18.2 and somewhat weaker in HSP21 and HSP22.0. For the memory gene APX2, transcript levels in hsfa2 mutants were already lower at 4 h and declined strongly thereafter. These results indicate that for HSP18.2, HSP21, and HSP22.0, HSFA2 is not required for the initial induction, but rather for the maintenance of high expression levels. For APX2, HSFA2 was required for all time points analyzed. However, it is important to keep in mind that the first time point analyzed was already 4 h after ACC. In contrast, transcript levels of the non-memory genes HSP70 and HSP101 declined much faster. Moreover, HSP70 and HSP101 transcript levels were not dependent on hsfa2. These findings confirm and extend previous work (Chang et al., 2007).

To estimate whether transcript levels represented ongoing transcriptional activity, we sought to analyze unspliced transcript levels as a proxy for ongoing transcriptional activity (Baurle et al., 2007; Kabelitz et al., 2014). Unspliced APX2 transcripts were significantly induced in wild type between 4 and 52 h, indicating that active transcription continues for at least 2 days after ACC (Fig EV2A). In hsfa2, we observed a significantly lower induction of unspliced APX2 transcripts at 4 h that was undetectable at later points. The same was true for the HS memory gene HSP21 (Fig EV2B). In contrast, unspliced HSP70 transcripts in wild type and hsfa2 were strongly induced at 4 h, but quickly declined thereafter (Fig EV2C). Neither HSP18.2 nor HSP22.0 contains an intron, thus precluding corresponding analyses for these genes. In summary, the overall dynamics of unspliced transcripts closely mimicked that of the spliced transcripts, indicating that the sustained accumulation of APX2 and HSP21 transcripts reflects ongoing transcriptional activity for at least 52 h after ACC. In contrast, unspliced HSP70 transcripts were not induced at 28 h and thereafter, corroborating the distinction between the two classes. Thus, our results indicate that HSFA2 regulates APX2 and HSP21 at the level of transcription.

We next sought to confirm our findings at the protein level. Unfortunately, antibodies were only available for HSP21 and HSP101. We analyzed protein levels of HSP21 and HSP101 in wild type and hsfa2 after ACC using commercially available antibodies. HSFA2 protein levels peaked only at 28–52 h after ACC and remained highly elevated until 76 h (Fig 2B). In contrast, HSP21 levels in hsfa2 were much reduced between 28 and 76 h. HSP101 was induced by ACC and peaked at 4 h. Elevated protein levels were still observed at 76 h. There was no difference in hsfa2, indicating that HSP101 expression did not depend on HSFA2. Thus, HSP101 protein levels are still elevated at 76 h despite the earlier decline in transcript levels, suggesting that the HSP101 protein may have a longer half life than the HSP21 protein. In summary, our protein analysis correlates well with the corresponding transcript analysis and indicates that proteins may be more stable than transcripts.
**Figure 1.** HS induces sustained H3K4me3 and H3K4me2 methylation at HSP22.0, but not at HSP70.

A, B Dynamics of histone modifications after an acclimatizing HS (ACC) or no HS (NHS) in Col-0 wild type at HSP22.0 (A) and HSP70 (B). Seedlings were subjected to ACC or a control treatment (NHS) 4 days after germination. At the indicated time points after the treatments, ChIP-qPCR was performed with antibodies against H3K9ac, H3K4me3, H3K4me2, and H3. Schematics show positions of regions analyzed. Amplicon positions relative to TSS are HSP22.0: 1, −2570 bp; 2, +235 bp. HSP70: 1, 4192 bp downstream of the 3’UTR; 2, +47 bp. Data shown are averages over three biological replicates. Amplification values were normalized to input, H3 and 4 h NHS region 2. The bottom panel shows the H3 signal normalized to input and 4 h NHS region 2. Squares and triangles within bars mark significant differences (P < 0.01 and P < 0.05, respectively, Student’s t-test) between ACC and NHS samples of the same time point. Error bars indicate SE.
HSFA2 is required for sustained accumulation of H3K4me3 and H3K4me2

