Unfolded protein response-induced ERdj3 secretion links ER stress to extracellular proteostasis

Joseph C Genereux1,2,†, Song Qu1,2,3,§, Minghai Zhou4, Lisa M Ryno2,2, Shiyou Wang5, Matthew D Shoulders2,‡, Randal J Kaufman5, Corinne I Lasmézas4, Jeffery W Kelly1,2,6 & R Luke Wiseman1,3,*

Abstract

The Unfolded Protein Response (UPR) indirectly regulates extracellular proteostasis through transcriptional remodeling of endoplasmic reticulum (ER) proteostasis pathways. This remodeling attenuates secretion of misfolded, aggregation-prone proteins during ER stress. Through these activities, the UPR has a critical role in preventing the extracellular protein aggregation associated with numerous human diseases. Here, we demonstrate that UPR activation also directly influences extracellular proteostasis through the upregulation and secretion of the ER HSP40 ERdj3/DNAJ-B11. Secreted ERdj3 binds misfolded proteins in the extracellular space, substoichiometrically inhibits protein aggregation, and attenuates proteotoxicity of disease-associated toxic prion protein. Moreover, ERdj3 can co-secrete with destabilized, aggregation-prone proteins in a stable complex under conditions where ER chaperoning capacity is overwhelmed, preemptively providing extracellular chaperoning of proteotoxic misfolded proteins that evade ER quality control. This regulated co-secretion of ERdj3 with misfolded clients directly links ER and extracellular proteostasis during conditions of ER stress. ERdj3 is, to our knowledge, the first metazoan chaperone whose secretion into the extracellular space is regulated by the UPR, revealing a new mechanism by which UPR activation regulates extracellular proteostasis.
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Introduction

Imbalances in extracellular protein homeostasis (or proteostasis) and consequent protein aggregation are inextricably linked to degenerative phenotypes in over 30 human protein misfolding diseases, including Alzheimer’s disease, Creutzfeldt–Jakob disease and the transthyretin (TTR) amyloidoses (Kelly, 1996; Rochet & Lansbury, 2000; Stefani & Dobson, 2003; Buxbaum, 2004; Haass & Selkoe, 2007). Compelling genetic and pharmacologic evidence supports a causal relationship between protein aggregation (including amyloidogenesis) and the degeneration of post-mitotic tissue in these disorders (Tanzi & Bertram, 2005; Aguzzi et al., 2007; Gotz et al., 2011; Coelho et al., 2012). Primary determinants of extracellular proteostasis capacity include the spectrum and concentration of secreted proteostasis factors (e.g., chaperones) (Wyatt et al., 2013) and the efficiency of protein folding and quality control in the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) (Wiseman et al., 2007).

Extracellular proteostasis capacity is regulated by secreted proteins that prevent the formation of protein aggregates associated with disease. The best characterized secreted chaperones such as clusterin directly bind misfolded proteins in the extracellular environment and prevent their aggregation through an ATP-independent “holdase” mechanism (Wyatt et al., 2012). Deletion of clusterin predisposes mice to aging-dependent progressive glomerulopathy (Rosenberg et al., 2002) and increases Aβ(1–42) aggregation and deposition in mouse models of Alzheimer’s disease (DeMattos et al., 2004). Furthermore, genome-wide association studies implicate clusterin in the development of Alzheimer’s disease (Harold et al., 2009; Lambert et al., 2009; Wijsman et al., 2011). Small populations of some ER chaperones, such as the HSP70 BIP and the lectin calreticulin, can be trafficked to the plasma membrane, particularly under stress or during apoptosis (Martins et al., 2010; Zhang et al., 2010). Protein disulfide isomerases (PDIs) can also be secreted to promote extracellular disulfide exchange (Jordan & Gibbins, 2006; Hahn...
et al., 2013). A role for these chaperones in extracellular proteinostasis maintenance has not been demonstrated to date, rather their surface expression has been implicated in immunological signaling (Peters & Raghavan, 2011; Lee, 2014).

Extracellular proteinostasis is also impacted by proteinostasis in the ER, which is responsible for the folding and trafficking of the 1/3 of the human proteome that is targeted to the cellular secretory pathway (Fewell et al., 2001; Braakman & Bulleid, 2011). In the ER, nascent polypeptides interact with components of ER protein folding pathways to facilitate their folding into native three-dimensional conformations (Buck et al., 2007). Folded proteins are then packaged into vesicles at the ER membrane and trafficked to downstream compartments of the secretory pathway or the extracellular space. Proteins unable to attain native three-dimensional conformations in the ER are instead targeted to ER degradation pathways such as ER-associated degradation (ERAD) (Benyair et al., 2011). The partitioning of polypeptides between ER protein folding/trafficking and degradation pathways, also referred to as ER quality control, prevents the secretion of misfolded, aggregation-prone proteins (Powers et al., 2009; Araki & Nagata, 2011).

Despite the typical efficiency of ER quality control, exposure to genetic, environmental or aging-related stresses leads to increased protein misfolding within the ER lumen and imbalances in ER proteinostasis. Such stresses can increase secretion of misfolding-prone proteins into the extracellular space, directly challenging extracellular proteinostasis capacity and facilitating concentration-dependent protein aggregation into proteotoxic oligomeric conformations. As such, ER stress is pathologically associated with numerous extracellular protein aggregation diseases including the systemic amyloidoses and Alzheimer’s disease (Teixeira et al., 2006; Kim et al., 2008).

To restore ER proteinostasis following stress, cells activate the Unfolded Protein Response (UPR). The UPR consists of three integrated stress-responsive signaling pathways activated downstream of the ER stress-sensing proteins IRE1, ATF6, and PERK (Schroder & Kaufman, 2005; Walter & Ron, 2011). These stress sensors are activated by the accumulation of misfolded proteins within the ER lumen (a consequence of ER stress) (Bertolotti et al., 2000; Okamura et al., 2000; Shen et al., 2002). The activation of UPR signaling pathways results in the attenuation of new protein synthesis (Harding et al., 1999) and transcriptional remodeling of ER protein folding, trafficking, and degradation pathways (Lee et al., 2003; Yamamoto et al., 2007; Shoulders et al., 2013), thus enhancing ER proteinostasis capacity and quality control. Through these mechanisms, UPR activation reduces accumulation of misfolded proteins in the ER and attenuates the aberrant secretion of aggregation-prone proteins into the extracellular space (Adachi et al., 2008; Shoulders et al., 2013).

In contrast, the functional impact of UPR signaling on extracellular proteinostasis capacity remains poorly defined. Not only are the established secreted chaperones not transcriptional targets of the UPR, but clusterin secretion is attenuated during conditions of ER stress, indicating that clusterin secretion is not a protective mechanism to regulate extracellular proteinostasis in response to pathologic ER insults (Nizard et al., 2007). Similarly, ER stress reduces secretion of ER proteinostasis factors such as protein disulfide isomerase (PDI), suggesting reduced extracellular regulation of disulfide integrity during ER stress (Terada et al., 1995). Thus, we sought to study the functional role for UPR signaling in adapting extracellular proteinostasis capacity during conditions of ER stress.

