Parkin and PINK1 function in a vesicular trafficking pathway regulating mitochondrial quality control
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Abstract

Mitochondrial dysfunction has long been associated with Parkinson’s disease (PD). Parkin and PINK1, two genes associated with familial PD, have been implicated in the degradation of depolarized mitochondria via autophagy (mitophagy). Here, we describe the involvement of parkin and PINK1 in a vesicular pathway regulating mitochondrial quality control. This pathway is distinct from canonical mitophagy and is triggered by the generation of oxidative stress from within mitochondria. Wild-type but not PD-linked mutant parkin supports the biogenesis of a population of mitochondrial-derived vesicles (MDVs), which bud off mitochondria and contain a specific repertoire of cargo proteins. These MDVs require PINK1 expression and ultimately target to lysosomes for degradation. We hypothesize that loss of this parkin- and PINK1-dependent trafficking mechanism impairs the ability of mitochondria to selectively degrade oxidized and damaged proteins leading, over time, to the mitochondrial dysfunction noted in PD.

Keywords MDV; mitochondria; mitophagy; Parkin; PINK1

Introduction

Mitochondrial quality control, a term encompassing various cellular pathways overseeing the regulated turnover of mitochondrial proteins and lipids, ensures the functionality of the mitochondrial reticulum throughout the lifetime of the cell (Youle & van der Bliek, 2012). The deterioration of these mechanisms has been hypothesized to underlie the pathogenesis of several neurodegenerative diseases, most notably Parkinson’s disease (PD), the second-most common neurodegenerative disorder worldwide (Tatsuta & Langer, 2008; Dawson et al., 2010; Schon & Przedborski, 2011). Parkin and PINK1, two PD-linked genes initially implicated in a common pathway regulating mitochondrial function in Drosophila, have been shown to mediate the degradation of dysfunctional mitochondria that have become depolarized by treatment of cells with the uncoupler CCCP (Greene et al., 2003; Clark et al., 2006; Park et al., 2006; Yang et al., 2006; Poole et al., 2008). In this paradigm, PINK1, a serine/threonine kinase, globally accumulates on depolarized mitochondria and recruits parkin from the cytosol to mitochondria in a manner dependent upon PINK1 phosphorylation activity (Narendra et al., 2008, 2010; Matsuda et al., 2010; Vives-Bauza et al., 2010; Greene et al., 2012). At depolarized mitochondria, parkin, an E3 ubiquitin ligase, catalyzes the polyubiquitination of several substrates, including the mitofusins and VDACs, and triggers whole-mitochondrial engulfment by autophagosomes and subsequent degradation through the autophagosome-lysosome pathway (a process known as mitophagy; Narendra et al., 2008; Geisler et al., 2010; Poole et al., 2010; Tanaka et al., 2010; Ziviani et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2011; Sun et al., 2012). Kinetic studies of mitophagic clearance of entire mitochondria by PINK1 and parkin have demonstrated that this process occurs on the scale of several hours to days (Chan et al., 2011; Yoshi et al., 2011).

Recently, we showed that a subpopulation of mitochondrial-derived vesicles (MDVs)—cargo-selective vesicles that bud off mitochondria independently of the mitochondrial fission machinery—play a role in mitochondrial quality control (Neuspiel et al., 2008; Soubannier et al., 2012a,b). We have shown that MDVs mediate transport between mitochondria and various other organelles in response to a variety of stimuli (Neuspiel et al., 2008; Braschi et al., 2010; Soubannier et al., 2012a). Correspondingly, upon generation of cytosolic oxidative stress, we observed the biogenesis of mitochondrial vesicles that ultimately targeted to lysosomes for degradation, on a scale of tens of minutes to an hour (Soubannier et al., 2012a). As in vitro reconstitution experiments demonstrated that these vesicles were enriched for oxidized proteins (Soubannier et al., 2012b), we hypothesized that certain populations of mitochondrial vesicles may regulate a form of mitochondrial quality control, kinetically faster than mitophagy, which would function prior to total depolarization in an effort to preserve the integrity of the organelle.

Here, we demonstrate the involvement of parkin and PINK1 in the generation of MDVs in response to mitochondrial oxidative
stress. Parkin colocalizes with MDVs in a PINK1-dependent manner, and stimulates their formation in response to antimycin A, a potent generator of reactive oxygen species (ROS). Once formed, these vesicles target to lysosomes for degradation in a manner independent of canonical mitophagy. These findings implicate PINK1 and parkin in a mitochondrial quality control pathway that operates at an early stage in order to salvage mitochondria by selectively extracting damaged components via vesicular carriers. We propose that it is only once this first line of defense is overwhelmed—and that mitochondria become irreversibly damaged—that the entire organelle is targeted for degradation by mitophagy.

**Results**

**Mitochondrial ROS generate mitochondrial vesicles in a parkin-dependent manner**

To test whether parkin is involved in a mitochondrial vesicular trafficking pathway, we expressed GFP-parkin and a mitochondrial matrix-targeted DsRed2 (OCT-DsRed2) in HeLa cells, which express no endogenous parkin (Denison et al., 2003). Throughout this study, to ensure that we were examining MDVs rather than mitochondrial fragments generated by fission, Drp1-dependent fission was suppressed by either overexpressing a dominant-negative Drp1 mutant (CFP-Drp1K38E; Supplementary Fig S1; Harder et al., 2004) or by reducing endogenous Drp1 levels via siRNA. These cells, subjected to a variety of treatments, were fixed and immunostained against the outer mitochondrial membrane (OMM) protein TOM20 (Fig 1A). In untreated cells, GFP-parkin remained predominantly cytosolic, and both mitochondrial markers overlapped almost perfectly (Fig 1B, untreated). As expected, disruption of ΔΨm with CCCP led to recruitment of parkin en masse to the mitochondrial reticulum (Fig 1B, CCCP), which remained elongated as Drp1-dependent fission was inhibited by CFP-Drp1K38E. In our previous study, the generation of ROS within mitochondria in COS7 cells (which express low levels of endogenous parkin) using the complex III inhibitor antimycin A, led to the formation of specific MDVs that incorporated selective cargo (Soubannier et al., 2012a). In vitro reconstitution followed by biochemical and ultrastructural analysis showed that these vesicles were enriched for oxidized cargo proteins, and that matrix cargo-containing MDVs, which excluded TOM20, were double-membrane structures containing both an inner and outer membrane (Soubannier et al., 2012b).

