Ebola virus entry requires the host-programmed recognition of an intracellular receptor

Emily Happy Miller¹,⁷, Gregor Obernosterer²,⁷, Matthijs Raaben²,⁷, Andrew S Herbert⁴,⁷, Maika S Deffieu⁶, Anuja Krishnan¹,⁸, Esther Ndungo¹, Rohini G Sandesara¹, Jan E Carette⁶, Ana I Kuehne⁴, Gordon Ruthel⁴, Suzanne R Pfeffer², John M Dye⁴,*, Sean P Whelan³,*, Andrew S Herbert⁴,⁷, Maika S Deffieu⁵, John M Dye⁴,*, Sean P Whelan³,*, Thijn R Brummelkamp²,∗ and Kartik Chandran¹,∗

¹Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Albert Einstein College of Medicine, Bronx, NY, USA, ²Department of Biochemistry, Netherlands Cancer Institute, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, ³Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA, ⁴Virology Division, US Army Medical Research Institute of Infectious Diseases, Fort Detrick, MD, USA, ⁵Department of Biochemistry, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, CA, USA and ⁶Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, CA, USA

EBola and Marburg filoviruses cause deadly outbreaks of haemorrhagic fever. Despite considerable efforts, no essential cellular receptors for filovirus entry have been identified. We showed previously that Niemann-Pick C1 (NPC1), a lysosomal cholesterol transporter, is required for filovirus entry. Here, we demonstrate that NPC1 is a critical filovirus receptor. Human NPC1 fulfills a cardinal property of viral receptors: it confers susceptibility to filovirus infection when expressed in non-permissive replicilalian cells. The second luminal domain of NPC1 binds directly and specifically to the viral glycoprotein, GP, and a synthetic single-pass membrane protein containing this domain has viral receptor activity. Purified NPC1 binds only to a cleaved form of GP that is generated within cells during entry, and only viruses containing cleaved GP can utilize a receptor retargeted to the cell surface. Our findings support a model in which GP cleavage by endosomal cysteine proteases unmasks the binding site for NPC1, and GP–NPC1 engagement within lysosomes promotes a late step in entry proximal to viral escape into the host cytoplasm. NPC1 is the first known viral receptor that recognizes its ligand within an intracellular compartment and not at the plasma membrane.
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Introduction

Ebola virus (EBOV) and Marburg virus (MARV) are members of the family Filoviridae of enveloped viruses with non-segmented negative-strand RNA genomes (Kuhn et al., 2010). Filovirus entry is mediated by the membrane glycoprotein, GP, which is organized into trimeric spikes at the viral surface (Lee et al., 2008; White et al., 2008). GP consists of a receptor-binding subunit, GP1, and a membrane fusion subunit, GP2. Following attachment to host cells (Becker et al., 1995; Alvarez et al., 2002; Kondratowicz et al., 2011), viral particles are internalized and delivered to late endosomes (Nanbo et al., 2010; Saeed et al., 2010). Endosomal cysteine proteases then cleave GP1 to remove heavily glycosylated C-terminal sequences, generating an entry intermediate comprising an N-terminal GP1 fragment and GP2 (Supplementary Figure S1A; Chandran et al., 2005; Schornberg et al., 2006; Lee et al., 2008; Hood et al., 2010). An unknown trigger signal acts on this ‘primed’ GP, inducing GP1–GP2 dissociation and driving GP2-mediated membrane fusion (Chandran et al., 2005; Schornberg et al., 2006; Lee et al., 2008; Dube et al., 2009; Wong et al., 2010; Brecher et al., 2012).

Current evidence indicates that an interaction between GP and a cellular protein receptor is required for filovirus entry (Takada et al., 1997; Wool-Lewis and Bates, 1998; Yang et al., 1998; Manicassamy et al., 2005; Kuhn et al., 2006; Brindley et al., 2007; Kaletsky et al., 2007; Dube et al., 2009; Ou et al., 2010). Furthermore, the near-universal tropism of filoviruses for mammalian cell types strongly suggests that this host molecule is widely distributed (Van den Groen et al., 1978; Takada et al., 1997; Wool-Lewis and Bates, 1998). However, none of the candidate receptors proposed to date are required in all cell types susceptible to viral infection, or allow filoviruses to overcome species barriers to infection, suggesting that a critical filovirus receptor remains to be identified (Becker et al., 1995; Chan et al., 2001; Alvarez et al., 2002; Shimojima et al., 2006; Kondratowicz et al., 2011).

We and others recently identified Niemann-Pick C1 (NPC1) to be an essential host factor for filovirus entry and infection in all studied cell types (Carette et al., 2011; Côté et al., 2011). Moreover, we showed that NPC1 is required for pathogenesis in mouse models of filovirus infection (Carette et al., 2011).
NPC1 is a large polytopic membrane protein that resides in the late endosomes and lysosomes of all cells and is involved in transport of lysosomal cholesterol to the endoplasmic reticulum and other cellular sites (Supplementary Figure S1B; Carstea et al., 1997; Cruz et al., 2000; Davies and Ioannou, 2000). Mutation of NPC1 in humans causes Niemann-Pick type C1 disease, a rare but fatal disorder associated with lysosomal storage of cholesterol and sphingolipids in the brain and other tissues (Patterson et al., 2001; Walkley and Suzuki, 2004). Analysis of NPC1 mutations that cause Niemann-Pick type C1 disease has revealed key roles for the three large luminal ‘loop’ domains, A, C, and I, and for the ‘sterol-sensing domain’, comprising transmembrane domains 3–7, in lysosomal cholesterol transport by NPC1 (Supplementary Figure S1B; Ioannou, 2000; Ory, 2004; Infante et al., 2008a). While a substantial body of information about the housekeeping functions of NPC1 is available, its specific role in filovirus entry remains unknown. Previous findings suggest that the cholesterol transport function of NPC1 is dispensable for its viral host factor function, and that GP can bind to cellular membranes by associating directly or indirectly with full-length NPC1 (Carette et al., 2011; Côté et al., 2011). However, they do not fully distinguish among three mechanisms of action: NPC1 might (i) play an indirect role in viral entry by regulating endosomal/lysosomal morphology or membrane composition; (ii) participate in trafficking of viral particles to the sites of membrane fusion; or (iii) act directly as a filovirus receptor.