Here, we report that UPR activation regulates extracellular proteinostasis directly through the secretion of the ER-targeted HSP40 co-chaperone ERdj3. While ERdj3 is established to function as an HSP40 co-chaperone for BiP within the ER HSP70 chaperoning pathway (Shen & Hendershot, 2005), we show that ERdj3 is also a UPR-induced secreted chaperone, whose extracellular levels increase both in response to ER stress and stress-independent activation of the UPR-associated transcription factor ATF6. Secreted ERdj3 binds to misfolded proteins in the extracellular space, prevents the aggregation of amyloidogenic Aβ_{40} at substoichiometric concentrations, and ameliorates the toxic effects of misfolded prion protein on neuronal cells. Furthermore, we demonstrate that ERdj3 can secrete with destabilized, misfolding-prone clients under conditions where the ER HSP70 chaperoning pathway is overwhelmed, preemptively chaperoning these misfolding-prone secreted proteins that evade ER quality control in the extracellular environment. Thus, the capacity for ERdj3 to function in both ER and extracellular proteinostasis provides an unanticipated direct link between these two environments that is regulated by the UPR during conditions of ER stress.

**Results**

**ER stress increases ERdj3 secretion**

We sought to identify UPR-induced secreted chaperones that could increase extracellular proteinostasis capacity in response to ER stress. We surveyed previously reported transcriptional and proteomic profiles (Lee et al., 2003; Wu et al., 2007; Yamamoto et al., 2007; Adachi et al., 2008; Shoulders et al., 2013) of UPR stress-responsive signaling to identify a UPR-induced gene(s) whose protein product: (1) is targeted to the ER by an N-terminal signaling sequence, (2) lacks an ER retention motif (e.g., KDEL) or a transmembrane domain (Dancourt & Barlowe, 2010), and (3) selectively binds destabilized, misfolded proteins (i.e., has chaperone activity). Through this analysis, we identified the HSP40 co-chaperone ERdj3 as a potential UPR-induced secreted chaperone that meets all of the above criteria.

ERdj3 is a well-established component of the intracellular ER proteinostasis network and is transcriptionally upregulated by the UPR during ER stress (Shen & Hendershot, 2005). In the ER, ERdj3 binds to proteins in non-native conformations through an ATP-independent mechanism and directs these clients to the ER-localized, ATP-dependent HSP70 BiP chaperoning pathway, facilitating the proper folding of client proteins into folded three-dimensional conformations in the ER lumen (Shen & Hendershot, 2005; Jin et al., 2008, 2009; Marcinowski et al., 2011; Guo & Snapp, 2013). ERdj3 contains neither a C-terminal KDEL nor a transmembrane domain, implying that ERdj3 can efficiently traverse the secretory pathway. Consistent with this prediction, extracellular ERdj3 is implicated in neuronal development (Wong et al., 2010).

We evaluated whether ERdj3 is secreted from HEK293T cells overexpressing wild-type ERdj3 (ERdj3^{WT}). Overexpression of ERdj3^{WT} significantly increases extracellular ERdj3 protein levels in conditioned media, while only slightly increasing intracellular ERdj3 levels (Fig 1A). In contrast, overexpression of ERdj3 containing a C-terminal KDEL ER retention motif (ERdj3^{KDEL}) only slightly
Figure 1. ER stress increases ERdj3 secretion.
A Immunoblot of media and lysate collected from HEK293T cells overexpressing ERdj3 WT or ERdj3 KDEL. Fresh media was conditioned on cells for 24 h prior to harvest. B qPCR analysis of ERdj3 mRNA in HEK293T cells treated with or without thapsigargin (Tg; 6 h, 100 nM). Error bars represent the mean ± 95% confidence interval as calculated in DataAssist 2.0 (n = 3). C Representative immunoblot of lysates and media collected from HEK293T-Rex cells stably expressing non-silencing (NS) or ERdj3 shRNA. Cells were treated with the indicated concentration of Tg in fresh media for 16 h. D Quantification of the fold increase of ERdj3 in lysates and media collected from HEK293T-Rex cells treated with the indicated concentration of Tg in fresh media for 16 h as in (C). *P < 0.05; n = 3, mean ± SEM. E Representative autoradiogram of [35S]-labeled ERdj3 in lysates and media collected from Huh7 cells incubated in the presence or the absence of Tg (1 μM). The experimental paradigm for labeling is shown above. F Quantification of fraction intracellular (dark gray) and extracellular (light gray) [35S]-labeled ERdj3 following a 4 h chase relative to total [35S]-labeled ERdj3 at 4 h (as shown in E). Error bars represent the standard error from biological replicates (n = 3). G Quantification of total [35S]-labeled ERdj3 in media collected from cells pretreated with vehicle or Tg, as shown in (E). The media [35S]-labeled ERdj3 was measured by densitometry and is normalized to the amount of media [35S]-labeled ERdj3 at 4 h from vehicle-treated cells. *P-value < 0.05; n = 3, mean ± SEM. H Representative immunoblot and quantification of sera collected at the indicated times from DBA/2J mice fed a high-fat (60% fat) diet after a 18 h fast. A schematic of the fasting/refeeding experimental setup is shown above. The quantification was normalized for each mouse to the amount of ERdj3 in the serum draw immediately prior the fast. *P-value < 0.05; n = 6, mean ± SEM. Source data are available online for this figure.
increases extracellular ERdj3 protein levels, while significantly increasing intracellular ERdj3 (Fig 1A). Furthermore, secretion of overexpressed ERdj3 WT is inhibited by the addition of the secretory trafficking inhibitor brefeldin A (Supplementary Fig S1A and B). These results strongly indicate that overexpressed ERdj3 WT is secreted through the canonical secretory pathway. Endogenous ERdj3 was also detected in conditioned media collected from a variety of human cell lines, including HepG2, Huh7, SH-SY5Y, and HeLa (Supplementary Fig S1C).

We confirmed the ER stress-dependent increase in ERdj3 mRNA in HEK293T cells treated with the small molecule SERCA inhibitor thapsigargin (Tg)—a potent inducer of ER stress (Fig 1B). Upon Tg-induced ER stress, ERdj3 protein levels increased primarily in conditioned media and not intracellularly for HEK293T-Rex (Fig 1C and D). RNAi depletion of ERdj3 reduced intracellular ERdj3 levels > 90% and completely ablated extracellular ERdj3 upon Tg treatment. Tg treatment also selectively increased extracellular, as opposed to intracellular, ERdj3 from Huh7 cells (Supplementary Fig S1D). In stark contrast to ERdj3, BiP and HYOU1, two abundantly expressed, UPR-induced, ER chaperones, were not detected in the conditioned media (Fig 1C), reflecting the presence of ER retention motifs on these proteins. These results are consistent with previous results showing that negligible BiP is secreted to the extracellular space (Munro & Pelham, 1987; Yamamoto et al., 2003; Kern et al., 2009). Rather, BiP that evades the KDEL receptor is typically still retained at the cellular membrane (Wang et al., 2009; Zhang et al., 2010), as is common for other canonical ER-localized chaperones, particularly under apoptotic conditions (Jordan & Gibbins, 2006; Martins et al., 2010; Lee, 2014). HYOU1, the ER resident Hsp110 that both serves as a nucleotide exchange factor for BiP and displays its own chaperone function (Andraesson et al., 2010; Behnke & Hendershot, 2014), has not been implicated in either secretion or presentation at the cellular membrane. Alternatively, intracellular levels of BiP and HYOU1 were significantly increased upon Tg treatment. These data indicate that increased extracellular ERdj3 levels result from constitutive secretion and not from leakage of ER proteins into the extracellular space, as has been proposed for other ER chaperones (Booth & Koch, 1989).