**Figure 1.** Parkin promotes the biogenesis of cargo-selective MDVs in response to mitochondrial ROS.

A Schematic depicting the location of relevant protein markers relative to the mitochondrion, as well as the site of action of pharmacological agents used.

B HeLa cells expressing GFP-parkin (green), OCT-DsRed2 (red) and CFP-Drp1K38E were left untreated (untreated) or treated for 2 h with 10 μM CCCP (CCCP), then fixed and stained for TOM20 (blue). Scale bars, 30 μm.

C Cells prepared as in (B) were treated with 50 μM antimycin A for 2 h. Arrows indicate OCT-DsRed2-positive/TOM20-negative MDVs that colocalize with GFP-parkin, while circles indicate MDVs that are parkin-negative. Arrowheads indicate nascent vesicles, adjacent to mitochondria, exhibiting cargo selectivity and parkin recruitment. Open arrowheads indicate parkin-negative MDVs containing the reciprocal cargo (TOM20-positive/OCT-DsRed2-negative). Cell boundaries are delineated in the GFP-parkin single-channel image. Scale bars, 30 μm.
Strikingly, treatment of HeLa cells with antimycin A for 2 h led to the recruitment of GFP-parkin from the cytosol to puncta containing the matrix-targeted DsRed2 but not TOM20 (Fig 1C, boxes i to iii). Although we only observed these antimycin A-induced, matrix-positive/TOM20-negative MDVs in HeLa cells expressing GFP-parkin and not GFP alone (Fig 2A), only 20–40% of these structures colocalized with parkin, often at the periphery of mitochondria on what appeared to be nascent, budding vesicles (Fig 1C, arrowheads), as well as ones that had dissociated completely from mitochondria (Fig 1C, arrows). Thus, whereas both parkin and mitochondrial ROS are required to induce this population of double-membraned mitochondrial vesicles, it appears that the association of parkin with these structures is transient and occurs initially at sites of MDV budding.

Importantly, we found that the antimycin A-induced vesicular recruitment of parkin was specific to this OCT-DsRed2-positive/TOM20-negative MDV population, as vesicles with the reciprocal cargo (TOM20-positive/OCT-DsRed2-negative) lacked parkin (Fig 1C, open arrowheads). Moreover, treatment of GFP-parkin-expressing HeLa cells with antimycin A did not increase the overall number of this other, reciprocal vesicle population (Supplementary Fig S2A). When cells were treated with glucose oxidase, which induces ROS formation external to mitochondria, for 2 h—a treatment that triggers the robust formation of TOM20-positive/matrix-negative vesicles (Soubannier et al., 2012a)—we again did not observe the recruitment GFP-parkin to these MDVs (Supplementary Fig S2B, open arrowheads). Thus, parkin is recruited to a specific population of MDVs upon generation of mitochondrial ROS.

Next, we tested whether different PD-linked parkin mutants (depicted in Fig 2B) could induce MDVs in response to antimycin A. The number of matrix-positive, TOM20-negative structures was quantified in antimycin A-treated HeLa cells expressing GFP, GFP-parkinWT, GFP-parkinR42P, GFP-parkinK211N or GFP-parkinC431F. Only upon expression of GFP-parkinWT did we observe the formation of matrix-positive MDVs (Fig 2A and C). In contrast, both GFP-parkinK211N and GFP-parkinC431F failed to generate mitochondrial vesicles (Fig 2A and C). The mutation of the catalytic C431 abolishes parkin ubiquitin ligase activity (Trempe et al., 2013), suggesting a role for ubiquitination in MDV biogenesis, and the GFP-parkinK211N has been previously reported to be completely deficient in depolarization-induced parkin translocation (Geisler et al., 2010). In the case of cells expressing GFP-parkinR42P, it was

---

Figure 2. Wild-type parkin, but not PD-associated parkin mutants, promotes MDV biogenesis.

A Quantification of the number of OCT-DsRed2-positive/TOM20-negative vesicles in cells expressing GFP, GFP-parkinWT, GFP-parkinR42P, GFP-parkinK211N, or GFP-parkinC431F, treated with or without antimycin A (anti A) as in (C); both the total number (white bars) and the number colocalizing with GFP-parkin (gray bars) are indicated. Bars represent the mean ± s.e.m. P-values are given first for GFP-/GFP-parkin-positive vesicles, then for total vesicle number (n = 49–68 cells in 2–3 experiments); ns, not significant; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001.

B Structure of parkin, with PD-linked residues from (D) highlighted in dark gray (PDB ID: 4K95). Ubl, ubiquitin-like domain (red); RING0, RING0 domain (green); RING1, RING1 domain (cyan); IBR, in-between RING (magenta); REP, repressor element of parkin (yellow); RING2, RING2 domain (salmon).

