Positional differences of axon growth rates between sensory neurons encoded by runx3
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The formation of functional connectivity in the nervous system is governed by axon guidance that instructs nerve growth and branching during development, implying a similarity between neuronal subtypes in terms of nerve extension. We demonstrate the molecular mechanism of another layer of complexity in vertebrates by defining a transcriptional program underlying growth differences between positionally different neurons. The rate of axon extension of the early subset of embryonic dorsal root ganglion sensory neurons is encoded in neurons at different axial levels. This code is determined by a segmental pattern of axial levels of Runx family transcription factor Runx3. Runx3 in turn determines transcription levels of genes encoding cytoskeletal proteins and Rock proteins which positively correlate in the mouse with the distance that separates in vivo these neurons from their targets, predicting intrinsic differences in the propensity of axonal growth between different neurons (Davies, 1989).

Introduction

Extension of axons is an underlying requirement for the establishment of connections between anatomically separated cell populations. During this process, interactions with extrinsic guidance cues imposing attraction and repulsion on the growth cone play a critical role by influencing growth dynamic and direction (Dickson, 2002; Huber et al., 2003; O’Donnell et al., 2009; Polleux and Snider, 2010). Thus, altering the expression of specific guidance cues has been shown to dramatically affect axon pathfinding. Among others, Ephrins, Semaphorins and growth factors are essential to direct peripheral axon trajectory within the limbs (Huber et al., 2005; Kramer et al., 2006a; Moret et al., 2007; Luria et al., 2008). Much of these effects take place by local signalling at the growth cone, although transcriptional mechanisms may also participate. However, in measurement of in vitro growth rate of different classes of neurons in Xenopus and mouse, rates between 20 and 75 µm/h have been recorded (Jacobson and Huang, 1985; Davies, 1989) which positively correlate in the mouse with the distance that separates in vivo these neurons from their targets, predicting intrinsic differences in the propensity of axonal growth between different neurons (Davies, 1989).

Sensory neurons of the dorsal root ganglia (DRG; Marmigere and Ernfors, 2007) along the vertebral column have different axon lengths depending on the segmental position. Encouraged by the prediction that axon length of pioneering neurons invading peripheral tissue at different axial levels is determined by differences in axon growth rate during development and that such a process is transcriptionally encoded, we examined the developmental process underlying axial differences in nerve growth of the large calibre proprioceptive DRG sensory neurons which are the first to project into the periphery and represent the main sensory component of the nerve trunk during growth into peripheral tissues in early development (Wang and Scott, 2000; Guan et al., 2003). These neurons express TrkC and require neurotrophin-3 (NT3) signalling for their survival during the period of naturally occurring cell death (Ernfors et al., 1994). NT3 has also been proposed to promote proprioceptive axon growth. However, recent data show that while target invasion and axon branching is critically dependent on nerve growth factor (NGF) and NT3, the nerves reach the target field independently of neurotrophins, suggesting that the growth of the main sensory nerve trunk is a mechanism independent of NGF and NT3 (Lumsden and Davies, 1983; Kucera et al., 1995; Patel et al., 2000, 2003; Genc et al., 2004; Wickramasinghe et al., 2008). Here, we demonstrate that DRG neurons of different axial levels display differences in growth rates at the time when nerves invade the limbs, and that such difference is encoded by graded levels of the transcription factor Runx3 which controls the expression of cytoskeletal proteins and Rock proteins participating in axon growth.
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Results

Axial differences in the rate of sensory neuron nerve growth

We first addressed whether there is an in vivo difference in axon growth rate between pioneering neurons growing into limbs or non-limb trunk axial levels. Chick embryos were collected with 6 h intervals between HHst25 and 27 and stained for Axonin-1 which specifically recognized sensory axons at these stages (Figure 1A, Hamburger-Hamilton stage (HHst) 27 chick embryo) (Honig et al, 1998). The growth rate was 41 μm/h for thoracic nerves and 63 and 83 μm/h for forelimb and hindlimb nerves, respectively, showing that nerves of different axial levels display different growth rates in vivo. We next determined if these positional differences are inherent to the neurons by examining if isolated neurons placed in a context independent of environmental cues (secreted locally or along the nerve tracts) show similar differences in axon growth than that observed in vivo. In low-density in vitro cultures, brachial, lumbar and thoracic neurons retained the differential growth behaviour observed in vivo when placed in vitro with brachial and lumbar DRG neurons exhibiting significantly greater rates of axon growth than those from thoracic region (Figure 1B and C; Supplementary Figure S1A, HHst27). No difference in soma diameter was observed between neurons from different axial levels (P > 0.05). Furthermore, axon growth was unaffected by growth factors at these early stages (Supplementary Figure S1B). Similar results, i.e., greater axon growth rate at limb than at thoracic levels, were obtained with DRG neurons cultured from HHst26 (P < 0.01) and late HHst27 (P < 0.001).