To quantify ERdj3 secretion in the presence or the absence of ER stress, Huh7 cells were pretreated with Tg or vehicle, metabolically pulse labeled with [35S]-Cys/Met, and then incubated in non-radioactive chase media. At the indicated time, [35S]-labeled ERdj3 was immunopurified from both denatured lysates and media and analyzed by autoradiography (Fig 1E). We found that ~50% of newly synthesized ERdj3 was secreted from Huh7 cells within 4 h, in the presence or the absence of Tg (Fig 1E and F), consistent with ERdj3 being highly secreted from hepatic cells (Supplementary Fig S1C). Tg significantly increased the absolute amount of ERdj3 secreted relative to vehicle-treated controls (Fig 1G), as previously reported (Shoulders et al., 2013). Furthermore, [35S] metabolic labeling demonstrates that ATF6 and/or XBP1s activation of ERdj3 protein synthesis to similar extents (Supplementary Fig S2B). Hence, we expected that stress-independent activation of either ATF6 or XBP1s would enhance secretion of ERdj3.

Surprisingly, we found that the activation of ATF6, but not XBP1s, selectively increased ERdj3 levels in mice. In the absence of stress, ERdj3 has a serum concentration of 23 ± 5 nM (Supplementary Fig S1E). Mice subjected to an 18 h fast followed by refeeding on a high-fat diet, which rapidly induces hepatic ER stress (Oyadomari et al., 2008), show a significant twofold increase in serum ERdj3 levels (Fig 1H). ERdj3 serum levels also significantly increased following 7 days on a high-fructose diet (Supplementary Fig S1F and G), which also induces ER stress in hepatic cells (Wang et al., 2012), as indicated by increased BiP, Grp94, and phosphorylated eIF2α in hepatic lysates (Supplementary Fig S1H). These results demonstrate that increased ERdj3 serum levels in mice correlate with hepatic ER stress, strongly indicating that hepatic ER stress increases ERdj3 secretion in vivo.

**Stress-independent activation of the UPR-associated transcription factor ATF6 increases ERdj3 secretion**

The ER stress-dependent increase in secreted ERdj3 indicates that ERdj3 is induced by the UPR. Consistent with this prediction, stress-independent activation of either of the primary UPR-associated transcription factors, ATF6 or XBP1s, increases ERdj3 transcription (Sriburi et al., 2004; Shoulders et al., 2013). To identify the specific UPR transcription factor(s) responsible for the stress-responsive increase in ERdj3 secretion, we employed HEK293T-Rex cells expressing tetracycline (tet)-inducible XBP1s and a constitutively expressed fusion protein consisting of destabilized bacterial DHFR fused N-terminally to an active ATF6 transcription factor sequence (referred to as HEK293DAX) (Shoulders et al., 2013). In these cells, the addition of the DHFR phosphoracetylase chaperone trimethoprim (TMP, which stabilizes the DHFR:ATF6 fusion protein) activates the ATF6 transcriptional program, while the addition of doxycycline (dox) activates the XBP1s transcriptional program. These HEK293DAX cells allow for the activation of ATF6 and/or XBP1s in the same cell in the absence of ER stress (Shoulders et al., 2013). In HEK293DAX cells, ERdj3 mRNA is increased by stress-independent activation of ATF6 and/or XBP1s to similar levels observed with Tg (Supplementary Fig S2A), as previously reported (Shoulders et al., 2013). Furthermore, [35S] metabolic labeling demonstrates that ATF6 and/or XBP1s activation increases ERdj3 protein synthesis to similar extents (Supplementary Fig S2B). Hence, we expected that stress-independent activation of either ATF6 or XBP1s would enhance secretion of ERdj3.
activation of the ATF6 transcriptional program is required for the increase in secreted ERdj3 observed in TMP-treated HEK293 DAX cells. Overexpression of ATF6, but not XBP1s, similarly increased secreted ERdj3 in HepG2 cells (Supplementary Fig S2E).

We further characterized the ATF6-dependent increase in ERdj3 secretion using a [35S] metabolic pulse-chase approach. ATF6 preactivation increased the extracellular concentration of newly synthesized ERdj3 fourfold relative to vehicle-treated cells, with nearly 40% of [35S] labeled ERdj3 being secreted following a 4 h incubation in non-radioactive chase media (Fig 2B–D). Despite an increase in ERdj3 synthesis (Supplementary Fig S2B), XBP1s preactivation reduced the fraction of newly synthesized ERdj3 in media, relative to the vehicle treatment (Fig 2D). This decrease in ERdj3 secretion is attributed to an increase in ERdj3 degradation, with ~40% of newly synthesized ERdj3 being degraded after 4 h in cells following XBP1s preactivation (Fig 2E). ATF6 and XBP1s co-activation demonstrated a similar increase in ERdj3 secretion to that observed with ATF6 preactivation alone, demonstrating that ATF6 activation prevents the increased ERdj3 degradation observed upon XBP1s activation (Fig 2B–E). Collectively, these results show that ERdj3 secretion is increased by stress-independent preactivation of the UPR-associated transcription factor ATF6, directly implicating protective UPR signaling in the regulation of the extracellular ERdj3 concentration.

Figure 2. ERdj3 is efficiently secreted from cells following stress-independent activation of the UPR-associated transcription factor ATF6.

A Immunoblot of lysates and media collected from HEK293 DAX cells following 16 h of activation of XBP1s (by 1 µg/ml dox) and/or ATF6 (by 10 µM TMP), as indicated (Shoulders et al, 2013). Immunoblots of the ATF6 target protein BiP (reactive with the KDEL antibody) and the XBP1s target Sec24D confirm the small-molecule activation of these transcription factors.

B Representative autoradiogram of [35S]-labeled ERdj3 immunopurified from lysates and media collected from HEK293 DAX cells following preactivation of XBP1s (by 1 µg/ml dox) and/or ATF6 (by 10 µM TMP) (Shoulders et al, 2013). The experimental protocol is shown above.

C Quantification of relative amounts of [35S]-labeled ERdj3 in media at 8 h collected from cells treated as shown in (B) (n = 3). The media [35S]-labeled ERdj3 was measured by densitometry and is normalized to the amount of media [35S]-labeled ERdj3 at 8 h from vehicle-treated cells.