We next asked whether HSFA2 is also required for the accumulation of H3K4me3 and H3K4me2 at the memory-associated loci. To this end, we compared the accumulation of H3K4me3, H3K4me2, and H3K9ac in response to ACC in wild-type and *hsfa2* mutants by ChIP-qPCR. We analyzed *HSP18.2, HSP22.0,* and *APX2* as HS memory-related loci, and *HSP70* as a HS-inducible non-memory locus whose expression is independent of HSFA2 (see Fig 2A). In wild type, H3K4me3 was strongly induced at the 5’-region of *HSP18.2, HSP22.0,* and *APX2* throughout the analyzed time course (4–52 h after ACC, compared to NHS controls, Figs 1A and 3A). There was no enrichment in intergenic regions flanking the loci. For *HSP22.0* and *APX2*, H3K4me3 remained very high at 52 h after ACC, and for *HSP18.2*, the levels were still elevated, but lower than at 4 h (Fig 3A). In contrast, at the *HSP70* locus, we observed only a moderate increase in H3K4me3 after ACC (Figs 1B and 3A). H3K4me3 accumulation at *HSP18.2, HSP22.0,* and *APX2* was dependent on HSFA2 as *HSP18.2* and *APX2* had significantly lower H3K4me3 in *hsfa2* at all time points, and *HSP22.0* had a trend for lower H3K4me3 at 4 and 28 h and significantly lower levels at 52 h (Fig 3A). The slight increase in H3K4me3 at *HSP70* at 4 and 28 h was largely independent of HSFA2, in line with the expression analysis, which suggested that *HSP70* is not a target. In summary, we observed sustained H3K4me3 accumulation at HS memory-related loci (*HSP18.2, HSP22.0, APX2*) that lasted for several days after ACC; this sustained H3K4me3 accumulation required functional HSFA2.
Figure 3. Sustained H3K4me3 and H3K4me2 at HS memory-related loci depends on HSFA2.

A, B H3K4me3 (A) or H3K4me2 (B) levels after an acclimatizing HS (ACC) in Col-0 and hsfa2 at HSP18.2, HSP22.0, HSP70, and APX2 as detected by ChIP-qPCR. Col-0 (blue bars) and hsfa2 (orange bars) seedlings were subjected to ACC or no treatment (NHS) 4 d after germination. At the indicated time points after the treatment, ChIP-qPCR was performed with antibodies against H3K4me3 (A), H3K4me2 (B) and H3 for normalization. Schematics show positions of regions analyzed (gray bars, UTR; black bar, exons). Intergenic control region 1 is 3,123 bp (APX2) or 2,570 bp (HSP22.0) upstream of the TSS, or 5,311 bp (HSP18.2) or 6,725 bp (HSP70) downstream of the TSS, respectively. Data are averages over four biological replicates. Amplification values were normalized to input and H3 and the Col-0 4 h NHS region 2 (HSP18.2, HSP22.0, and HSP70) or region 3 (for APX2). *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01 for differences between genotypes at the same time point and treatment; squares and triangles within bars mark significant differences (P < 0.01 and P < 0.05, respectively) between ACC and NHS samples of the same time point and genotype, Student’s t-test. Error bars indicate SE.
We next analyzed H3K4me2 accumulation (Fig 3B). Similar to what we observed previously for HSP22.0 (Fig 1A), H3K4me2 at the S’-region of HSP18.2 and APX2 was clearly enriched after ACC in wild type (Fig 3B). Remarkably, this enrichment tended to increase during the course of the experiment and reached highest levels only at 28 or 52 h after ACC. For HSP70, H3K4me2 after ACC was not increased. At the APX2 locus, H3K4me2 enrichment was high and dependent on HSFA2 at 28 and 52 h. Similar results were obtained for HSP18.2 and HSP22.0. In contrast, H3K4me2 levels at HSP70 were not induced after ACC and this did not change in hsfa2. For all genes analyzed, no accumulation of H3K4me2 was observed at the intergenic region. In summary, H3K4me2 does not directly correlate with transcriptional activity. Instead, our findings support the notion that H3K4me2 acts as a mark of recent transcriptional activity, however, at most HS memory-related genes investigated, acetylation levels appear to decline earlier than transcription. In summary, especially for APX2 and HSP18.2, H3K4me3 and H3K4me2 persist longer than H3K9ac after ACC.