In this study, we demonstrate that filovirus entry does not require the full-length NPC1 protein. Instead, we provide multiple lines of evidence that a single luminal domain of NPC1 mediates filovirus entry by binding specifically and directly to the viral glycoprotein. Our work reveals that the NPC1-binding site within the GP1 subunit is recessed beneath the heavily glycosylated mucin and glycan cap GP1 subdomains, and explains our observation that proteolytic removal of these sequences is a prerequisite for direct GP–NPC1 interaction. We exploit our new findings to engineer a synthetic NPC1 analogue that is targeted to the cell surface and that mediates cell attachment and entry only by cleaved viruses containing exposed NPC1-binding sites. Furthermore, we provide evidence that human NPC1 can render cells from a non-permissive species susceptible to filovirus entry and infection. Cumulatively, our results indicate that NPC1 is an essential intracellular receptor for EBOV and MARV that promotes a late step in viral entry by binding to a proteolytically primed form of the viral glycoprotein within the host endosomal/lysosomal pathway.

Results

Human NPC1 renders non-permissive reptilian cells susceptible to filovirus entry and infection

Mammalian cells are broadly susceptible to filoviruses, but reptilian and amphibian cells are reported to be refractory to infection (Van den Groen et al., 1978; Takada et al., 1997). Consistent with these previous findings, wild-type (WT) EBOV and Sudan virus (SUDV) did not infect VH-2 cells derived from the Russell’s viper (Daboia russellii). The recent discovery of NPC1 as a critical host factor for filovirus entry and infection (Carette et al., 2011; Côté et al., 2011) led us to speculate that this protein could determine the species tropism of filoviruses. Accordingly, we engineered VH-2 cells to stably express human NPC1 (Figure 1A), and challenged them with WT EBOV and SUDV (Figure 1B). Remarkably, human NPC1 rendered these cells highly susceptible to filovirus infection. The block to infection in VH-2 cells and its rescue by ectopic expression of human NPC1 were recapitulated both with recombinant vesicular stomatitis viruses bearing EBOV or MARV GP (rVSV-GP-EBOV/MARV) (Figure 1C) and with VSV pseudotypes (Figure 1D), showing that VH-2 cells resist filovirus infection at the level of viral entry. Human NPC1 was dispensable for viral attachment and internalization, since fluorescently labelled rVSV-GP particles were delivered to perinuclear sites in both VH-2 cells lacking or expressing human NPC1 (Supplementary Figure S2A). Furthermore, VH-2 cells were replete with endosomal cysteine protease activities that could mediate viral entry upon provision of human NPC1 (Figure 1E), strongly suggesting that the entry block in these cells does not arise from the failure to generate a proteolytically primed GP intermediate within endosomes and/or lysosomes. Instead, ectopic expression of human NPC1 was associated with a reduction in virus-positive intracellular puncta (Supplementary Figure S2B and C), suggesting that this protein facilitates viral escape from the endosomal/lysosomal pathway of Russell’s viper VH-2 cells, as observed previously in human and rodent cells (Carette et al., 2011). Finally, the NPC1-dependent entry block in VH-2 cells was not a consequence of defective lysosomal cholesterol transport, since the aberrant accumulation of cholesterol in lysosomes was only observed when these cells were exposed to U18666A, a small molecule that mimics the cellular effects of NPC1 deficiency (Supplementary Figure S2D; Liscum and Faust, 1989). These results demonstrate that the transmembrane protein NPC1 possesses a cardinal property of viral receptors: it allows filoviruses to overcome a species barrier to cell entry and infection.

Filovirus entry requires the luminal domain C of NPC1, but not the full-length protein

All experiments to date examining the role of NPC1 in filovirus entry have been carried out with the full-length protein. To determine if viral entry requires the entire protein or can instead be attributed to a discrete region within it, we expressed NPC1 deletion mutants individually lacking the ‘sterol-sensing domain’, comprising transmembrane domains 3–7, in lysosomal cholesterol transport by NPC1 (Supplementary Figure S1B; Ioannou, 2000; Ory, 2004; Infante et al., 2008a). While a substantial body of information about the housekeeping functions of NPC1 is available, its specific role in filovirus entry remains unknown. Previous findings suggest that the cholesterol transport function of NPC1 is dispensable for its viral host factor function, and that GP can bind to cellular membranes by associating directly or indirectly with full-length NPC1 (Carette et al., 2011; Côté et al., 2011). However, they do not fully distinguish among three mechanisms of action: NPC1 might (i) play an indirect role in viral entry by regulating endosomal/lysosomal morphology or membrane composition; (ii) participate in trafficking of viral particles to the sites of membrane fusion; or (iii) act directly as a filovirus receptor.