D, E Quantification of the fraction ERdj3 secreted (D) and the fraction ERdj3 remaining (E) from autoradiograms as shown in (B). The fractions of ERdj3 secreted/ERdj3 remaining were calculated by normalizing the recovered [35S]-labeled ERdj3 signal in the media and in the lysates at t = 4 or 8 h to the total amount of [35S]-labeled ERdj3 signal in the media and lysates at t = 0 h.

Data information: Error bars represent the standard error from biological replicates (n = 3). *P-value < 0.05; **P-value < 0.01; ***P-value < 0.001 as compared to the vehicle-treated condition.

Source data are available online for this figure.
Secreted ERdj3 increases extracellular proteostasis capacity

To evaluate whether ERdj3 secretion is critical for the maintenance of intracellular ER proteostasis, we overexpressed either ERdj3WT or the non-secreted ERdj3KDEL and then measured expression of UPR target genes and cellular viability, both in the absence and the presence of Tg-induced ER stress (Supplementary Fig S3A and B). Despite the known role of ERdj3 in folding and degradation of specific clients (Shen & Hendershot, 2005; Hoshino et al., 2007; Jin et al., 2008; Buck et al., 2010; Tan et al., 2014), ERdj3 retention does not significantly impair global ER proteostasis maintenance in the absence or the presence of ER stress.

Alternatively, ERdj3 secretion during ER stress could enhance extracellular proteostasis capacity, by binding to misfolded proteins. To test the capacity for secreted ERdj3 to bind misfolded proteins, media collected from cells overexpressing ERdj3WT or ERdj3H53Q—an ERdj3 mutant deficient in delivering protein clients to BiP (Shen & Hendershot, 2005)—was incubated with sepharose resin conjugated to natively folded or denatured RNase A (Petrova et al., 2008). After washing the beads, proteins bound to resin were eluted and analyzed by immunoblotting (Fig 3A). ERdj3WT selectively associates with denatured RNase A, as compared to natively folded RNase A, indicating that secreted ERdj3 selectively binds misfolded protein clients in the extracellular environment. The capacity for ERdj3H53Q to similarly associate with denatured RNase A resin indicates that secreted ERdj3 interacts with denatured substrates through a mechanism largely independent of its intracellular role as an HSP40 co-chaperone that delivers misfolded substrates to BiP for ATP-dependent chaperoning.

We next prepared recombinant ERdj3 (ERdj3) in E. coli to assess the capacity of ERdj3 to inhibit protein aggregation. We confirmed that, despite the lack of the sole N-glycan present in mammalian ERdj3, ERdj3 is a folded protein as assessed by far-UV circular dichroism (Supplementary Fig S3C), is functional in that it stimulates recombinant BiP ATPase activity (Supplementary Fig S3D), and maintains the capacity to selectively bind denatured RNase A resin (Supplementary Fig S3E). We monitored the aggregation of the amyloidogenic Aβ1–40 peptide (10 μM) associated with Alzheimer’s
disease using thioflavin T (ThioT) fluorescence in the presence of increasing \textsuperscript{b}ERdj3 concentrations. ThioT fluorescence sharply increases upon binding to amyloid fibers (Du \textit{et al}, 2011). \textsuperscript{b}ERdj3 completely inhibited the aggregation of A\textsubscript{b1-40} at concentrations above 370 nM (an ERdj3: A\textsubscript{b1-40} ratio of 1:27) (Fig 3B). The control serum protein bovine serum albumin (BSA), a protein known to modestly inhibit A\textsubscript{b} aggregation (Bohrmann \textit{et al}, 1999; Milojovic \textit{et al}, 2007, 2009; Reyes Barcelo \textit{et al}, 2009), did not dramatically influence A\textsubscript{b1-40} aggregation at an equivalent mass concentration (Fig 3C). The ERdj3-dependent decrease in A\textsubscript{b1-40} aggregation was similarly observed by differential centrifugation, with substoichiometric levels of \textsuperscript{b}ERdj3 significantly reducing the population of A\textsubscript{b1-40} in the pelleted aggregates following a 96 h incubation (Fig 3D). Denaturation of \textsuperscript{b}ERdj3 by boiling in the presence of dithiothreitol attenuated the ERdj3-dependent reduction in A\textsubscript{b1-40} aggregation, demonstrating that the reduction in protein aggregation afforded by \textsuperscript{b}ERdj3 requires a native ERdj3 structure (Supplementary Fig S3F).

We next tested whether secreted ERdj3 could impact the cellular phenotype associated with another misfolded protein, the toxic prion protein (TPrP) (Zhou \textit{et al}, 2012). TPrP is a monomeric, misfolded prion conformer that upon addition to neuronal cells recapitulates the cytoplasmic vacuole formation characteristic of prion diseases in patients (Will \textit{et al}, 1996). TPrP induces this phenotype at 600 ng/ml, similar to the extracellular concentrations of prion protein associated with prion diseases in patients (Meyne \textit{et al}, 2009), providing a highly sensitive phenotypic assay for quantifying the protective effects of secreted ERdj3. We treated PK1 mouse neuroblastoma cells with TPrP for 3 days in the presence of media conditioned on HEK293T-Rex cells overexpressing ERdj3\textsuperscript{WT} (Supplementary Fig S4A) and evaluated the extent of vacuole formation (Fig 4A). Strikingly, media conditioned on cells overexpressing ERdj3\textsuperscript{WT} ([ERdj3\textsuperscript{WT}]\textsubscript{media} = 100–400 nM) significantly attenuated TPrP-induced vacuole formation in PK1 cells (Fig 4B and C), as compared to treatment with control conditioned media ([endogenous ERdj3]\textsubscript{media} = 2–4 nM), demonstrating that secreted ERdj3\textsuperscript{WT} protects neuroblastoma cells against TPrP toxicity. Alternatively, TPrP incubated with conditioned media prepared on HEK293T-Rex cells RNAi-depleted of ERdj3 significantly aggravated vacuole formation in TPrP-treated PK1 cells, as compared to conditioned media prepared from HEK293T-Rex cells expressing non-silencing shRNA ([endogenous ERdj3]\textsubscript{media} = 2–4 nM) (Supplementary Fig S4A–C). This indicates that, even the low level of endogenous ERdj3 secreted from HEK293T-Rex in the absence of stress, which is about 10–20% of the measured physiological concentration of ERdj3 in mouse serum (23 nM; Supplementary Fig S1E), increases extracellular proteostasis capacity and protects cells from TPrP proteotoxicity.

![Figure 4. Secreted ERdj3 attenuates vacuole formation induced by toxic prion protein in mammalian cells.](image)

\textbf{A} Schematic illustrating the treatment of PK1 cells with ERdj3-conditioned media. HEK293T-Rex (Control) and HEK293T-Rex cells stably overexpressing tet-inducible ERdj3\textsuperscript{WT} on poly-D-lysine-coated plates were treated with dox (1 \mu g/ml) overnight to induce ERdj3\textsuperscript{WT} expression. The media was replaced with fresh OptiMEM I + 5% FBS, which was conditioned for 30 h, sterile-filtered, concentrated 5× against a 3 kD MWCO membrane, and diluted 1:1.5 with fresh OptiMEM I + 5% FBS. PK1 cells were plated in OptiMEM I + 5% FBS and after 24 h were treated with conditioned media and TPrP (600 ng/ml) for 3 days.