HSFA2 expression is induced by HS and peaks during the first day after HS

Following ACC, HSFA2 transcript levels peaked at 4 h and declined rapidly thereafter (Fig EV3A). This is in contrast to the expression, H3K4me3 and H3K4me2 dynamics of the suspected target genes HSP18.2, HSP21, and HSP22.0, which all show more sustained changes (Fig 2A). One possibility is that HSFA2 protein is much more stable than its transcripts. To investigate this, we created transgenic A. thaliana plants expressing a fusion of the yellow fluorescent protein (YFP) to the endogenous HSFA2 gene (pHSFA2::HSFA2-YFP) in the hsfa2 background. The construct complemented...
the *hsfa2* mutant as evidenced by complementation of the loss of HS memory phenotype (Fig EV3B) and by restoration of HSFA2-dependent protein levels after HS (Fig EV3C). Immunoblotting with an anti-GFP antibody indicated that HSFA2-YFP levels peaked at 2–4 h after ACC (Fig EV3D), thereby closely resembling the endogenous HSFA2 transcript levels (Fig EV3A). In addition, we investigated the expression and localization of HSFA2-YFP in the root tips of seedlings that were treated with ACC 3 days after germination (Fig EV4). We observed a strong induction of HSFA2-YFP after 1 h of 37°C that was maintained until 8 h after ACC. The HSFA2-YFP signal was mostly nuclear. The next day, fluorescence levels were markedly decreased, similar to the signal in NHS seedlings (Fig EV4H and I). The signal also appeared more diffuse with potentially a cytoplasmic component. These results corroborate the immunoblotting results and the endogenous transcript analysis and indicate that HSFA2 is a strongly heat-inducible protein that peaks within hours after heat exposure and then rapidly decreases in abundance. Interestingly, these results suggest that by the time the effect of a loss of HSFA2 is apparent at the transcript and physiological levels, its protein levels are already much reduced.

**HSFA2 associates directly with the promoter of HS memory-related genes**

To further investigate the interaction with HS memory-related loci, we next studied their association with HSFA2-YFP in planta using ChIP-qPCR. HSF transcription factors are known to bind heat shock elements (HSE, 5'-nnGnAnnTnCtn-3') (Nover et al., 2001). We identified putative HSEs in the promoters of APX2, HSP18.2, HSP22.0, and HSP70 (Fig 5, insets) (Schramm et al., 2006; Jung et al., 2013). We then performed ChIP at 0.5, 4, or 28 h after ACC or NHS. Besides regions containing HSEs, we assayed intergenic regions flanking each gene to estimate technical background (about three kb away, see legend of Fig 5 for details). HSFA2-YFP was enriched around the promoter-proximal HSEs of HSP18.2, HSP22.0, and APX2, compared to NHS control samples and to the intergenic regions not spanning an HSE (Fig 5). This is consistent with the notion that HSFA2 directly regulates these HS memory-related genes through binding of promoter-proximal HSEs. We also found a slight enrichment of HSFA2-YFP at HSE-containing regions of HSP70 and ACT7 after ACC compared to NHS controls and regions not containing an HSE (Fig 5D and E). Interestingly, at HSP18.2, HSP22.0, and APX2, the strongest enrichment was observed 30 min after the end of the ACC treatment (Fig 5A–C). For HSP18.2, HSFA2 binding was already strongly decreased at 4 h after ACC, while the decrease was slower at HSP22.0 and APX2. At 28 h, HSFA2 binding was hardly detectable. Thus, HSFA2 binds to HSP18.2, HSP22.0, and APX2 directly and predominantly during the first few hours after ACC.

**Transcriptional memory after recurring HS depends on HSFA2**

We next asked whether HSP18.2, HSP22.0, APX2, and HSP70 activation is modified upon a second HS 2 days after a primary HS. To this end, we revised the HS treatment so that each HS was for 1 h at 37°C. This shortened the duration of the HS treatment and allowed us to investigate (relatively) early time points after HS. In addition, this HS is not lethal even for non-acclimatized seedlings, which facilitated interpretation of the results. HS was applied either

4 days after germination, 6 days after germination, or both 4 and 6 days after germination. Expression was monitored 1, 2, and 4 h after the end of the HS. For the treatment on day 4, transcript levels were analyzed also 28 and 52 h after the HS to allow estimation of transcript levels at the time of the second HS. Generally, we observed a similar HS-dependent induction of expression compared to the ACC treatment, however with a more rapid decline of transcript levels (Fig 6). For APX2, we observed a very strong hyper-induction after repeated HS compared to the single HS on day 6 or on day 4 (Fig 6A). For HSP22.0, we observed a trend for increased induction at 1 h after the repeated HS (day 4 + 6), compared to seedlings that had received only the second (day 6) HS (Fig 6C). For HSP18.2 and HSP70, the induction profiles were similar for all three treatments (HS on day 4, day 6, day 4 + 6; Fig 6B and D). Thus, APX2 and possibly HSP22.0 show transcriptional memory as the induction upon repeated HS is increased compared with the induction after either single HS. This transcriptional memory is active for at least 2 days, consistent with the maintenance of elevated H3K4me3 and H3K4me2 at these loci. It was abolished in *hsfa2* mutants, which induced expression of APX2 and HSP22.0 to the same level regardless if a single HS or a repeated HS was applied (Fig 6A and C).