In this study, we demonstrate that filovirus entry does not require the full-length NPC1 protein. Instead, we provide multiple lines of evidence that a single luminal domain of NPC1 mediates filovirus entry by binding specifically and directly to the viral glycoprotein. Our work reveals that the NPC1-binding site within the GP1 subunit is recessed beneath the heavily glycosylated mucin and glycan cap GP1 subdomains, and explains our observation that proteolytic removal of these sequences is a prerequisite for direct GP–NPC1 interaction. We exploit our new findings to engineer a synthetic NPC1 analogue that is targeted to the cell surface and that mediates cell attachment and entry only by cleaved viruses containing exposed NPC1-binding sites. Furthermore, we provide evidence that human NPC1 can render cells from a non-permissive species susceptible to filovirus entry and infection. Cumulatively, our results indicate that NPC1 is an essential intracellular receptor for EBOV and MARV that promotes a late step in viral entry by binding to a proteolytically primed form of the viral glycoprotein within the host endosomal/lysosomal pathway.

Results

Human NPC1 renders non-permissive reptilian cells susceptible to filovirus entry and infection

Mammalian cells are broadly susceptible to filoviruses, but reptilian and amphibian cells are reported to be refractory to infection (Van den Groen et al., 1978; Takada et al., 1997). Consistent with these previous findings, wild-type (WT) EBOV and Sudan virus (SUDV) did not infect VH-2 cells derived from the Russell’s viper (Daboia russellii). The recent discovery of NPC1 as a critical host factor for filovirus entry and infection (Carette et al., 2011; Côté et al., 2011) led us to speculate that this protein could determine the species tropism of filoviruses. Accordingly, we engineered VH-2 cells to stably express human NPC1 (Figure 1A), and challenged them with WT EBOV and SUDV (Figure 1B). Remarkably, human NPC1 rendered these cells highly susceptible to filovirus infection. The block to infection in VH-2 cells and its rescue by ectopic expression of human NPC1 were recapitulated both with recombinant vesicular stomatitis viruses bearing EBOV or MARV GP (rVSV-GP-EBOV/MARV) (Figure 1C) and with VSV pseudotypes (Figure 1D), showing that VH-2 cells resist filovirus infection at the level of viral entry. Human NPC1 was dispensable for viral attachment and internalization, since fluorescently labelled rVSV-GP particles were delivered to perinuclear sites in both VH-2 cells lacking or expressing human NPC1 (Supplementary Figure S2A). Furthermore, VH-2 cells were replete with endosomal cysteine protease activities that could mediate viral entry upon provision of human NPC1 (Figure 1E), strongly suggesting that the entry block in these cells does not arise from the failure to generate a proteolytically primed GP intermediate within endosomes and/or lysosomes. Instead, ectopic expression of human NPC1 was associated with a reduction in virus-positive intracellular puncta (Supplementary Figure S2B and C), suggesting that this protein facilitates viral escape from the endosomal/lysosomal pathway of Russell’s viper VH-2 cells, as observed previously in human and rodent cells (Carette et al., 2011). Finally, the NPC1-dependent entry block in VH-2 cells was not a consequence of defective lysosomal cholesterol transport, since the aberrant accumulation of cholesterol in lysosomes was only observed when these cells were exposed to U18666A, a small molecule that mimics the cellular effects of NPC1 deficiency (Supplementary Figure S2D; Liscum and Faust, 1989). These results demonstrate that the transmembrane protein NPC1 possesses a cardinal property of viral receptors: it allows filoviruses to overcome a species barrier to cell entry and infection.

Filovirus entry requires the luminal domain C of NPC1, but not the full-length protein

All experiments to date examining the role of NPC1 in filovirus entry have been carried out with the full-length protein. To determine if viral entry requires the entire protein or can instead be attributed to a discrete region within it, we expressed NPC1 deletion mutants individually lacking the large luminal loop domains A, C, and I in an NPC1-null cell line (Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) CT43) (Cruz et al., 2000; Figure 2A), and examined their capacity to mediate lysosomal cholesterol transport and viral infection (Figure 2B–D). CT43 cells accumulated lysosomal cholesterol (Cruz et al., 2000), and they were completely resistant to infection by WT EBOV/MARV and rVSV-GP-EBOV/MARV (Carette et al., 2011). As we showed previously, expression of Flag epitope-tagged WT NPC1 (NPC1–Flag) in these cells not only corrected their cholesterol transport defect but also rendered them highly susceptible to infection by WT filoviruses and rVSVs bearing filovirus GPs (Carette et al., 2011). All three ‘loop-minus’ NPC1 mutants were inactive at lysosomal cholesterol transport (Figure 2B), despite their significant localization to LAMP1-positive late endosomal/lysosomal compartments (Supplementary Figure S3), confirming that this cellular activity of NPC1 requires all three luminal domains A, C, and I. However, the mutants differed in their capacity to support filovirus GP-mediated entry (Figure 2B and C).
Both NPC1-D ΔA–Flag and NPC-D ΔI–Flag could mediate entry, albeit at reduced levels relative to WT NPC1–Flag. In striking contrast, NPC1-D ΔC–Flag was unable to rescue viral entry (Figure 2B and C) even though it resembled the other mutants in expression level and intracellular distribution (Figure 2A; Supplementary Figure S3). Similar results were obtained in infection assays with WT MARV (Figure 2D). These findings unequivocally separate NPC1’s functions in lysosomal cholesterol transport and filovirus entry. More importantly, they demonstrate that a discrete region within NPC1, the luminal domain C, is essential for EBOV and MARV entry.