\textbf{B} Representative images under 136× magnification of PK1 cells incubated with control conditioned media collected from HEK293T-Rex or conditioned media prepared from HEK293T-Rex cells overexpressing ERdj3\textsuperscript{WT} in the presence or the absence of TPrP (600 ng/ml), as described in (A). Arrows indicate representative cells containing vacuoles. Insets show 544× magnification of a typical region of the image.

\textbf{C} Quantification of vacuole formation from images as shown in (B). Equal area images comprising ~1,200 cells per well were counted for each sample. Counting of cells and of cells with identifiable vacuoles was done using the Fiji image processing package (Schindelin \textit{et al}, 2012). ***P-value < 0.001 (n = 3); mean ± SEM.
**ERdj3 is co-secreted in complex with secreted destabilized mutant proteins**

Since ERdj3 binds misfolded, non-native protein conformations in the ER lumen (Shen & Hendershot, 2005), we wondered whether ERdj3 could be co-secreted into the extracellular environment in complex with non-natively folded proteins. To test this prediction, we employed the destabilized, misfolding-prone A25T transthyretin mutant (TTR<sup>A25T</sup>), a highly amyloidogenic, poorly secreted, disease-associated TTR variant (Sekijima et al., 2003, 2005), previously shown to interact with ERdj3 intracellularly (Shoulders et al., 2013). We immunopurified TTR from conditioned media collected from either a mixed population of HEK293T cells individually overexpressing FLAG-tagged TTR<sup>A25T</sup> (FTTTR<sup>A25T</sup>) or ERdj3<sup>WT</sup> (Fig 5A, “co-incubation”; a condition where FTTTR<sup>A25T</sup> and ERdj3<sup>WT</sup> can only interact in the media) or cells co-overexpressing FTTTR<sup>A25T</sup> and ERdj3<sup>WT</sup>.

**Figure 5. ERdj3 is co-secreted with destabilized proteins through the secretory pathway.**

- **A** Schematic describing the co-incubation and co-expression experiments used to demonstrate ERdj3 co-secretion with destabilized TTR. For co-incubation, HEK293T cells overexpressing FLAG-tag TTR<sup>FTTTR</sup> variants were seeded with an equal number of cells overexpressing ERdj3<sup>WT</sup>. For co-expression experiments, HEK293T cells are transfected with both FTTTR<sup>WT</sup> and ERdj3<sup>WT</sup>. FTTTR was immunopurified with M2 anti-FLAG beads from media conditioned overnight on the cells, eluted, and separated by SDS-PAGE for immunoblotting.
- **B** Immunoblot of M2 anti-FLAG immunopurifications from the conditioned media collected from HEK293T cells overexpressing FTTTR<sup>FTTTR</sup> and ERdj3<sup>WT</sup> either as a co-incubation or co-expression experiment as shown in (A). Beads were washed in RIPA buffer prior to elution. Media inputs (1:400) are shown as a control.
- **C** Immunoblot of M2 anti-FLAG immunopurifications from media collected from HEK293T cells overexpressing ERdj3<sup>WT</sup> and FTTTR<sup>WT</sup> variants as indicated. Media inputs (1:400) are shown as a control.
- **D** Immunoblot of M2 anti-FLAG immunopurifications from conditioned media of HEK293T cells overexpressing FTTTR<sup>WT</sup> and ERdj3<sup>WT</sup> in the presence of the indicated concentrations of Tafamidis, a kinetic stabilizer of TTR tetramers. Media inputs (1:400) are shown as a control.
- **E** Immunoblot of 6E10 anti-APP immunopurifications from the conditioned media of CHO cells or CHO-derived APP<sub>6E10</sub>-expressing (7WD10) cells overexpressing ERdj3<sup>WT</sup> as indicated. Cells were replated together as indicated 24 h after transfection at equal stoichiometry, and media conditioned for 36 h. In this case, ERdj3<sup>WT</sup>-transfected CHO cells replated with mock-transfected 7WD10 cells serves as the co-incubation condition, while mock-transfected CHO cells replated with ERdj3<sup>WT</sup>-transfected 7WD10 cells comprise the co-expression condition. Media inputs (1:150) are shown as a control.

Source data are available online for this figure.
ERdj3WT (Fig 5A; "co-expression"; a condition where FTTTRG25T and ERdj3WT can interact both in the secretory pathway and in the media). Following stringent washing of the immunoprecipitated secreted TTR in high-detergent RIPA buffer, we eluted and quantified the amount of ERdj3 associated with FTTTRG25T by immunoblotting. Despite similar media levels of both ERdj3WT and FTTTRG25T in the distinct experimental paradigms, ERdj3 efficiently co-immunopurifies with FTTTRG25T only in media collected from cells co-overexpressing both ERdj3WT and FTTTRG25T (Fig 5B). ERdj3 does not co-immunopurify with TTR in media collected from cells co-overexpressing stable, FLAG-tagged wild-type TTR (FTTTRWT) and ERdj3WT, indicating that ERdj3–TTR complex formation is specific to destabilized TTR (Fig 5B). We observe a modest amount of ERdj3 associated with FTTTRG25T, but not FTTTRWT, in the "co-incubation" condition when the immunoprecipitate is washed less stringently with mild detergent, indicating that free ERdj3 and FTTTRG25T do interact extracellularly, but more weakly than in the co-secreted complex (Supplementary Fig S5A). We obtained similar results in HepG2 and SH-SY5Y cells, where we observed that endogenously secreted ERdj3 selectively co-immunopurifies with overexpressed FTTTRG25T, but not FTTTRWT (Supplementary Fig S5B–D). Interestingly, we do not recover all of the total secreted ERdj3 in these experiments (compare FTTTRG25T immunopurifications and inputs in Supplementary Fig S5B and D), suggesting that even under conditions where a destabilized misfolding-prone protein is overexpressed, ERdj3 can still be secreted as a free protein available for the binding of misfolded proteins in the extracellular environment. Critically, ERdj3WT overexpression does not promote the secretion of the highly destabilized, non-secreted FTTTRG25T variant (Hammarstrom et al, 2003; Sato et al, 2007) (Fig 5C), despite a strong intracellular interaction between ERdj3 and FTTTRG25T (Supplementary Fig SSE). This result indicates that secretion in complex with ERdj3 is not a mechanism for a misfolding-prone protein to evade ER quality control.