To facilitate the comparison between transcriptional memory following HS and the chromatin states investigated above, we subsequently modified the experiment using the ACC treatment as primary HS and a HS of 1 h at 37°C as second HS after a lag phase of 2 or 3 d. We observed hyper-induction in plants that had received the ACC treatment 2 days before for APX2, HSP22.0, and HSP21, but not for HSP18.2 and HSP70 (Fig EV5), thus confirming that APX2 and HSP22.0 show transcriptional memory after HS. The effect was reduced, but not fully abolished in HSFA2, suggesting that the stronger primary heat treatment (ACC) may trigger the activation of an additional factor acting redundantly with HSFA2. Taken together, we demonstrated transcriptional memory in response to HS for APX2 and HSP22.0 that correlated well with sustained H3K4me3 and H3K4me2. In addition, both processes required HSFA2.

**Discussion**

Transcriptional memory was described in yeast, mammals, and plants as the phenomenon where gene induction is faster or stronger upon a repeated signal following an intervening lag phase or repressive phase (D’Urso & Brickner, 2014; Stief et al., 2014b; Avramova, 2015). In yeast, the mechanistic understanding of transcriptional memory is most advanced, and several non-exclusive mechanisms have been proposed (Brickner et al., 2007; Laine et al., 2009; Tan-Wong et al, 2009; Light et al., 2010, 2013). They involve a lasting modification of chromatin, either through histone modifications, histone variants, gene looping, or altered subnuclear localization of the memory loci. In plants, transcriptional memory is less well understood. It is increasingly recognized that plants can remember a past exposure to environmental stress and this may serve them to be better prepared for a future stress incident. In the case of HS, such a memory has been thoroughly characterized at the whole plant and molecular level (Chang et al., 2006, 2007; Meiri & Breiman, 2009; Stief et al., 2014a,b). While a number of reports have implicated epigenetic regulators in plant HS responses (Liu et al,
The involvement of chromatin modifications in HS memory was hitherto unknown. Here, we have shown that HS induces sustained accumulation of H3K4me3 and H3K4me2 at specific loci. In particular, H3K4me2 peaks later than the corresponding transcript levels, hence marking these loci as recently transcriptionally active. We further demonstrated that increased H3K4me3 and H3K4me2 at some of these loci were associated with increased activation upon a recurring HS. Thus, the physiologically defined phenomenon of HS memory has a molecular correlate in the transcriptional memory at APX2 and HSP22.0. Moreover, both the transcriptional memory at these loci and the sustained H3K4me3 and H3K4me2 depend on an HSF transcription factor that transiently associates with these loci.

Previously, transcriptional memory in response to drought stress was reported and this was associated with elevated H3K4me3 and Ser5P RNA polymerase II levels, suggesting stalling of RNA polymerase II (Ding et al., 2012a). H3K4me2 was not investigated in this context. Interestingly, neither of the tested transcriptional regulators (ABA-dependent transcription factors and the histone methyltransferase ATX1) was required for drought transcriptional memory (Ding et al., 2012a). Here, we uncovered that HS memory is...
This is in line with genome-wide ChIP-chip experiments suggesting that both marks may have slightly different functions. Interestingly, H3K4me2 appeared to peak even later than H3K4me3. The accumulation of H3K4me3 and H3K4me2 is particularly high and long-lasting at HS memory-related genes. In contrast, the HS-inducible non-memory locus HSP70 accumulates at 4 and 28 h moderate levels of H3K4me3 but no H3K4me2, despite strong transcriptional activity (Ng et al., 2009). Thus, H3K4me2 may convey a more sustained mark of recent transcriptional activity than H3K4me3. However, experiments that specifically modify one but not the other H3K4 methylation mark will be required to disentangle the functions of H3K4me3 and H3K4me2. Also, it remains to be investigated whether the sustained accumulation of H3K4 methylation is caused by sustained methylation, reduced demethylation, or reduced nucleosome replacement.