**NPC1 binds specifically and directly to a proteolytically cleaved form of EBOV GP**

A viral receptor mediates entry by binding specifically and directly to a viral surface protein. Recent work indicated that proteolytically cleaved GP could associate with endosomal membranes derived from WT but not NPC1-deficient cells,
Figure 2 NPC1 luminal loop domain C is required for filovirus entry, but full-length NPC1 is dispensable. (A) NPC1-null CHO CT43 cells were engineered to express mutant forms of human NPC1–Flag lacking domains A, C, or I. NPC1 expression was determined by IB with an anti-Flag antibody. Cyclin-dependent kinase 4 (CDK4) in each sample was detected by IB (Abcam) and provided a loading control. Samples for IB of each NPC1 mutant and its paired WT NPC1 control were resolved on the same gel. NPC1 and CDK4 were detected on separate gels. (B) Capacity of mutant NPC1 proteins to rescue viral entry and transport lysosomal cholesterol. (Left) Infection of NPC1-null CHO CT43 cells expressing mutant NPC1–Flag proteins by recombinant VSVs bearing VSV G or filovirus glycoproteins. Infected cells (green) were visualized by fluorescence microscopy. (Right) Cholesterol clearance by mutant NPC1–Flag proteins in CT43 cells was determined by filipin staining and fluorescence microscopy. Images were inverted for clarity. Scale bars, 20 μm. (C, D) Infectivity of VSV pseudotypes bearing VSV or filovirus glycoproteins (C) and wild-type MARV (D) in CT43 cells expressing mutant NPC1–Flag proteins. SUDV, Sudan virus. Results in (C) (n = 4–6) are from two independent experiments. Results in (D) (n = 3) are from a representative experiment. Error bars indicate s.d. Asterisks indicate values below the limit of detection. Figure source data can be found in Supplementary data.
suggested that GP and NPC1 interact (Côté et al., 2011). To examine this hypothesis, we first tested if EBOV GP could bind to NPC1 in a cell- and membrane-free system. Concentrated rVSV-GP-EBOV particles were solubilized in a non-ionic detergent-containing buffer, and GP in these extracts was captured by magnetic beads coated with the GP-specific monoclonal antibody KZ52 (Maruyama et al., 1999; Lee et al., 2008). These GP-decorated beads did not retrieve NPC1–Flag from CT43 detergent extracts in a co-immunoprecipitation (co-IP) assay (Figure 3A). We next incubated rVSV-GP-EBOV with the bacterial metalloprotease thermolysin to generate a GP intermediate (GP$_{CL}$) that resembles the product of endosomal/lysosomal GP cleavage (Chandran et al., 2005; Schornberg et al., 2006). GP$_{CL}$ could capture NPC1–Flag at both neutral and acid pH (Figure 3A). Similar results were obtained in a reciprocal co-IP experiment: magnetic beads displaying NPC1–Flag captured GP$_{CL}$ but not GP (Figure 3B).

To confirm these findings, we examined the capacity of rVSV-derived GP and GP$_{CL}$ to capture NPC1–Flag from 293T human embryonic kidney cell extracts using an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA). GP and GP$_{CL}$ were captured onto antibody KZ52-coated ELISA plates, and then incubated with CT43 extracts containing NPC1–Flag. We found that NPC1–Flag bound saturably to wells coated with GP$_{CL}$ but not GP, consistent with our results from the co-IP assay (Figure 3C).

To establish the specificity of GP$_{CL}$-NPC1 association, we used the ELISA to determine if GP$_{CL}$ could capture NPC1-like1 (NPC1L1), a cholesterol transport protein that resembles NPC1 in topology and sequence (~50% similarity) (Davies et al., 2000; Wang et al., 2009). NPC1L1-Flag did not detectably bind to wells coated with either GP or GP$_{CL}$ (Figure 3C), despite its greater abundance in cell extracts relative to NPC1–Flag (Figure 3D). Consistent with these binding results, NPC1L1 could not substitute for NPC1 in mediating infection by WT filoviruses (Figure 3E) and rVSVs bearing filovirus GP$_{CL}$ (Figure 3F). Therefore, NPC1 associates specifically with GP$_{CL}$ and is specifically required for filovirus entry.

Finally, affinity-purified NPC1–Flag bound saturably to wells coated with GP$_{CL}$ but not with GP in the ELISA, providing evidence that GP$_{CL}$ directly interacts with NPC1 (Figure 3G and H). Cumulatively, these findings demonstrate that the proteolytic priming of EBOV GP creates, or unmasks, a specific and direct binding site for NPC1.

**NPC1 luminal domain C is necessary and sufficient for GP–NPC1 binding and viral entry mediated by filovirus GPs**

To begin to map the GP binding site within NPC1, we tested the loop-minus NPC1 mutants for GP$_{CL}$-binding activity in the co-IP and ELISA assays, as described above (Figure 4A and B). NPC1-A–Flag and NPC1-D–Flag in detergent extracts of CT43 cells were fully competent to bind to GP$_{CL}$, but little or no binding was obtained with NPC1-A–Flag. Therefore, the same region of NPC1, the luminal domain C, is absolutely required for both EBOV GP–NPC1 binding and NPC1-mediated filovirus entry.

We then used multiple approaches to test if domain C is not only necessary but also sufficient to mediate EBOV GP$_{CL}$–NPC1 binding. First, we engineered synthetic single-pass membrane proteins comprising each luminal domain fused to the first transmembrane domain of NPC1, the NPC1 cytoplasmic tail (which contains a lysosomal targeting signal; Scott et al., 2004), and a Flag tag, and expressed them in CT43 cells. All three proteins were expressed at similar levels (Supplementary Figure S4A), and domain A–Flag and domain C–Flag localized significantly to late endosomes and/or lysosomes (Supplementary Figure S4B). However, domain I–Flag appeared to be entirely restricted to the endoplasmic reticulum, suggesting that it misfolds (Supplementary Figure S4B); accordingly, we excluded it from further analysis. In both the co-IP and ELISA assays (Figure 4C and D), domain C–Flag bound as well as WT NPC1–Flag to GP$_{CL}$, and it bound poorly, or not at all, to uncleaved GP. Domain A–Flag did not detectably bind to GP or GP$_{CL}$ (Figure 4C and D).