Interestingly, ERdj3WT co-secretion with FTTM-TTR, a stable, secreted monomeric TTR double mutant unable to form native TTR homotetramers (Jiang et al, 2001; Sekijima et al, 2005) is lower than with FTTTRG25T (Fig 5C), indicating that secretion of ERdj3–TTR complexes requires a destabilized TTR. We further explored the dependence of ERdj3–TTR co-secretion on TTR stability using the small molecule TTR kinetic stabilizer Tafamidis (Bulawa et al, 2013). Tafamidis binds to and stabilizes the native TTR tetramer in the ER lumen, acting as a pharmacologic chaperone (Shoulders et al, 2013). The addition of Tafamidis to cells co-overexpressing FTTTRG25T and ERdj3WT increased FTTTRG25T secretion while eliminating the co-immunopurification of ERdj3WT with FTTTRG25T in conditioned media (Fig 5D). These results demonstrate that ERdj3 co-secretion requires client destabilization.

We next evaluated whether ERdj3 could be co-secreted in complex with other destabilized, secreted proteins. Motivated by the substoichiometric inhibition of APP1–40 aggregation by ERdj3, we measured ERdj3 co-secretion in a stable CHO-derived cell line (7WD10) that secretes a spectrum of APP1–40-associated cleavage products, including APP1–40 (Xia et al, 1997). We collected conditioned media from plates with either a mixed population of CHO cells overexpressing GFP and 7WD10 cells overexpressing ERdj3WT (mimicking "co-expression") or a mixed population of CHO cells overexpressing ERdj3WT and of 7WD10 cells, which produce APP, also overexpressing GFP (mimicking "co-incubation"). Aβ-containing species were then immunoprecipitated from the conditioned media with the 6E10 antibody, which recognizes an epitope within the Aβ fragment, and eluates were analyzed by immunoblotting. ERdj3 only co-immunopurifies with APP fragments from media conditioned on 7WD10 cells overexpressing ERdj3WT (i.e., "co-expression" of ERdj3WT and APP) (Fig 5E), demonstrating that the secretion of ERdj3–client complexes is a mechanism not limited to destabilized TTRs.

Other secreted chaperones, most prominently clusterin, also have the capacity to bind misfolded proteins in the extracellular space and prevent extracellular protein aggregation into proteotoxic conformations (Wyatt et al, 2013). Interestingly, while the steady-state plasma concentration of clusterin is reported to be between 500 nM and 2 μM (Schrijvers et al, 2011; Silajdžič et al, 2012; van Dijk et al, 2013), about 20- to 80-fold higher than we report for ERdj3, it is not induced by the UPR; rather, UPR induction reduces clusterin secretion (Nizard et al, 2007). This suggests that ERdj3 has a unique role in regulating extracellular proteostasis in response to ER stress. Since clusterin is not known to contribute to ER proteostasis, we explored whether clusterin can co-secrete from the ER with destabilized proteins using a C-terminally HA-tagged clusterin (CLUHAHA) and FTTTRG25T. While we detected abundant clusterin from FTTTRG25T immunosolations in the co-expression condition, as compared to the co-incubation condition, we also observed a sharp increase in secreted FTTTRG25T upon clusterin co-overexpression (Supplementary Fig S5F). Furthermore, when we co-express clusterin with the non-secreted FTTTRG25T mutant, we detected a significant increase of FTTTRG25T secretion (Supplementary Fig SSE), an effect not seen with ERdj3 or explainable by variation in FTTTRG25T expression (Supplementary Fig S5H). These results suggest that formation of clusterin–client complexes can allow clients to evade ER quality control, clearly distinguishing the clusterin co-secretion mechanism from that observed with the UPR-regulated secreted chaperone ERdj3.

ERdj3-client co-secretion is regulated by ER proteostasis capacity

The differential capacity of ERdj3 and clusterin to be co-secreted with ER clients could represent the differential involvement of these secreted chaperones in ER proteostasis maintenance. ERdj3 functions intracellularly in a network with the ER HSP70 chaperone BIP, delivering misfolded proteins to BIP for ATP-dependent chaperoning. Alternatively, clusterin is not known to have functional interactions with any ER proteostasis pathway component. Thus, we predicted that the co-secretion of ERdj3 with substrate could be influenced by the activity and capacity of ER proteostasis factors such as BIP.

We tested whether ER stress influences the amount of endogenous ERdj3 co-secreted with FTTTRG25T. In the absence of stress, modest amounts of endogenous ERdj3 co-immunopurified with FTTTRG25T in conditioned media collected from HEK293T-Rex cells overexpressing FTTTRG25T (Supplementary Fig S6A). Despite reducing FTTTRG25T secretion through ER stress-dependent UPR activation (Shoulders et al, 2013), pretreatment with Tg increased the relative recovery of secreted ERdj3 in FTTTRG25T immunopurifications (Supplementary Fig S6A and B). This ER stress-induced change in the association between ERdj3 and its clients suggests that ER proteostasis network components are involved in regulating ERdj3 co-secretion.

Because intracellular ERdj3 delivers destabilized proteins to BIP for ATP-dependent chaperoning, co-secretion of ERdj3 with destabilized mutant proteins could reflect a relative deficit in the ER
chaperone capacity in the ER lumen (e.g., BiP levels). To evaluate the role of the ER chaperone environment on ERdj3–client co-secretion, we initially employed our HEK293DAX cell line that allows ligand-regulated activation of the UPR transcription factor ATF6 (Shoulders et al., 2013). ATF6 increases the expression of BiP and numerous BiP co-chaperones including ERdj3 and HYOU1 (Shoulders et al., 2013). Activation of ATF6 significantly reduced the relative recovery of secreted ERdj3 (normalized to recovered TTR) in FLAG immunpurifications of media collected from HEK293DAX cells overexpressing FTTTRA25T and ERdj3WT (Fig 6A and B). Interestingly, ATF6 activation did not influence the ERdj3 recovery in media when FTTTRA25T was co-overexpressed with ERdj3H53Q—an ERdj3 mutant deficient in delivering protein clients to BiP (Shen & Hendershot, 2005)—suggesting that BiP activity mediates the suppression of secreted ERdj3 (normalized to recovered TTR) in FLAG immunpurifications of media collected from HEK293DAX cells overexpressing FTTTRA25T and ERdj3WT (Fig 6A and B).

Figure 6. ERdj3–client protein complexes are co-secreted when BiP activity is limiting.

A Representative immunoblot of M2 anti-FLAG immunopurification of media conditioned for 24 h on HEK293DAX cells co-overexpressing FTTTRA25T and ERdj3WT or ERdj3H53Q. Media contained vehicle or trimethoprim (TMP, 10 μM, 16 h; activates ATF6), as indicated. Media inputs (1:400) are shown as a control. Lysates from these cells are also shown to confirm the increase of the ATF6 target proteins BiP and GRP94 in cells following TMP-dependent activation of ATF6.

B Bar graph depicting quantification of the change in the amount of ERdj3 co-immunoprecipitating with FTTTRA25T following activation of ATF6 as in (A). The ERdj3/TTR ratio is normalized to the ratio in the absence of ATF6 activation. *P-value < 0.05; n = 3, mean ± SEM.

C Representative immunoblot of M2 anti-FLAG immunopurification of media conditioned for 24 h on HEK293T cells co-overexpressing FTTTRA25T, ERdj3WT, ERdj3H53Q, and/or BiP as indicated. Media inputs (1:400) are shown as a control. Lysates from these cells are also shown to confirm the increase of BiP afforded by overexpression.

