Integration of microenvironmental and stress signaling antagonizes colorectal cancer progression
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Genetic alterations can drive oncogenic events and cancer development. However, this is only half of the story. It is now evident that tumor progression only occurs if powerful stress signaling pathways and microenvironmental signals are overcome. Two recent Nature Cell Biology papers study how niche signals of primary and target organ barriers have to be over-ridden for oncogenes to allow for colorectal cancer (CRC) initiation and metastasis (Urosevic et al, 2014; Whissell et al, 2014).

Whissell et al elegantly define the signals controlling stem cell programs in colon cancer stem cells (CSC) during tumor initiation. They show that deletion of GATA6 in an APC\(-/-\) background decreased proliferation, self-renewal and tumorigenesis of Lgr5\(^+\) CSCs by increasing bone morphogenetic protein-4 (BMP4) signaling. The authors also showed that GATA6 binds to the promoter regions of BMP4 outcompeting \(\beta\)-catenin/TCF4 complexes and repressing BMP4 expression by modulating histone-H3 post-translational modifications (PTMs) (Fig 1A). These changes conspired to create CSCs and microenvironments permissive for CRC development. These findings suggest that BMP4 in the microenvironment is a rate-limiting signal for CRC development and that the use of H3-PTM modulators that restore BMP4 expression might limit CRC progression. These data are in line with work showing that BMPs signaling avoids proliferation and expansion of normal adult stem cells by maintaining a quiescent state (i.e. hair follicle SC, hematopoietic SC) (van der Flier & Clevers, 2009; Whissell et al, 2014). Accordingly, GREM1, a BMP inhibitor, is commonly secreted from the normal stroma, and duplication of this gene predisposes patients to CRC (Whissell et al and refs within) (Fig 1A). Thus, gain of GREM1 and GATA6 may increase the chances of CRC development. Importantly, these findings suggest that even when oncogenic signals are activated, restoration of niche-derived inhibitory signals (like BMPs) limits tumorigenesis. Niche cues (including BMPs) and PTEN activity in hair follicle stem cells can also maintain quiescence of adult stem cells and “insulate” these from oncogenic K-Ras\(^{G12D}\) signaling (Whissell et al, 2014). These data raise key questions. Could the microenvironment maintain for long periods dominance over powerful oncogenic signals present in pre-malignant cells? Whissell et al tested BMP4 expression in CRC precursors, but could stroma-derived BMP4 be the first line defense in limiting mutant CSC expansion? Finally is GATA6 required for CRC tumor maintenance? Questions that have important therapeutic implications.

In another story, Urosevic et al investigate metastatic dissemination in CRC to gain further understanding of a phenotype called: metastasis from metastasis. While liver is the predominant site of CRC metastasis, a smaller proportion of patients carrying mutant K-Ras primary CRC also develop lung metastasis, which also show enrichment for the K-Ras mutation (Tie et al, 2011). The authors selected, à la Fiedler, a more powerful liver metastatic variant of mutant K-Ras SW620 CRC cells (originally derived from a lymph node metastasis). When injected in the spleen, to more easily obtain liver colonization, the resulting SW620 variant (LiM2) spontaneously grew in the liver and, albeit less powerful, spread into the lung. Expression profiling and pathway analysis identified high ERK1/2 activity and low levels of p38 phosphorylation in metastatic variants. Importantly, even lower p38 activity was required for LiM2 cell lung metastasis (Fig 1B). It appeared that ERK2—rather than ERK1—was more critically required for LiM2 liver metastasis (though not for lung spreading). Importantly, a low ERK/p38 signal ratio was determined in primary tumor biopsies of CRC patients with high liver metastatic activity as compared to patients carrying no metastases. Since K-Ras is a strong activator of ERK1/2, its role in LiM2 cells is in line with previous literature. Similarly, a balance between ERK and p38 signaling where ERK signaling favors disseminated tumor cell (DTC) colonization and growth is in concordance with previous findings from others laboratories, including ours (Bragado et al, 2013 and refs within).

An intriguing twist proposed by the new data is that p38 inhibition is exclusively required for LiM2 cells in lung colonization. The authors propose that loss of p38 activity induces the cytokine PTHLH, in turn essential for lung (but not liver) metastases. In \textit{in vitro} induction of endothelial cell apoptosis by PTHLH is taken as cell-biological evidence to explain the extravasation into the lung.
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The Urosevic study thus raises intriguing questions: Based on abundant patient data, Hölzel et al had excluded the formation of metastases from established metastases, rather tracing these back to primary tumor spread before surgery (Hölzel et al, 2010). In contrast, the Urosevic study suggests that lung metastasis came from liver lesions. Thus, more precise fate mapping tools and single-cell resolution imaging as used in CRC tumor initiation analysis (van der Flier & Clevers, 2009) and/or genetic analysis of clonal lesions (Klein, 2013) would be required to settle this question. Another question is whether p38 inhibition contributes to lung metastasis by other means as well; could activation of ERK be involved? Given that SW620 cells colonize the lung efficiently (Ma et al, 2008), have the emerging LiM2 clones regained sensitivity to dormancy signals via p38 activation (Fig 1B)? This would be in accordance with recent findings showing that TGF-β2 and BMPs induce dormancy post-extravasation by establishing a low ERK/p38 signaling ratio (Kobayashi et al, 2011; Bragado et al, 2013). Finally, how does PTHLH selectively kill lung—and not liver—or primary tumor endothelial cells? How does the lung endothelium become resistant to PTHLH after extravasation when in fact endothelial cells support further metastatic growth? These issues inspire further studies on metastases biology and support key findings that the balance between mitogenic and stress signaling pathways (Bragado et al, 2013) is a key in determining DTC fate.

Circling back to the studies by Whissell et al, the striking result that BMPs, TGF-β2 and other regulators (Shiozawa et al, 2011) of adult stem cell quiescence and pluripotency, might antagonize metastasis development is thought provoking. It implies that solitary, though aggressive DTCs that managed to lodge in target organs retain their sensitivity to microenvironmental cues that originally restricted their expansion. Understanding the underlying mechanisms and the genetic traits driving this phenomenon holds the promise to develop specific therapies to successfully modulate key microenvironmental inputs to eradicate both, primary tumor progression and DTC reactivation to form metastases.
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