C HeLa cells expressing various GFP-parkin mutant constructs (green), OCT-DsRed2 (red) and CFP-Drp1K38E were treated with 50 μM antimycin A for 2 h, then fixed and immunostained against TOM20. Arrows indicate matrix-positive/TOM20-negative structures colocalizing with GFP-parkin. Scale bars, 30 μm.

D Immunoblot of whole-cell lysates of HeLa cells expressing various GFP-parkin constructs, treated with 50 μM antimycin A (anti A, +) or DMSO (−) for 2 h.

Source data are available online for this figure.
found that, although the antimycin A-dependent increase in total matrix-positive, TOM20-negative vesicles was not significant (Fig 2A and C), parkin colocalized with most of the few mitochondrial vesicles present in these treated cells (Fig 2A). The R42P mutation disrupts the folding of the UbI domain but does not abolish CCCP-induced mitochondrial translocation (Safadi & Shaw, 2007; Geisler et al., 2010; Narendra et al., 2010). Moreover, as an intact UbI is critical for parkin to interact with membrane trafficking partners (Fallon et al., 2006; Trempe et al., 2009), we hypothesize that a functional UbI domain may facilitate interactions between parkin and trafficking proteins downstream of vesicle formation. Additionally, we do not disregard the possibility that GFP-parkinK42E-induced MDV formation may simply be kinetically slower in comparison to the wild-type (WT).

To further extend our findings and show they were not cell type-specific, instead of HeLa cells, we used U2OS cells stably expressing either GFP (U2OS:GFP) or GFP-parkin (U2OS:GFP-parkin) and, instead of CFP-Drp1K38E, we used Drp1 siRNA to inhibit mitochondrial fission (Fig 3A). To determine whether the parkin- and antimycin A-induced structures could incorporate endogenous mitochondrial proteins, instead of OCT-DsRed2, the cells were stained with antibodies raised against the E2 and E3bp subunits of the pyruvate dehydrogenase complex (PDH E2/E3bp, localized to the mitochondrial matrix; Fig 1A) as well as TOM20. We again observed cargo-selective (PDH E2/E3bp-positive/TOM20-negative) structures that did (Fig 3B, arrows) or did not (Fig 3B, circles) colocalize with parkin after treatment. Expectedly, silencing of Drp1 had no effect on the total number of MDVs observed, confirming that MDVs are not a product of mitochondrial fission (Fig 3C). Again, only a fraction of the parkin-induced structures colocalized with GFP-parkin (Fig 3C) and those that did were predominantly adjacent to mitochondrial tubules (Fig 3D and E). Next, we asked whether parkin is required for the formation of this class of MDVs. We had previously shown that, in COS7 cells, a population of PDH E2/E3 bp-positive, TOM20-negative MDVs was similarly induced by treatment with antimycin A (Soubannier et al., 2012a). Silencing of endogenous parkin with siRNA in these cells (Supplementary Fig S3A) significantly reduced the number of PDH E2/E3 bp-positive, TOM20-negative MDVs that formed in response to antimycin A (Supplementary Fig S3B). Thus, the ROS-induced biogenesis of this class of MDV requires endogenous parkin in COS7 cells and is stimulated by parkin expression under multiple conditions in a variety of cell lines.

To further characterize the endogenous cargo being carried by these vesicles, we also screened a variety of other mitochondrial proteins to determine if they, like PDH E2/E3 bp and OCT-DsRed2, were incorporated into the parkin-dependent MDVs in U2OS:GFP-parkin cells. Strikingly, we did not observe sorting of the E1x subunit of the PDH complex (PDH E1a) in parkin-dependent MDVs (Fig 3F and G), suggesting that the megadalton PDH complex is at least partially disassembled before incorporation into vesicles. Moreover, while COXI (a transmembrane component of complex IV of the electron transport chain [ETC] located in the inner mitochondrial membrane [IMM]) was incorporated into the antimycin A/ parkin-induced MDV population, several other mitochondrial proteins, including cytochrome c (intermembrane space [IMS]), TIM23 (IMM) and TRAP1 (IMS/matrix) were not (Fig 3F and G). These data confirm that cargo selection extends beyond the exclusion of TOM20 and suggest that components from the same mitochondrial locale and complex sort differentially into vesicles, in line with what has been observed in in vitro reconstitution assays (Soubannier et al., 2012b).

Mitochondrially localized parkin has been shown to polyubiquitinate and mediate the degradation of OMM proteins in a manner dependent upon p97/VCP and the proteasome (Tanaka et al., 2010; Chan et al., 2011; Yoshii et al., 2011). Thus, it could be conceived that the exclusion of TOM20 from the observed parkin-dependent, antimycin A-induced MDV population may result from its proteasomal degradation. To test this, we treated HeLa cells expressing GFP-parkin with antimycin A in the presence of MG132 or epoxomicin, two proteasomal inhibitors (Supplementary Fig S4A). Under circumstances of proteasomal inhibition, we observed no decrease in the total number of antimycin A-induced PDH E2/E3 bp-positive/TOM20-negative structures, or those colocalizing with parkin (Supplementary Fig S4B). Additionally, we did not observe a change in the ratios of PDH E2/E3 bp-positive/TOM20-negative puncta (vesicles) with those that were doubly-positive (mitochondrial fragments) that colocalized with GFP-parkin (Supplementary Fig S4C), as would be expected if parkin were degrading TOM20 from the surface of these structures. We also did not observe any change in total levels of TOM20 in U2OS:GFP-parkin cells treated with antimycin A compared to those treated with DMSO (Supplementary Fig S4D and E). Finally, we silenced p97/VCP expression in U2OS:GFP-parkin cells (Supplementary Fig S4F), and observed no change in the total number of antimycin A-induced MDVs (Supplementary Fig S4G). Taken together with our data demonstrating differential sorting of endogenous cargo into vesicles (Fig 3), these results implicate bona fide selective incorporation—rather than selective degradation—in the generation of parkin-dependent MDVs.