The preceding binding experiments were carried out with detergent-solubilized membrane proteins, either in cell extracts (Figures 3 and 4) or as purified preparations (Figure 3G and H). To examine the GP$_{CL}$–NPC1 interaction with ‘soluble proteins’ in the absence of detergent, we first engineered recombinant VSV particles to display a chimeric domain C-VSV G glycoprotein at their surface (Figure 5A; Supplementary Figure S5A), and assessed their capacity to bind to rVSV-GP$_{CL}$. Preincubation of rVSV-GP$_{CL}$ with rVSV-domain C induced the dramatic clustering of viral particles (Figure 5B; Supplementary Figure S5B) and neutralized rVSV-GP$_{CL}$ infection (Figure 5C). By contrast, rVSV-domain C had no discernible effect on uncleaved rVSV-GP. Neither rVSV-GP$_{CL}$ nor rVSV-GP was clustered or neutralized by ‘bald’ rVSV particles lacking any virus-encoded surface glycoproteins (Figure 5B and C; Supplementary Figure S5B).

We next asked if a soluble, secreted, and biologically active form of domain C described recently (Deffieu and Pfeffer, 2011) could bind to GP$_{CL}$. Cleaved rVSV-GP$_{CL}$, but not uncleaved rVSV-GP, captured purified domain C in an ELISA (Figure 5D; Supplementary Figure S6). Even more stringently, GP$_{CL}$, derived from a purified, soluble GP lacking the transmembrane domain (GPATM) co-precipitated purified domain C, whereas uncleaved GPATM did not (Figure 5E; Supplementary Figure S6). Consistent with its capacity to bind directly and stably to GP$_{CL}$, soluble domain C neutralized infection by rVSV-GP$_{CL}$, but not by rVSV-GP in a dose-dependent manner (Figure 5F).

Finally, we tested the capacity of the synthetic single-domain transmembrane proteins to mediate viral entry in CT43 cells (Figure 6). Remarkably, only domain C–Flag afforded measurable, although incomplete, rescue of filovirus GP$_{CL}$-dependent entry, in full agreement with the GP$_{CL}$-binding activity of domain C (Figure 6A and B). Taken together, these results indicate that sequences essential for both the EBOV GP binding and entry host factor activities of NPC1 reside within domain C, a 248-amino acid domain of this 1278-amino acid protein that protrudes into the endosomal lumen.

**The NPC1-binding site in EBOV GP is recessed beneath the mucin and glycan cap subdomains and is unmasked by proteolytic cleavage**

Previous work identified a potential receptor-binding site (RBS) within the EBOV GP ‘head’, a structural subdomain composed of N-terminal GP1 sequences (Supplementary Figures S1A and S7C; Kuhn et al., 2006; Lee et al., 2008; Dube et al., 2009, 2010). This site has a key property predicted by our GP–NPC1 binding studies: it is recessed beneath the C-terminus ‘glycan cap’ and mucin GP1 subdomains, and
Figure 3 NPC1 binds specifically and directly to a cleaved form of the Ebola virus glycoprotein. (A) Co-immunoprecipitation (co-IP) of NPC1 by EBOV GP. Magnetic beads coated with GP-specific monoclonal antibody KZ52 were incubated with detergent extracts containing no virus (None), uncleaved rVSV-GP, or cleaved rVSV-GP\textsubscript{CL}. The resulting control or glycoprotein-decorated beads were mixed with cell lysates containing human NPC1–Flag at pH 7.5 or 5.1 and 4°C. Beads were then retrieved and NPC1–Flag in the immune pellets and supernatants was detected by IB with an anti-Flag antibody. Pellets and supernatants were resolved on separate gels but exposed simultaneously to the same piece of film. (B) Reciprocal co-IP of GP by NPC1. Cell lysates lacking (Ctrl) or containing NPC1–Flag were incubated with anti-Flag antibody-coated magnetic beads. The resulting control or NPC1-decorated beads were mixed with detergent extracts of rVSV-GP or rVSV-GP\textsubscript{CL} at pH 7.5 and 4°C. Beads were then retrieved and GP or GP\textsubscript{CL} in the immune pellets and supernatants was detected by IB with an anti-GP antiserum. Pellets and supernatants were resolved on separate gels but exposed simultaneously to the same piece of film. Asterisks indicate bands detected non-specifically by the antiserum. (C) GP\textsubscript{CL} captures NPC1 but not NPC1-like1 (NPC1L1) in an ELISA. Plates coated with rVSV-GP or rVSV-GP\textsubscript{CL} were incubated with cell extracts containing NPC1–Flag or NPC1L1–Flag, and bound Flag-tagged proteins were detected with an anti-Flag antibody. Results (n = 3) are representative of at least four independent experiments. (D) Cell extracts used in (C) were incubated with plates coated with an anti-Flag antibody or an isotype-matched control, and captured proteins were eluted and detected by IB with the anti-Flag antibody. Samples were resolved on the same gel. (E, F) NPC1L1 cannot support filovirus entry and infection. CT43 cells expressing NPC1L1–Flag were exposed to wild-type EBOV or MARV (E) or to recombinant VSVs (F), and infected cells were visualized and enumerated by fluorescence microscopy. Asterisks in (E) indicate values below the limit of detection. Scale bar, 20 \(\mu\)m. (G, H) NPC1 is a critical filovirus receptor.
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becomes fully exposed only upon their removal during GP→GP<sub>CL</sub> cleavage (Supplementary Figure S1A; Kalesky et al., 2007; Lee et al., 2008; Dube et al., 2009). To examine the relationship between the NPC1-binding site and the proposed RBS, we tested the NPC1-binding capacity of a GP containing three mutations that were previously used to define the RBS (K114A + K115A + K140A; ‘3Ala’) (Figure 7A and C; Dube et al., 2009). GP<sub>CL</sub>(3Ala) bound poorly to purified NPC1–Flag relative to GP<sub>CL</sub>(WT) in the co-IP and ELISA assays (Figure 7A and B), even though both GP<sub>CL</sub> proteins were captured by the GP conformation-specific antibody KZ52 in equivalent amounts in both assays (Figure 7A). Similarly, mutation of the nearby highly conserved F88 residue to alanine, which is proposed to remove a key receptor contact or to alter RBS conformation (Manicassamy et al., 2005; Brindley et al., 2007; Lee et al., 2008; Ou et al., 2010), greatly diminished GP<sub>CL</sub>–NPC1 binding in the ELISA (Figure 7B and C). Therefore, the EBOV GP RBS proposed previously on the basis of mutagenesis and structural modelling contains the binding site for the NPC1 protein.