D Quantification of immunoblots of M2 anti-FLAG immunopurification of media collected from cells co-overexpressing either ERdj3WT or ERdj3H53Q, FTTTRA25T, and BiP as in (C). The ERdj3/TTR ratio is normalized to the ratio in the absence of BiP overexpression. **P-value < 0.01; n = 5, mean ± SEM.

E Immunoblot of 6E10 anti-APP immunopurifications from the conditioned media of CHO-derived APP751-expressing (7WD10) cells overexpressing ERdj3WT, ERdj3H53Q, and BiP as indicated. Media inputs (1:100) are shown as a control. Lysates from these cells are also shown to confirm the increase of BiP afforded by overexpression.

Source data are available online for this figure.
ERdj3\textsuperscript{WT-FFTR\textsuperscript{A25T}} complex secretion afforded by ATF6 activation. We directly tested the impact of BiP activity on ERdj3\textsuperscript{WT-FFTR\textsuperscript{A25T}} co-secretion in HEK293T cells overexpressing FFTR\textsuperscript{A25T}, ERdj3 (ERdj3\textsuperscript{WT} or ERdj3\textsuperscript{H53Q}), and BiP. BiP overexpression significantly reduces the relative recovery of secreted ERdj3\textsuperscript{WT} in FFTR\textsuperscript{A25T} immunopurifications in conditioned media, but does not reduce the relative recovery of secreted ERdj3\textsuperscript{H53Q} (Fig 6C and D). This indicates that a functional ERdj3–BiP interaction is necessary for the reduction in ERdj3\textsuperscript{WT-FFTR\textsuperscript{A25T}} complex secretion induced by BiP overexpression. Despite a robust interaction between BiP and intracellular FFTR\textsuperscript{A25T}, BiP does not appear in extracellular FFTR\textsuperscript{A25T} immunopurifications, consistent with our inability to observe extracellular BiP and supporting our model whereby extracellular ERdj3 functions independent of BiP (Supplementary Fig S6C). Co-overexpression of BiP similarly reduced co-secretion of ERdj3\textsuperscript{WT} with APP cleavage products, indicating that this effect is not limited to destabilized TTRs (Fig 6E). By contrast, and consistent with its lack of interaction with ER proteostasis pathways, the relative recovery of clusterin in FFTR\textsuperscript{A25T} immunopurifications is insensitive to BiP overexpression, indicating that clusterin co-secretion with FFTR\textsuperscript{A25T} is not influenced by BiP activity (Supplementary Fig S6D and E). These results are consistent with a model wherein if ERdj3 is unable to deliver a misfolded client protein to BiP, ERdj3 and the client protein will remain in complex and be secreted to the extracellular space.

**Discussion**

Protein synthesis is necessarily an intracellular process. Hence, extracellular metazoan environments such as the blood rely on cellular protein secretion to define extracellular protein concentrations and regulate the integrity of the secreted proteome. The presence of misfolding-prone proteins in the ER directly threatens this environment, as imbalances in ER proteostasis can impair the capacity for ER quality control pathways to prevent secretion of misfolded, aggregation-prone client proteins that in turn challenge the integrity of the extracellular proteome (Hetz & Mollereau, 2014). The UPR indirectly regulates extracellular proteostasis by attenuating the secretion of misfolded protein conformations that can both accumulate during and induce ER stress. Here, we demonstrate a direct role for the UPR in regulating extracellular proteostasis through the increased transcription and secretion of ERdj3. The capacity for UPR activation to influence extracellular proteostasis through ERdj3 secretion links protein misfolding in the secretory pathway to extracellular proteostasis, revealing a new mechanism by which cells coordinate intra- and extracellular environments in response to pathologic insults that induce ER stress (Fig 7).

In its role as a traditional HSP40 co-chaperone to BiP, ERdj3 can influence the trafficking of ER client proteins and is exploited for pathogenic toxin internalization (Yu & Haslam, 2005; Massey et al, 2011) and viral infection (Wen & Damania, 2010; Goodwin et al, 2011) (Fig 7). However, we find that at least half of newly synthesized ERdj3 is secreted. Secreted ERdj3 has the capacity to bind misfolded proteins and prevent their extracellular aggregation and proteotoxicity, suggesting that UPR-dependent increases in ERdj3 secretion offer a new potential mechanism to protect the local extracellular environment from toxic protein conformations that can be secreted during ER stress (Fig 7). Interestingly, ERdj3 also can also influence extracellular proteostasis through its co-secretion with destabilized, misfolding-prone client proteins. This capacity of ERdj3 to be co-secreted with misfolding-prone proteins avoids the problem of diffusion-limited encounter in the extracellular space.

ERdj3-client co-secretion serves as a natural bridge between the dual roles of ERdj3 as an ER HSP40 and in regulating extracellular proteostasis (Fig 7). In the ER, ERdj3 delivers misfolded clients (e.g., FFTR\textsuperscript{A25T}) into the BiP cycle for chaperoning. If inadequate free BiP is available, ERdj3 remains bound to its client protein throughout the secretory pathway and the client-ERdj3 complex can be secreted into the extracellular space, where lack of BiP prevents ERdj3 release from the substrate. When the ER must deal with high, persistent levels of misfolding-prone proteins, the UPR is activated, increasing the levels of ERdj3, BiP and other chaperones to offer
misfolding-prone proteins more ER chaperoning capacity. Simultaneously, increased intracellular ERdj3 is available to bind and co-secrete with misfolding-prone protein clients that evade ER quality control and traverse the secretory pathway, providing preemptive chaperone capacity to the extracellular space. Importantly, clusterin co-secretion, unlike ERdj3 co-secretion, assists destabilized clients in evading ER quality control, providing a potential reason for the reduced secretion of clusterin during conditions of ER stress. Thus, ERdj3 offers a unique link between ER and extracellular proteostasis, employing a mechanism that cannot be achieved with other known secreted chaperones.

The capacity of UPR-dependent activation of ATF6 to influence extracellular proteostasis through ERdj3 secretion indicates a potential role for this pathway in extracellular protein aggregation pathologies. UPR signaling is activated in many extracellular protein aggregation diseases, including Alzheimer’s disease and the systemic amyloidoses (Teixeira et al., 2006; Saxena et al., 2009; Hoozemans et al., 2012; Hetz & Mollereau, 2014). Our results showing that secreted ERdj3 can increase the extracellular chaperoning and prevent extracellular aggregation and/or proteotoxicity of disease-associated proteins such as TPrP, TTRA25T, and Aβ suggest that ATF6-dependent regulation of ERdj3 secretion is a potential mechanism to protect the extracellular space from proteotoxicity. Consistent with this prediction, presenilin mutations causatively associated with Alzheimer’s disease significantly impair ATF6 activation during stress (Katayama et al., 1999, 2001). Thus, a decreased capacity to regulate extracellular proteostasis through ATF6 activation and consequent ERdj3 secretion could be a contributing factor in the disease pathology of patients harboring these mutations.