**Parkin MDVs are targeted to lysosomes for degradation independently of autophagy**

As our previous study had shown that ROS-induced mitochondrial vesicles are targeted to lysosomes for degradation (Soubannier et al., 2012a), we suspected the fate of parkin-dependent vesicles to be similar. To address this, we first silenced Drp1 expression in HeLa cells (Supplementary Fig S5A), and overexpressed either GFP or GFP-parkin. In cells expressing GFP-parkin, we saw an increase in PDH E2/E3 bp-positive/TOM20-negative structures after antimycin A treatment (Supplementary Fig S5B), similar to what we had observed in U2OS:GFP-parkin cells. We then incubated these HeLa cells with antimycin A in the presence of the V-ATPase inhibitor bafilomycin A1 or the lysosomal hydrolase inhibitors pepstatin A and E64d, inhibitors commonly used in the study of the lysosomal degradative pathway (Mizushima et al., 2010; Klionsky et al., 2012). Both the total number of PDH E2/E3 bp-positive/TOM20-negative MDVs, as well as the number of vesicles that colocalized with GFP-parkin, increased significantly in the presence of antimycin A and bafilomycin or pepstatin/E64d compared to treatment with antimycin A alone (Fig 4A and B). The increase resulting from lysosomal inhibition was parkin-dependent, as it was not observed in cells expressing an empty GFP vector (Fig 4B).

Given that parkin-dependent mitochondrial turnover via autophagy requires mitochondrial fission (Twig et al., 2008; Tanaka et al., 2012)
et al., 2010) and that Drp1 was suppressed in our cells, it is unlikely that the parkin-induced MDV biogenesis that we observed involved canonical autophagy pathways. To definitively test whether the role of parkin in the formation of mitochondrial vesicles is distinct from its established role in mitophagy, MDV formation in autophagy-deficient cell systems was investigated. We observed antimycin A-induced mitochondrial vesicles in parkin-expressing, immortalized mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) generated from both WT mice and mice lacking Atg5 (an essential component of the canonical autophagic machinery, and required for parkin-dependent mitophagy; Supplementary Fig S6A). In HeLa cells, expression of Atg5, beclin-1 (a core component of the PI(3)K complex regulating autophagy) and Rab9 (implicated in an alternative autophagic pathway) were individually silenced using siRNA (Supplementary Fig S6B; Xie & Klionsky, 2007; Narendra et al., 2010; Nishida et al., 2009). In these cells, autophagy was severely inhibited, as evidenced by the lack of GFP-LC3-positive autophagosomes (Supplementary Fig S6C). When GFP-parkin was expressed in these autophagy-deficient HeLa cells, we again observed an antimycin A-dependent increase in the total number of PDH E2/E3 bp-positive/TOM20-negative vesicles (Fig 4C and D). As these numbers remained unchanged between autophagy-deficient and control cells, it is unlikely that these autophagy-related proteins play a role in parkin-dependent MDV biogenesis or degradation, as, in these instances, the number of vesicles would be expected to decrease or increase, respectively.

**PINK1 is required for parkin-dependent MDV biogenesis**

Given that parkin-dependent mitophagy has been shown to require PINK1 protein stabilization on mitochondria (Geisler et al., 2010; Matsuda et al., 2010; Narendra et al., 2010; Vives-Bauza et al., 2010), the involvement of PINK1 in parkin-dependent MDV formation was investigated. PINK1 expression was silenced via siRNA-mediated knockdown in HeLa cells expressing GFP-parkin (Fig 5A), and the number of PDH E2/E3 bp-positive/TOM20-negative structures was quantified after treatment with antimycin A. Interestingly, it was observed that, in cells lacking PINK1, antimycin A-induced, parkin-dependent MDV biogenesis was severely abrogated (Fig 5B and C). This finding indicates that PINK1 and parkin regulate both mitophagy and mitochondrial vesicle formation, and may do so in response to distinct stimuli. ROS generation may induce the formation of MDVs in an effort to preserve organelle integrity, while mitophagy may occur only once this vesicular system is overwhelmed and \( \Delta \psi_m \) has been lost.

**Parkin-dependent MDV formation and turnover precedes mitophagy**

In order to test our hypothesis that, relative to mitophagy, parkin-dependent vesicle biogenesis represents an early response to mitochondrial stress, we performed kinetic experiments in U2OS:GFP-parkin cells, a cell line which undergoes parkin-dependent mitophagy in response to distinct stimuli. ROS generation may induce the formation of MDVs in an effort to preserve organelle integrity, while mitophagy may occur only once this vesicular system is overwhelmed and \( \Delta \psi_m \) has been lost.

![Figure 3. Parkin-dependent MDVs incorporate endogenous mitochondrial cargo.](http://example.com/figure3)

A Representative immunoblot of whole-cell lysates from U2OS:GFP and U2OS:GFP-parkin cells transfected with non-targeting siRNA or siRNA targeting Drp1 (siDrp1).

B U2OS:GFP-parkin cells transfected with siRNA targeting Drp1 were treated with DMSO (upper panels) or 25 \( \mu \text{M} \) antimycin A (anti A, lower panels) for 90 min, then fixed and immunostained against PDH (red) and TOM20 (blue). PDH E2/E3 bp-positive/TOM20-negative MDVs colocalizing with GFP-parkin (arrows) or not (circles) are indicated. Scale bars, 20 \( \mu \text{m} \) (first panels on left) and 2 \( \mu \text{m} \).