The responses of plants to chronic or recurring stress are not well understood, despite their economic and ecological relevance. HSFA2 is a master regulator of the HSF signaling network involved in cellular responses to various types of environmental stress. HSFA2 expression is activated by HSFA1 isoforms (Liu et al., 2006; Ogawa et al., 2007; Banti et al., 2010; Liu et al., 2011; Jung et al., 2013). HSFA2 expression is activated by HSFA1 isoforms (Liu et al., 2011; Nishizawa-Yokoi et al., 2011). Constitutive overexpression of HSFA2 enhances heat, salt, and osmotic stress tolerance (Nishizawa et al., 2006; Ogawa et al., 2007). Several putative target genes of *A. thaliana* that found a good correlation of active transcription with H3K4me3, but not H3K4me2 (Zhang et al., 2009). Thus, H3K4me2 may convey a more sustained mark of recent transcriptional activity than H3K4me3. However, experiments that specifically modify one but not the other H3K4 methylation mark will be required to disentangle the functions of H3K4me3 and H3K4me2. Also, it remains to be investigated whether the sustained accumulation of H3K4 methylation is caused by sustained methylation, reduced demethylation, or reduced nucleosome replacement.

The responses of plants to chronic or recurring stress are not well understood, despite their economic and ecological relevance. HSFA2 is a master regulator of the HSF signaling network involved in cellular responses to various types of environmental stress. HSFA2 is induced not only upon HS, but also upon drought stress, osmotic stress, salt stress, high light, and hypoxia (Nishizawa et al., 2006; Ogawa et al., 2007; Banti et al., 2010; Liu et al., 2011; Jung et al., 2013). HSFA2 expression is activated by HSFA1 isoforms (Liu et al., 2011; Nishizawa-Yokoi et al., 2011). Constitutive overexpression of HSFA2 enhances heat, salt, and osmotic stress tolerance (Nishizawa et al., 2006; Ogawa et al., 2007). Several putative target genes of

**Figure 6.** Expression profiles of HS memory-related genes after a recurring HS show HSFA2-dependent transcriptional memory.

A-D: Response of selected HS-inducible loci upon recurring HS as determined by qRT-PCR. Transcript levels of APX2 (A), HSP18.2 (B), HSP22.0 (C), and HSP70 (D) in Col-0 (blue bars) and hsfa2 (orange bars) at the indicated time points after a single HS or two HS separated by 2 days. Plants were subjected to 37°C for 60 min on either day 4, day 6, or on day 4 + day 6 after germination. NHS samples were harvested at the same time points as HS day 4 samples. Transcript levels of four biological replicates normalized to TUB6 are shown. Small parentheses compare the two genotypes at the same condition, and large parentheses compare the same genotype across two treatments (at 1 h after treatment) with Col-0 indicated first (Col-0/hsfa2). Error bars show SE over four biological replicates. *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; Student’s t-test.
HSFA2 were identified based on differential gene expression, in vitro binding studies and transient protoplast reporter assays (Nishizawa et al, 2006; Schramm et al, 2006). Here, we showed direct binding of HSFA2 to putative target genes using ChIP in A. thaliana, thereby confirming earlier predictions. Unexpectedly, HSFA2 was associated with its target genes most highly as early as 30 min after ACC. In contrast, gene expression studies and physiological analyses indicate that HSFA2 is specifically required for the maintenance of acquired thermotolerance and not its induction (this study and (Charng et al, 2007)). Accordingly, for HSP18.2 and HSP22.0 expression, the strongest effect in hsfa2 was observed only 1–2 days after ACC, but was weak or undetectable 4 h after ACC (Fig 2). Notably, even at 4 h after ACC, binding of HSFA2 at HSP18.2 and APX2 was already reduced compared to 0.5 h after ACC. For APX2, the analysis of unspliced transcript levels indicated that transcription was elevated until at least 52 h after ACC. Also, transcriptional activity was lower in hsfa2 throughout the experiment (at 4, 28, and 52 h after ACC). The initial induction of the HS memory-related genes is mediated by isoforms of HSFA1 (HSFA1a, HSFA1b, HSF1d, HSFA1e) that also induce memory-related genes is mediated by isoforms of HSFA1 (HSFA1a, HSFA1b, HSF1d, HSFA1e) that also induce "hit-and-run" model for transcription factors. This model was first proposed several decades ago (Schaffner, 1988) and has recently received experimental support with the development of better spatial and temporal resolution of binding studies (Para et al, 2014; Charoensawan et al, 2015). According to this model, transcription factors bind transiently to their targets, even when activating lasting gene expression. This may be achieved by recruiting sequence-specific or general transcriptional activators that induce lasting gene expression. Thus, our findings suggest that HSFA2 recruits (directly or indirectly) chromatin-modifying factors such as H3K4 histone methyltransferases to memory loci to mediate their sustained induction and hyper-inducibility upon a recurring HS (Fig 7). The model is supported by our finding that HSFA2 is required for sustained accumulation of H3K4me3 and H3K4me2. Direct interaction of sequence-specific transcription factors with the H3K4 methyltransferase Set1-containing COMPASS complex has been described in several organisms including plants (Ruthenburg et al, 2007; Bertero et al, 2015; Song et al, 2015). A. thaliana contains up to ten histone H3K4 methyltransferases that are related to yeast Set1. Further studies will be required to identify the interacting proteins recruited by HSFA2. The lack of sustained activation and H3K4 hyper-methylation in hsfa2 mutants and at HSFA2-independent loci such as HSP70 suggests that such interacting proteins may be specifically recruited by HSFA2, but not by HSFA1 isoforms.