**Interaction between GP and NPC1 is required for a late step in filovirus entry**

We next determined the consequences of these GP mutations for viral entry (Figure 7B). Infection by VSV-GP(3Ala) and VSV-GP(F88A) (Manicassamy et al., 2005; Brindley et al., 2007; Ou et al., 2010) was severely reduced in WT CHO cells. We also tested two additional GP mutations at residue F88 with differential effects on entry. As reported previously, F88Y and F88H were mildly and strongly deleterious for GP-dependent infection, respectively (Ou et al., 2010). The effects of these mutations on viral entry and GP–NPC1 binding were congruent: GP CL(F88Y) displayed substantial, but reduced, binding to NPC1 relative to GP CL(WT), whereas little binding was obtained with GP CL(F88H) (Figure 7B). In sum, this series of experiments defining the molecular basis of the GP–NPC1 interaction strongly supports the hypothesis that GP–NPC1 binding, and not just the presence of the NPC1 protein, is required for filovirus GP-dependent entry.

Finally, we hypothesized that infection by GP mutants attenuated (but not fully deficient) for NPC1 binding may be at least partially rescued by provision of excess NPC1 within late endosomes and lysosomes. Accordingly, we tested the behaviour of VSVs bearing GP(WT), GP(3Ala), GP(F88A), and GP(F88H) in WT CHO cells containing only endogenous NPC1 or additionally expressing high levels of NPC1 (Figure 7D; Supplementary Figure S7). We found that NPC1 overexpression could indeed confer a dramatic enhancement in viral entry mediated by GP. Moreover, this

**Figure 4 NPC1 luminal domain C is necessary and sufficient for GP–NPC1 binding.** (A, B) Binding of NPC1 mutants lacking domains A, C, or I to EBOV GP. (A) Co-IP of mutant NPC1–Flag proteins from CT43 cell extracts by rVSV-GP was carried out as described in Figure 3A. Pellet samples for each NPC1 construct were resolved on the same gel. Pellets and supernatants were resolved on separate gels but exposed simultaneously to the same piece of film. (B) Capture of mutant NPC1–Flag proteins by rVSV-GP in an ELISA was carried out as described in Figure 3C. (C, D) CT43 cells were engineered to express synthetic single-pass membrane proteins comprising individual luminal domains of NPC1 fused to the first transmembrane domain of NPC1, the NPC1 cytoplasmic tail, and a Flag tag. Binding of these NPC1 mutants to EBOV GP was determined by co-IP (C) and ELISA (D) as described above. Results in (B) and (D) (n = 3) are representative of at least three independent experiments. Error bars indicate s.d. Figure source data can be found in Supplementary data.
enhancement appeared to be specific for the mutants that showed reduced binding to NPC1; no increase in infectivity was observed with either GP(WT) or GP(F535R), a highly attenuated GP2 mutant (Ito et al., 1999) that bound efficiently to NPC1 in vitro (Supplementary Figure S9). These findings argue that the low binding affinity of the GP(3Ala) and the

**Figure 5** Soluble forms of NPC1 domain C bind directly to GP and selectively neutralize infection by viral particles containing cleaved glycoproteins. (A–C) Capacity of an rVSV displaying a domain C-VSV G chimera (rVSV-domain C) to bind to rVSV-GP and neutralize rVSV-GP infection. (A) Schematic of experiments shown in (A–C). 'rVSV-bald' particles lack any virus-encoded glycoproteins. (B) rVSV-GP and rVSV-GPCL were preincubated with rVSV-domain C or rVSV-bald, and virus mixtures were stained with phosphotungstic acid and visualized by electron microscopy. Arrows indicate large clusters of viral particles. A larger version of this image is available in Supplementary Figure S5. (C) Virus mixtures were exposed to WT CHO cells, and expression of the rVSV-GP-encoded eGFP gene was quantitated at 7 h post infection (see Materials and methods for details). eGFP signal was normalized to that of uncleaved virus. (D) The capacity of rVSV-GP and rVSV-GPCL to capture a purified, soluble form of domain C containing Flag and hexahistidine tags was determined in an ELISA, as described in Figure 3C. Results (n = 3) are representative of at least three independent experiments. (E) The capacity of a purified, soluble form of GP lacking the transmembrane domain (GPΔTM) to associate with purified, soluble domain C was determined by co-IP, as described in Figure 3A. Pellets and supernatants (one gel for each) were resolved on separate gels but exposed simultaneously to the same piece of film. (F) rVSV-GP and rVSV-GPCL were preincubated with soluble domain C, and virus–protein mixtures were exposed to the Vero African grivet monkey kidney cell line. Viral infection was enumerated by fluorescence microscopy. Results are from two independent experiments (n = 4). Error bars indicate s.d. Figure source data can be found in Supplementary data.
Discussion

In this study, we show that the NPC1 protein is a critical receptor for cell entry by filoviruses. Despite the large size, complexity, and hydrophobicity of NPC1, we have demonstrated that this ~1300 residue, 13-pass transmembrane
protein binds specifically and directly to its viral GP ligand. Unlike NPC1’s housekeeping function in lysosomal cholesterol transport, which requires all three of its major luminal loop domains, we show that NPC1’s function as a filovirus receptor absolutely requires only its second luminal loop domain (C), which directly engages filovirus GP. Remarkably, a synthetic membrane protein comprising NPC1 domain C appended to a single transmembrane domain constitutes a receptor for filovirus GP-mediated infection.