C Quantification of PDH-positive/TOM20-negative vesicles in U2OS:GFP and U2OS:GFP-parkin cells transfected with the indicated siRNA, treated with DMSO or antimycin A (anti A) as in (B); both the total number (white bars) and the number colocalizing with GFP-parkin (gray bars) are indicated. Bars represent the mean \( \pm \) s.e.m. P-values are given first for GFP-/GFP-parkin-positive vesicles, then for total vesicle number (n = 48–85 cells in 2–3 experiments); ns, not significant; \( ***P < 0.001 \).

D 5 \( \mu \text{m} \)-long profile of the parkin-positive vesicle and adjacent mitochondrial tubule depicted in the antimycin A-treated cell from (B) (left). Increasing position values on the x-axis of the fluorescence intensity plot (right) correspond to moving from the top to the bottom of the profile. *MDV indicated by an arrow in (B).

E Quantification of the distance between the centres of GFP-parkin-negative (black bar) or -positive (gray bar) vesicles and the edge of the nearest mitochondrial tubule for the vesicles quantified in antimycin A-treated U2OS:GFP-parkin cells transfected with siDrp1 in (C). Error bars represent the mean \( \pm \) s.e.m.; \( P < 0.05 \) (obtained by Students t-test).

F U2OS:GFP-parkin cells transfected with siRNA targeting Drp1 (siDrp1) were treated with 25 \( \mu \text{M} \) antimycin A for 90 min prior to fixation. Samples were immunostained against TOM20 (blue) and the indicated mitochondrial marker (red). TOM20-negative MDVs containing the specified cargo and colocalizing with GFP-parkin (arrows) or not (circles) are indicated. Arrowheads show lack of colocalization between GFP-parkin and the indicated mitochondrial marker. Scale bars, 20 \( \mu \text{m} \) (first two columns of panels) and 2 \( \mu \text{m} \) (remaining columns of panels).

G Quantification of TOM20-negative structures that stained positively for the indicated cargo in U2OS:GFP (C) and U2OS:GFP-parkin (GFP-parkin) cells, transfected with siRNA targeting Drp1, treated with antimycin A (anti A); both the total number (white bars) and the number colocalizing with GFP-parkin (gray bars) are indicated. Error bars represent the mean \( \pm \) s.e.m. P-values are given first for GFP-/GFP-parkin-positive vesicles, then for total vesicle number (n = 24–59 cells in two experiments); ns, not significant; \( ***P < 0.001 \).

Source data are available online for this figure.
(67.0% of control at 60 min), which was then followed by a rebound in Δψm that, at 6 h, had recovered almost completely (90.5% of control; Fig 6A, anti A). This finding is consistent with the phenomenon of reverse proton flow through the F1F0 ATPase induced by compromised mitochondrial respiration (Hatefi, 1985; Campanella et al., 2008). Indeed, combined inhibition with antimycin A and oligomycin prevented this rebound, and Δψm decreased steadily over time (to 50.9% of control at 6 h; Fig 6A, anti A + oligo), although this decline was not as severe as cells treated with CCCP (17.2% of control at 6 h, Fig 6A). Interestingly, we still observed global GFP-parkin recruitment in U2OS::GFP-parkin cells treated with both antimycin A and oligomycin for 2 h (Fig 6B). This result supports previous data showing that perturbations in the Δψm-dependent import machinery can amplify effects on parkin recruitment to mitochondria (Greene et al., 2012), and is in line with a study demonstrating that F1F0 ATPase inhibition is sufficient to induce parkin recruitment in non-respiring cells (Suen et al., 2010).

We then addressed the kinetics of MDV formation by quantifying the total number of PDH E2/E3 bp-positive/TOM20-negative structures over time in U2OS::GFP-parkin cells treated with DMSO, CCCP, antimycin A, antimycin A and oligomycin, and antimycin A and the...
ROS scavenger EUK-134 (Fig 6C and D). Both antimycin A and antimycin A combined with oligomycin triggered vesicle formation, which peaked at 2 h (Fig 6C and D, anti A and anti A + oligo). Interestingly, the average number of vesicles per cell decreased after this time, likely due to their lysosomal turnover, as well as decreased biogenesis owing to inhibition of forward electron flow through the ETC mitigating the amount of ROS generated by antimycin A (Fig 6A). Indeed, addition of EUK-134 decreased the observed number of antimycin A-induced MDVs, whereas incubation of cells with CCCP did not generate many vesicles (Fig 6C, anti A + EUK-134 and CCCP), indicating that parkin MDV formation is a ROS-dependent process not induced by global depolarization.

Our observation that parkin-dependent MDV formation and turnover occur over a period of 1–4 h (Fig 6C) contrasts this pathway with parkin-mediated mitochondrial turnover via autophagy, which occurs over a much larger scale of time (Narendra et al., 2008;...
Geisler et al., 2010). Indeed, depolarizing U2OS:GFP and GFP-parkin cells with CCCP or with antimycin A and oligomycin induced parkin-dependent mitophagy over a period of 4–24 h, as assayed by the decrease in levels of several mitochondrial markers (Fig 7A and B and Supplementary Fig S7A). In contrast, no such effect was observed after treatment with antimycin A alone. Moreover, we observed cell-wide clearance of mitochondria in cells that had been depolarized for 12 h, but not in cells treated with antimycin A alone (Fig 7C and D, Supplementary Fig S7B and C). These data support our view that parkin-dependent vesicle formation is a rapid response triggered by ROS, whereas turnover of the entire organelle is a relatively slow process initiated by depolarization.