In summary, we have identified transcriptional memory in response to HS and show that this is associated with elevated H3K4 methylation levels and dependent on a HS transcription factor that transiently binds these loci. Similarly, sustained activation of HS memory loci requires HSFA2 and is associated with high levels of

**Figure 7. Transient binding of HSFA2 governs sustained H3K4 methylation after HS.**
Top panel: Transient binding of HSFA2 after HS induces sustained induction of H3K4me2 (light blue) and H3K4me3 (dark blue) through direct or indirect recruitment of chromatin-modifying factors. H3K4me3 and H3K4me2 levels remain elevated after H3K9ac (orange) and HSFA2 binding (red) have decreased and hence mark recent transcriptional activity of the locus, leading to sustained induction and transcriptional memory upon recurring stress. Bottom panel: In hsfa2, H3K4me2 and H3K4me3 remain low, while the H3K9ac levels are only slightly changed compared to wild type. Profiles were drawn based on the data obtained for the APX2 locus.
H3K4me3 and H3K4me2. Our findings have implications for the engineering of heat-resistant plants and for the basic research question how stress memory and transcriptional memory are realized mechanistically.

Materials and Methods

Plant material and growth conditions

*A. thaliana* (ecotype Col-0) seedlings were grown on GM medium (1% [w/v] glucose) under a 16-h/8-h light/dark cycle at 23°C/21°C. The *hsfa2-1* allele (Chang *et al.* 2007) was obtained from the Nottingham Stock Centre (SALK_008978). Seeds were stratified for 5 days at 4°C in darkness. Heat treatments were performed on 4-day-old seedlings unless stated otherwise. For expression analyses, ChiP experiments, and protein extraction, seedlings were treated with an acclimatizing HS (ACC) of 37°C for 60 min, 23°C for 90 min, and 44°C for 45 min starting 8 h after light onset. After HS, plants were returned to normal growth conditions. For the repeated HS experiment, each HS was 60 min at 37°C on the indicated days unless otherwise stated. Maintenance of acquired thermotolerance assays were performed as described (Stief *et al.*, 2014a). Accession numbers of the genes studied were HSP17.6, HSP17.7, and HSP21 (Abcam, ab290), α-tubulin (Sigma, T5168), α-HSFA2, α-HSP101 (Agrisera, AS08285, AS07253). Secondary antibodies (goat-α-mouse-IRDye 800CW, #926-32210, goat-α-rabbit-IRDye 800CW, #926-32211, LICOR) were used for infrared detection.

RNA extraction, cDNA synthesis, and qRT–PCR

RNA extraction, cDNA synthesis, and qRT–PCR were done as described previously (Stief *et al.*, 2014a). All data were normalized to *TUB6* using the comparative CT method. Data for Fig 2 was further normalized to NHS control ([GENE OF INTERESTNHS x h/TUB6NHS x h/l]/[GENE OF INTERESTNHS x h/TUB6NHS x h/l]) before averaging. For statistical analysis, unpaired Student’s *t*-test was used as indicated. Primer sequences are listed in Appendix Table S1.

Microscopy

Transgenic or wild-type seedlings grown and treated as described above were imaged on a Zeiss LSM710 confocal microscope.
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