While domain C plays a central and minimally sufficient role, our failure to fully rescue infection with only this domain implies indirect or direct contributions from other...
C-Flag or domain C-Flagtailless at 4°C. MARV was allowed to attach to CT43 cells expressing domain C-Flag or domain C-Flagtailless. VLPs were exposed to cells at 4°C for 5 min. Cells were then washed to remove residual protease and protease inhibitor (PM) is indicated in the cartoon below each image. Scale bar, 10 μm. (A) Attachment of VLPs containing uncleaved or cleaved GP(WT) or GP(3Ala) to CT43 cells expressing domain C-Flag or domain C-Flagtailless. VLPs were exposed to cells at 4°C for 30 min and binding was determined by flow cytometry. (B) Attachment of VLPs containing uncleaved or cleaved GP(WT) or GP(3Ala) to CT43 cells expressing domain C-Flag or domain C-Flagtailless. VLPs were exposed to cells at 4°C for 30 min and binding was determined by flow cytometry. (C) Infectivity of rSV-GP-EBOV, EBOV rSV-GPCL-EBOV, and rSV-GP-MARV in CT43 cells expressing domain C-Flag or domain C-Flagtailless. Results (n = 4) are from two independent experiments. (D) rSV-GP-MARV was allowed to attach to CT43 cells expressing domain C-Flag or domain C-Flagtailless at 4°C for 45 min, and cells were treated with 0 (–), 50, 100, or 150 μg/ml thermolysin at 37°C for 5 min. Cells were then washed to remove residual protease and unbound virus, and viral infectivity was determined at 16 h post infection. Asterisks indicate values below the limit of detection. Results (n = 4) are from two independent experiments. Error bars indicate s.d.

NPC1 sequences. These sequences may facilitate the optimal folding and endosomal/lysosomal delivery of domain C (indirect), contact GP during entry (direct), or participate in putative NPC1-dependent steps in entry downstream of GP–NPC1 binding (direct).

Genetic evidence indicates that cholesterol efflux from endosomal/lysosomal compartments requires both NPC1 and a small lysosomal cholesterol-binding protein, NPC2 (Nausheen et al., 2000; Sleat et al., 2004). Previous biochemical studies suggested that transfer of cholesterol from NPC2 to NPC1 is a crucial part of the efflux mechanism, but direct evidence for an interaction between the proteins was lacking (Infante et al., 2008b; Kwon et al., 2009). Recently, however, two of us showed that a purified, soluble form of domain C could bind directly to cholesterol-loaded NPC2 in vitro at acid pH but not at neutral pH (Deffieu and Pfeffer, 2011). These results lend support to a model in which docking of NPC2 to NPC1 domain C facilitates transfer of cholesterol from NPC2 to NPC1’s cholesterol-binding domain A. Here we show that, in contrast to its interaction with its cellular ligand NPC2, NPC1 domain C binds to its viral ligand, GP, in a manner that requires neither acid pH nor cholesterol. Whether NPC2 and GP contact the same or different surfaces of domain C remains to be seen.

Using a receptor engineering approach, we demonstrate that the use of NPC1 by filovirus GP exemplifies a novel type of virus–receptor interaction: while productive GP–NPC1 interaction can be contrived to take place at the plasma membrane, NPC1 normally binds to viral particles within the endosomal/lysosomal pathway and not at the cell surface (Figure 9). Our in vitro binding experiments provide an explanation for this result. Purified forms of full-length NPC1 and soluble domain C directly bind not to intact GP but to GPCL, which resembles a cleaved entry intermediate generated by the action of host proteases within endosomes (Chandran et al., 2005; Schornberg et al., 2006). These findings account for and extend recent observations that only EBOV GPCL could bind to endosomal membranes containing NPC1 and directly or indirectly co-precipitate NPC1 from membrane extracts (Côté et al., 2011). We delineate the NPC1-binding site within EBOV GP, and show why only cleaved GP can recognize NPC1: the binding site is occluded by heavily glycosylated C-terminal GP1 sequences and becomes fully unmasked only after these sequences have been proteolytically removed. Finally, we provide the first evidence that MARV GP, despite its instability to proteolysis, must nevertheless undergo intracellular cleavage to a species that can engage NPC1 within the endosomal/lysosomal pathway. We speculate that programmed exposure of the NPC1-binding site within intracellular compartments inaccessible to antibodies represents a strategy by which filoviruses evade the humoral immune response in their natural hosts.