**Discussion**

Parkin function has been implicated in both vesicular trafficking and mitochondrial quality control (Fallon et al., 2002, 2006; Joch et al., 2007; Narendra et al., 2008; Trempe et al., 2009; Youle & Narendra, 2011). We recently identified MDV transport as a delivery mechanism of selective, oxidized cargo to the late endosome (Fig 7A and B and Supplementary Fig S7A). In contrast, no such effect was observed after treatment with antimycin A alone. Moreover, we observed cell-wide clearance of mitochondria in cells that had been depolarized for 12 h, but not in cells treated with antimycin A alone (Fig 7C and D, Supplementary Fig S7B and C). These data support our view that parkin-dependent vesicle formation is a rapid response triggered by ROS, whereas turnover of the entire organelle is a relatively slow process initiated by depolarization.

**Figure 5. PINK1 is required for parkin-dependent MDV formation.**

A Representative immunoblot of whole-cell lysates from HeLa cells transfected with non-targeting siRNA or siRNA targeting PINK1 (siPINK1), treated with 10 μM CCCP for 6 h in order to stabilize the PINK1 full-length band.

B HeLa cells transfected with GFP-parkin (green) and siRNA targeting PINK1 (siPINK1) or non-targeting control (ctrl siRNA), were treated with 25 μM antimycin A for 90 min, then fixed and immunostained for PDH E2/E3 bp (red) and TOM20 (blue). PDH E2/E3 bp-positive/TOM20-negative MDVs colocalizing with GFP-parkin (arrows) or not (circles) are indicated. Arrowheads indicate parkin-positive MDVs adjacent to mitochondria, possibly budding. Scale bar, 20 μm.

C Quantification of PDH E2/E3 bp-positive/TOM20-negative structures from (B); both the total number (white bars) and the number colocalizing with GFP-parkin (gray bars) are indicated. Error bars represent the mean ± s.e.m. P-values are given first for GFP-/GFP-parkin-positive vesicles, then for total vesicle number (n = 42–60 cells in three experiments); ns, not significant; ***P < 0.001).

Source data are available online for this figure.
Figure 6. Parkin-dependent mitochondrial vesicle biogenesis is a rapid response triggered by ROS.

A Quantification of TMRM fluorescence by flow cytometry in U2OS:GFP-parkin cells treated with 25 μM antimycin A (anti A), 25 μM antimycin A and 10 μM oligomycin (anti A + oligo), and 20 μM CCCP (CCCP) for the indicated time, represented as a fraction of fluorescence intensity compared to DMSO-treated cells. Error bars represent the mean ± s.e.m. (n = 3 experiments).

B U2OS:GFP-parkin cells were treated with DMSO (DMSO), 25 μM antimycin A (anti A), 25 μM antimycin A and 10 μM oligomycin (anti A + oligo), or 20 μM CCCP (CCCP) for two hours, then fixed and immunostained for TOM20 (red). Scale bars, 50 μm.

C Quantification of the total number of PDH E2/E3 bp-positive/TOM20-negative structures in U2OS:GFP-parkin cells observed at various times for the indicated treatment. Error bars represent the mean ± s.e.m. (n = 46–166 cells in 2–3 experiments).

D U2OS:GFP-parkin cells were treated with DMSO (DMSO), 25 μM antimycin A (anti A), 25 μM antimycin A and 10 μM oligomycin (anti A + oligo), or 20 μM CCCP (CCCP) for two hours, then fixed and immunostained for PDH E2/E3 bp (red) and TOM20 (blue). A merged image of both mitochondrial markers (mito) is shown at the far right. Arrows indicate PDH E2/E3 bp-positive/TOM20-negative structures colocalizing with GFP-parkin (green). Scale bars, 20 μm.

Source data are available online for this figure.
Figure 7. Cell-wide clearance of mitochondria via autophagy is triggered by depolarization and proceeds over a 24-h time period.

A Representative immunoblot of whole-cell lysates from U2OS:GFP and GFP-parkin cells treated with DMSO, 25 μM antimycin A (anti A), 25 μM antimycin A with 10 μM oligomycin (anti A + oligo), or 20 μM CCCP for the indicated time period.

B Quantification of PDH E1a signal intensity relative to that of actin in immunoblots from (A). Error bars represent the mean ± s.e.m. (n = 3 experiments).

C Quantification of mitochondrial clearance in U2OS:GFP-parkin cells treated as in (A), fixed and immunostained for TOM20. Data are shown as percentage of cells containing mitochondria, by TOM20 staining, visualized by fluorescence microscopy. Error bars represent the mean ± s.e.m. (n = 3 experiments, with at least 85 cells quantified per condition, per experiment).

D U2OS:GFP-parkin cells were treated as in (A) for the indicated time period, then fixed and immunostained for TOM20 (red). Cell boundaries are delineated in single-channel images. Scale bars, 20 μm.

Source data are available online for this figure.
however, these damaged proteins accumulate beyond a certain threshold, the dissipation of $\Delta \Psi_{m}$ would trigger the autophagic engulfment and degradation by parkin-dependent mitophagy.

PINK1 may play a crucial role in the detection of this threshold. While CCCP triggers a massive PINK1 increase due to global import failure (Matsuda et al., 2010; Narendra et al., 2010; Greene et al., 2012; Lazarou et al., 2012), antimycin A-induced ROS may cause PINK1 buildup at local or individual import channels. This interplay between local and global PINK1 accumulation may regulate the magnitudes of subsequent parkin recruitment and ubiquitin ligase activity. This latter point is intriguing, as while mitochondrial polyubiquitination has been implicated in autophagy (Geisler et al., 2010; Tanaka et al., 2010; Chan et al., 2011), we have previously shown that interactions between parkin and binding partners involved in endocytic trafficking and membrane curvature are regulated by monoubiquitination (Fallon et al., 2006; Joch et al., 2007; Trempe et al., 2009). Additionally, monoubiquitination and ubiquitin cycling are common themes in the endocytic system (d’Azzo et al., 2005). In our study, a role for ubiquitination in MDV formation is supported by the observation that vesicle formation is abolished upon expression of the ligase-dead parkin mutant.