We have established that UPR activation directly and adaptively regulates the composition of the extracellular proteostasis network through ERdj3 secretion. In particular, UPR-induced secretion of ERdj3 offers a mechanism for organisms to adapt to the presence of destabilized proteins in the secretory pathway and increase extracellular chaperoning capacity through two mechanisms: (1) the secretion of free ERdj3 available to bind misfolding-prone proteins in the extracellular environment and (2) the co-secretion of ERdj3-client complexes, which preemptively protects the extracellular environment from proteotoxic protein conformations. This potentially provides an endogenous mechanism to prevent ER stress-induced increases in extracellular protein aggregation and proteotoxicity that can lead to degenerative phenotypes. Our identification of ERdj3 as a UPR-induced secreted chaperone demonstrates that targeting adaptive stress responses, particularly the ATF6 arm of the UPR, can enhance the maintenance of extracellular proteostasis, offering a promising approach to better understand and intervene in diseases characterized by extracellular protein aggregation.

Materials and Methods

Ethics statement

The protocol for the murine fasting/refeeding experiments was approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of The Scripps Research Institute (TSRI). Non-nutritional bedding was provided so that these mice did not need to be deprived of bedding during the fast. The protocol for high-fructose diet experiments was reviewed and approved by the Committee on Use and Care of Animals at the Sanford Burnham Medical Research Institute.

Plasmid DNA, shRNA, and antibodies

ERdj3WT, ERdj3H53Q, and ERdj3KDEL overexpression constructs were prepared in the pcDNA3.1(+) vector and Clusterin-HA in the pcI-neo.XHA vector. Plasmid construction is detailed in Supplementary Methods. FTTR25T and FTTRWT are in the pcDNA1 plasmids, as previously reported (Shoulders et al., 2013). The FTBip overexpression plasmid is in the pCMV1 vector. The ERdj3 shRNA GIPZ shRNAmir and non-silencing constructs were purchased from OpenBioSystems. Polyclonal antibodies were used to detect ERdj3 (rabbit, Proteintech) and HYOU1 (rabbit, Genetex), while mouse monoclonal anti-tubulin, anti-β-actin, 6E10 anti-Aβ, and M2 anti-FLAG were from Sigma. M1 anti-FLAG agarose beads and M2 anti-FLAG Dynabeads were from Sigma. Polyclonal rabbit antibody to TTR has been reported previously (Sekijima et al., 2005). Mouse monoclonal antibodies against KDEL (Assay Designs), HA (Covance), and BIP (E-4, Santa Cruz) were used. Secondary antibodies were purchased from Li-Cor.

Mammalian cell culture

HEK293T, Huh7, HeLa, HepG2, and CHO cells and derived lines were cultured in DMEM (Invitrogen) with 10% FBS (Gibco) supplemented with Pen/Strep and glutamine. HEK293T were transfected by calcium phosphate deposition, with a medium change the following day, while CHO and SH-SY5Y cells were transfected using X-tremeGENE 9 (Roche). SH-SY5Y cells were cultured similarly with 50:50 DMEM/F12 (Invitrogen). Activating ligands trimethoprim (TMP) (RPI, Illinois, USA) and doxycycline were used at 10 μM and 1 μg/ml, respectively. Thapsigargin was added to cell media immediately prior to incubation at the indicated concentrations. Stable lines for APP751 or ERdj3 overexpression, or for shRNA knockdown, were established by selection and maintained in 500 μg/ml G418 or 1 μg/ml puromycin, respectively. ATF6, XBP1s, and GFP adenoviruses were propagated and applied as reported previously (Shoulders et al., 2013).

Metabolic labeling and pulse chase

Following the indicated drug treatments, HEK293DAX and Huh7 cells on poly-D-lysine-coated plates were washed well with PBS and metabolically labeled in pulse medium (DMEM without Cys and Met (BioShop)) with [35S]-Cys/Met (MP Biomedicals, ~0.1 μCi/ml final concentration), 10% 10K MWCO-dialyzed FBS, and supplemented with glutamine and penicillin/streptomycin for 30 min. Cells were then washed well with pre-warmed media and incubated in pre-warmed complete media for the indicated chase time. After the chase, the media were collected, cells were washed well with PBS and lysed on the plate in RIPA on ice for 20 min, and the lysates centrifuged to remove cellular debris. To ensure complete denaturation, lysates were brought to 1% SDS and 1 mM DTT and boiled at 100°C for 5 min, after which they were diluted to 0.1% SDS and 100 μM DTT, pre-cleared over sepharose 4B (Sigma) and immunoprecipitated using anti-ERdj3 antibody (Proteintech) on Protein A-conjugated
sepharose beads (Invitrogen). Elution was performed by boiling 10 min in 6× Laemmli reducing buffer. Eluates were separated by SDS-PAGE, and the gels dried and exposed to an autoradiography cassette overnight. The cassette was scanned on a Typhoon imager, and band intensities were quantified by densitometry in ImageQuant. Error is presented as SEM of at least three replicates, with P-values determined by the Student’s two-tailed t-test.

**Quantitative RT–PCR**

The quantitative PCR protocol and primers have been previously described (Shoulders et al., 2013). RNA was extracted from cells (RNeasy Mini Kit, Qiagen) and cDNA synthesized from 500 ng of total RNA (QuantiTect Reverse Transcription Kit, Qiagen). qPCR (QuantiTect SYBR Green PCR Kit, Qiagen) on this cDNA was performed (45 cycles of 15 s at 94°C, 30 s at 57°C, 30 s at 72°C) in technical triplicate on an ABI PRISM 7900 System.

**Murine experiments**

For fasting/refeeding experiments, one male and five female 6-week-old DBA/2J mice, maintained on normal chow (5% fat), were subjected to an 18 h fast with non-nutritional bedding, followed by 12 h on a high-fat (60%) diet (Research Diets) as reported previously (Oyadomari et al., 2008). Immediately prior to the fast and at indicated time points, mice were anesthetized with isoflurane and aliquots of serum extracted retro-orbitally. The same population of mice was used for each time point. For the high-fructose diet experiments, either normal chow or a high-fructose diet (60% fructose, TD. 89247, Harlan Teklad; Madison, WI) was given to C57/BId for 2 days, 7 days, and 6 weeks, with three to four mice in each experimental group. At each experimental termination point, mice were sacrificed after a 4 h fast and serum and livers were collected for immunoblotting analysis.

**TPPR treatment and image analysis**

TPPR toxicity assay was performed as described (Zhou et al., 2012). OptiMEM I (Life Technologies) with 5% FBS was conditioned on HEK293T-Rex-derived cells for 30 h. Collected media were sterile-filtered and concentrated about 5 fold using an Amicon ultra centrifugal unit with 3 kDa MW cutoff (EMD Millipore). About 1,000 PK1 endoplasmic reticulum Grp78, BiP, and ER stress transducers in the unfolded-protein response. Nat Cell Biol 2: 526–532
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