Our findings imply that filoviruses must use host molecules other than NPC1 to attach to the cell surface and internalize into endosomes, and indeed, several have been implicated in previous work. Multiple C-type lectins (Alvarez et al., 2002; Simmons et al., 2003) and the T-cell immunoglobulin and mucin-1 protein (Kondratowicz et al., 2011) bind to uncleaved GP and enhance virus-cell attachment in antigen-presenting and epithelial cells, respectively. The Tyro3 receptor tyrosine kinases enhance viral internalization in some cells (Shimozuma et al., 2006; Hunt et al., 2011). Because mutations within the GP RBS tested in this study drastically diminish NPC1 binding but have only a modest effect on virus-cell attachment, it appears likely that other, more
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Côté et al., 2011), and GP1 residues we have shown to be important for NPC1 interaction are highly conserved among filoviruses (Kuhn et al., 2006), suggesting that all known members of the family Filoviridae utilize NPC1 as a receptor. It will therefore be of considerable interest to determine if incompatibilities between GPs and specific NPC1 orthologues during viral entry limit filovirus host range in a natural setting and impose barriers to viral interspecies transmission and zoonotic disease.

Materials and methods

Viruses and infections

Recombinant VSVs (serotype Indiana) expressing eGFP, and EBOV or MARV GP in place of VSV G (rVSV-GP-EBOV/MARV) were recovered and amplified as described previously (Whelan et al., 1995; Wong et al., 2010). The EBOV and MARV GP genes encoded by these viruses were derived from the Mayinga and Musoke isolates, respectively (Genbank accession numbers NP_066246 and YP_001531156). VSV pseudotypes bearing GPs derived from VSV, EBOV, SUDV, and MARV were generated as described previously (Takada et al., 1997). The WT filoviruses EBOV-Zaire 1995 and MARV-Ci67 used in this study have been described previously (Jahrling et al., 1999; Swenson et al., 2008). VSV particles containing GPCL were generated by incubating rVSV-GP-EBOV with thermolysin (200 µg/ml) for 1 h at 37°C. The protease was inactivated by addition of phosphoramidon (1 mM), and reaction mixtures were used immediately. Infectivities of VSV pseudotypes were measured by manual counting of eGFP-positive cells using fluorescence microscopy at 16–24 h post infection, as described (Chandran et al., 2005). Infectivities of rVSVs were measured by fluorescent-focus assay, as described (Wong et al., 2010). Alternatively, NH4Cl (20 mM) was added to infected cell cultures at 2 h post infection to block viral spread, and individual eGFP-positive cells were manually counted at 12–14 h post infection. Neutralization of uncleaved and cleaved rVSV-GP-EBOV by rVSV-domain C in Figure 3C was measured by quantitation of virus-encoded eGFP expression in cell monolayers with a Typhoon 9400 imager (GE Healthcare).

GP–NPC1 co-IP assays

Protein G-coated magnetic beads (20 µl/reaction; Spherotech) were incubated with the GP-specific monoclonal antibody KZ52 (5 µg) (Maruyama et al., 1999) for 1 h, washed to remove unbound antibody, and then added to uncleaved or in vitro-cleaved rVSV-GP-EBOV or rVSV-GP-EBOV particles (5 µl concentrated virus; 10^7–10^8 infectious units), or to purified EBOV GPATM (9 µg) in NTE-CHAPS (3-%(3-cholamidopropyl)dimethylammonio)-1-propanesulfonate) buffer (10 mM Tris-Cl (pH 7.5), 140 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 0.5% vol/vol CHAPS). Bead-virus mixtures were incubated for 2 h at room temperature.
temperature, and then added to crude detergent extracts of CHO CT43 cells expressing a Flag-tagged NPC1 protein (NPC1-Flag) (2 × 10^5 cell equivalents in 150 μl; prepared as described in Supplementary Materials and methods), or to purified, soluble NPC1 domain C (5 μg/ml). After overnight incubation with mixing at 4°C, beads were retrieved with a magnet, extensively washed with NTE-CHAPS, and heated in Laemmli sample buffer to elute bound proteins. Solubilized proteins were subjected to SDS–polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis, and NPC1 and GP were detected by immunoblotting with anti-Flag (Sigma-Aldrich) and anti-GP (Wong et al., 2010) antibodies, respectively. Typically, 50–100% of each pellet sample and 5–10% of each supernatant sample were loaded on gels. Reciprocal co-IPs were carried out essentially as above, except that Flag-tagged proteins in CT43 cell extracts were first captured onto Flag antibody-coated magnetic beads (20 μl/reaction; Sigma), and the beads were then incubated with uncleaved or cleaved rVSV-GP-EBOV particles in NTE-CHAPS buffer.

**GP-NPC1 capture ELISA**

Ninety-six-well high-binding ELISA plates (Corning) were coated with the GP-specific monoclonal antibody KZ52 (2 μg/ml in PBS), and then blocked with PBS containing 3% bovine serum albumin with 0.5% CHAPS (PBSA-CHAPS). Uncleaved or in vitro-cleaved rVSV-GP or VSV-GP particles solubilized in PBSA-CHAPS buffer were added to the blocked plates, and GP capture was allowed to proceed for 1 h at 37°C. After washing to remove unbound GP, serial dilutions of NPC1–Flag partially purified from CT43 cells (0–100 ng/ml) were added to the wells. After an overnight incubation at 4°C, plates were extensively washed, and bound Flag-tagged proteins were detected with an anti-Flag antibody-horseradish peroxidase conjugate and Ultra-TMB substrate (Thermo).

**Protease-mediated infection by rVSV-GP-MARV**

rVSV-GP-MARV was centrifuged on monolayers of CT43 cells expressing domain C-Flag or domain C-Flagtailless cells at 150 g at 4°C for 45 min. Cells were removed onto ice and the growth medium was replaced with prewarmed PBS containing increasing concentrations of thermolysin (0–150 μg/ml) at 37°C for 5 min. Protease and unbound virus were removed by washing with growth medium, and cells were then incubated at 28°C. Viral infectivity was scored 16–18 h later by enumerating eGFP-positive cells.

**Supplementary data**

Supplementary data are available at The EMBO Journal Online (http://www.embojournal.org).
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