Mounting evidence implicates the dysregulation of membrane trafficking pathways, as well as mitochondrial dysfunction, in the pathogenesis of PD. Recently, two exome sequencing analyses linked the retromer subunit Vps35 to PD (Vilarrino-Guell et al., 2011; Zimprich et al., 2011). Vps35, along with Vps26 and Vps29, forms the so-called cargo recognition complex of the retromer, which cooperates with sorting nexins to mediate cargo selection and membrane curvature, respectively (Bonifacino & Hurley, 2008; Cullen & Korswagen, 2012). Interestingly, Vps35 localizes to mitochondria and is required for the formation of a population of mitochondrial vesicles that targets to peroxisomes (Braschi et al., 2010). Clearly, identification of the machinery regulating cargo selection and membrane dynamics, downstream of PINK1-dependent parkin recruitment to bud sites, requires further elucidation.

It is becoming evident that, in neurons, continued deregulation of mitochondrial quality control can lead to neurodegeneration (Rugarli & Langer, 2012). The existence of two distinct, yet complimentary, mitochondrial quality control pathways involving parkin and PINK1 is supported by a recent study in Drosophila, in which parkin/PINK1 mitochondrial quality control was shown to have both autophagy-dependent and -independent components at steady-state in vivo (Vincow et al., 2013). Additionally, Vincow et al. found that the turnover rates of individual subunits from the same multi-protein complex vary significantly, supporting our finding that different PDH subunits are sorted differentially into the MDV population that we observed.

In PD patients harbouring defects in parkin or PINK1, a loss of mitochondrial quality control mechanisms may lead to a buildup of mitochondrial damage, and ultimately mitochondrial dysfunction. This is supported by the observation that leukocytes collected from PD patients carrying parkin mutations have decreased mitochondrial respiratory capacity (Mutfuoglu et al., 2004), similar to what has been observed in the substantia nigra of sporadic PD patients (Mann et al., 1992). Elucidating the precise role of PD-linked genes in the regulation of mitochondrial quality control, and how the loss of these processes may lead to the death of dopaminergic neurons, may point to specific degenerative mechanisms shared between genetic and sporadic forms of PD. Thus, further investigation of the exact role played by parkin and PINK1 in MDV biogenesis will enrich existing knowledge regarding mitochondrial quality control mechanisms and their relation to PD, and prospectively shed light on therapeutic possibilities regarding this debilitating, aging disease.

**Materials and Methods**

**Reagents**

MG132 and epoxomicin were obtained from Boston Biochem. EUK-134 was purchased from Cayman Chemical. Tetramethylrhodamine methyl ester (TMRM) was purchased from Molecular Probes. All other reagents were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich.

**Plasmids**

pEGFP-C3 (Clontech), pEGFP-parkin, pECFP-Drp1K38E (Harder et al., 2004), pEGFP-LC3B and mito-DSRed2/pOCT-DSRed2 (Harder et al., 2004) were used in this study. pEGFP-parkinR42P, pEGFP-parkinK211N and pEGFP-parkinC431F were generated from pEGFP-parkinWT using the QuikChange II site-directed mutagenesis kit (Agilent Technologies) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

**siRNA oligonucleotides**

*Stealth RNAi* siRNA oligonucleotides were purchased from Invitrogen. These included siRNA duplexes targeting Atg5 [5′-AACCUCUGGCCUAAAGAAAAUGGA-3′ (Chen et al., 2007)], beclin-1 [1:1 mixture of 5′-CAGUUUGGCACAAUACAUACU-3′ and 5′-CAGGAACUCAGCUGCCAUACUA-3′ (Hoyer-Hansen et al., 2005)], Drp1 [5′-ACUAUUAGAAGAUCUGCAAAAUAUA-3′ (Taguchi et al., 2007)] and Rab99 [5′-AAGUUUGACCCAGCCUUCUA-3′ (Ganley et al., 2004)]. Non-targeting siRNA was also obtained from Invitrogen. siRNA targeting parkin (ON-TARGETplus SMARTpool), PINK1 (ON-TARGETplus J-004030-07) and p97/VCOP (ON-TARGET-plus SMARTpool) were purchased from Dharmacon.

**Cell culture and transfection**

HeLa cells (ATCC), COS7 cells (ATCC), Atg5+/- and Atg5-/- MEFs (RIKEN BioResource Center, Ibaraki, Japan), and U2OS:GFP and GFP-parkin cells (Rob Screaton, University of Ottawa) were cultured in DMEM (Multicell) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, L-glutamine, penicillin and streptomycin at 37°C with 5% CO2. HeLa cells and MEFs were plated on coverslips in 24-well plates (at 5 × 104 cells per well). Cells were simultaneously infected with the Drp1K38E, CFP adenovirus (MOI of 300) and transfected with 0.3–0.5 μg/ml of the indicated plasmid using jetPRIME transfection reagent (Polyplus Transfection, Illkirch, France) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. In the case of subsequent siRNA and DNA transfections, cells were first plated in 6-well or 10-cm plates, and transfected with 25–50 nM of each siRNA oligo using jetPRIME. At 24 h post-transfection, cells were plated in 6-well plates or on coverslips in 24-well plates (at 2.5 × 105 and 5–6 × 104 cells per well, respectively). The following day (48 h post-siRNA transfection), cells were transfected with DNA as indicated above. U2OS:GFP and GFP-parkin cells were
plated for siRNA transfection, and then replated in 6-well plates or on coverslips in 24-well plates (at $2.5 \times 10^5$ and $4 \times 10^6$ cells per well, respectively) at 24 h post-transfection. For the time-course experiment, U2OS:GFP-parkin cells were plated on coverslips in 24-well plates (at $8 \times 10^4$ cells per well) the day prior to treatment.

**Cell treatments**

Unless otherwise specified, treatments were performed 24 h after DNA transfection and/or 72 h following siRNA transfection. Typically, cells were treated with 100 mM glucose oxidase, 10 mM CCCP or 25–50 mM antimycin A for 90–120 min before fixation or lysis. In assays requiring lysosomal or proteasomal inhibition, cells were preincubated with 2 mM epoxomicin, 10 mM MG132, 50 nM bafilomycin A1 or 10 μg/ml pepstatin A and 10 μg/ml E-64d for 30 to 60 minutes, followed by an additional 90 min of the indicated inhibitor with antimycin A or DMSO. In the time-course experiment, cells were treated with DMSO, 25 mM antimycin A, 25 mM antimycin A and 10 μM oligomycin, 25 μM antimycin A and 50 μM EUK-134, and 20 μM CCCP for the indicated time prior to fixation.

**Cell lysis and immunoblotting**

Cells were rinsed in ice-cold PBS and lysed in lysis buffer (20 mM Tris, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM EGTA, 1% NP-40 substitute, 1% sodium deoxycholate, and a protease inhibitor cocktail [aprotinin, leupeptin, benzamidine and PMSF]) on ice. Protein content of the lysates was determined by BCA assay (Pierce/Thermo Scientific). Fifteen to 45 μg of protein were separated by SDS-PAGE and transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane. Primary antibodies were diluted in 5% milk or 3% BSA in PBS-Tween, and incubations with primary antibody were performed overnight at 4°C. Primary antibodies used in this study included anti-actin (Millipore, MAB1501, 1:100,000), anti-Apg5 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, sc-8667, 1:1,000), anti-beclin-1 (BD Biosciences, 612113, 1:1,000), anti-Drp1 (BD, 611113, 1:5,000), anti-GAPDH (Novus Biologicals, NB300-320, 1:50,000), anti-parkin (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, sc-32822, 1:2,000 [endoenous parkin] or 1:100,000 [ectopic parkin]), anti-PINK1 (Novus, BC100-494, 1:2,500), anti-Rab9 (Abcam, ab2810, 1:1,000), anti-TIM23 (BD, 611222, 1:40,000), anti-TOM20 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, sc-11415, 1:50,000), anti-p73/VC (Abcam, ab11343, 1:10,000), anti-PDH E1a (Abcam, ab110330, 1:500), anti-PDH E2/E3 bp (Abcam, ab110333, 1:1,000), anti-TIM23 (BD, 611222, 1:50), anti-TOM20 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, sc-11415, 1:1,000) and anti-TRAP1 (Abcam, ab2721, 1:100). Alexa Fluor 555- and 647-conjugated donkey anti-mouse and anti-rabbit secondary antibodies were purchased from Molecular Probes, and a DyLight 405-conjugated donkey anti-rabbit secondary antibody was purchased from BioLegend. Both primary and secondary antibody incubations were performed at room temperature for 1 h. In certain cases, coverslips were counterstained with Hoescht 33342 (Molecular Probes) prior to mounting on glass slides using Aqua Poly/Mount (Polysciences Inc.).

**Confocal microscopy and vesicle quantification**

Confocal images (~0.8 μm-thick slices) were acquired either on an LSM 510 Meta confocal microscope (Zeiss) through a 100×, 1.4 NA objective or on an LSM 710 (Zeiss) through either a 40×, 1.3 NA or a 63×, 1.4 NA objective using excitation wavelengths of 405, 488, 488, 543 and 633 nm. Image files were analyzed using ImageJ (NIH, Bethesda, MD, USA). Vesicles were identified by eye, based on cargo selectivity (i.e. the presence of one cargo and the absence of another) and quantified in two to three independent, blinded experiments. Typically, vesicles within 20–50 cells were counted per experiment.

**Determination of $\Delta \psi_m$ by fluorescence-activated cell sorting**

To quantitatively measure $\Delta \psi_m$, we modified a protocol established by Chazotte (Chazotte, 2011). U2OS:GFP-parkin cells were plated in 12-well plates (at $1.3 \times 10^5$ cells per well) 12 h prior to incubation with TMRM. Cells were then pulsed with 600 nM TMRM diluted in culture medium for 30 min, rinsed with PBS and incubated in culture medium containing 150 nM TMRM. All treatments were performed in the presence of 150 nM TMRM. Following treatment with various inhibitors, cells were trypsinized for 2–3 min at 37°C, collected in culture medium without TMRM and then kept on ice. Fluorescence-activated cell sorting measurements were performed with a FACS Calibur flow cytometer (BD Biosciences). Data was analyzed using FlowJo software (Tree Star Inc., Ashland, OR, USA).

**Statistical analysis**

Histograms were generated from the mean number of vesicles per cell, obtained from two to three independent experiments in which the MDVs in at least 20 cells per experiment were counted. Error bars represent the mean ± s.e.m., which was calculated using the total number of cells quantified as the n-value. Statistical significance was determined by one- or two-way ANOVA followed by a Bonferroni post-hoc analysis (Prism 5; GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CA, USA). Differences were considered significant if $P < 0.05$.

**Supplementary information** for this article is available online: http://embj.embopress.org
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