A strict temporal, spatial and cellular correlation of Runx3 with different capacities for nerve growth

The transcription factor Runx3 appeared as a possible underlying candidate factor encoding intrinsic axial differences in axon growth rate because Runx3 and the related transcription factor Runx1 affect axon termination patterns in the spinal cord, axon growth of differentiated neural stem cells in vitro (Chen et al, 2006; Marmigere et al, 2006) and are involved in the diversification of sensory neurons (Levanon et al, 2002; Kramer et al, 2006b). Strikingly, at HHst27, Runx3 mRNA as determined by in situ hybridization (Figure 1D and E), quantitative PCR (qPCR) (Figure 1F) and protein levels (Figure 1G and H) displayed differential expression along the rostro-caudal axis with overall less expression as well as lower levels per cell in cervical and thoracic as compared to brachial and lumbar DRG, similar to the limb-induced ETS transcription factor PEA3 (Lin et al, 1998; Supplementary Figure S2A). In contrast, the Runx3 cofactor CBFβ, β-actin and TrkC exhibited similar expression at all segmental levels (Supplementary Figure S2B–F). Runx1, a key transcription factor for cutaneous (TrkA+) sensory neurons, was very low until E7 (HHst31; Supplementary Figure S2I) consistent with that these neurons are born and project into the nerves later in development than proprioceptive TrkC+ neurons (Guan et al, 2003). The close spatial correlation of Runx3 and axon growth rate with Runx3 expression (Figure 1D and E) and quantitative PCR analysis of Runx3 mRNA levels in HHst27 DRG (n = 4). (G, H) Runx3 protein levels at different axial levels (n = 2). C, cervical; B, brachial; T, thoracic; L, lumbar. [C, E, F] One-way ANOVA with Tukey’s post hoc test, statistical comparison with brachial; (H) two-tailed, unpaired t-test. Mean ± s.e.m; **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001. Scale bars: (A) 0.5 mm; (B) 80 μm; (D, G) 100 μm.

Figure 1 Differential DRG axon growth along the rostro-caudal axis correlates with Runx3 expression. (A) Whole-mount Axonin-1 immunostaining of an HHst27 chick embryo showing the positional differences in sensory axon growth. (B) Differential outgrowth of cultured HHst27 DRG neurons. (C) Axon growth rate of HHst27 DRG neurons (n = 12). (D) Runx3 mRNA expression at different axial levels. (E) Quantification of (D) (n = 3 embryos). (F) Quantitative (q)PCR analysis of Runx3 mRNA levels in HHst27 DRG (n = 4). (G, H) Runx3 protein levels at different axial levels (n = 2). C, cervical; B, brachial; T, thoracic; L, lumbar. [C, E, F] One-way ANOVA with Tukey’s post hoc test, statistical comparison with brachial; (H) two-tailed, unpaired t-test. Mean ± s.e.m; **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001. Scale bars: (A) 0.5 mm; (B) 80 μm; (D, G) 100 μm.
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Figure 2 Temporal, spatial and cellular correlation of Runx3 with capacity of nerve growth. (A, B) Temporal and axial aspects of Axonin-1+ sensory axon growth within the limbs (A, asterisks) correlate with growth property in cultures (n = 7) (B) and the levels of Runx3 expression assessed by qPCR (B) (n = 4). (C) Correlation between axon growth rate and level of Runx3 expression in individual neurons of brachial HHst26 DRG. B, brachial; T, thoracic; L, lumbar. (B) One-way ANOVA with Tukey's post hoc test, statistical comparison with brachial (mean ± s.e.m; *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01). Scale bar: (A) 0.5 mm.

Axial differences in axon growth functionally determined by Runx3

The parsimonious explanation for the increased growth and Runx3 levels at limb levels is the presence of limb-derived signals instructing enhanced growth behaviour. We therefore next addressed if the axial differences of Runx3 expression and axon growth were determined by signals emanating from the target. Animals with unilateral ablation of hindlimb buds and axon extension (Figure 2C). This study revealed a direct temporal correlation of increased Runx3 expression and increased in vitro axon growth (Figure 2B). This result shows that ablation is elevated in sensory neurons at limb levels at a time when their axons approach the thoracic level remained unchanged between HHst25 and 27. These results show that Runx3 is expressed at different trunk levels at different stages allowing for analysis, since at later stages target deprivation-induced cell death of DRG neurons occurs (Caldero et al., 1998). No difference in the pan sensory neuron marker Isl1, TrkC or CBFβ mRNA expression was seen in lumbar DRG ipsilateral to the ablation using qPCR (Supplementary Figure S3A–C), showing that ablation did not affect neuronal survival at the analysed stage. In contrast, PEA3 mRNA expression was reduced to a level comparable to that observed at the thoracic level (Figure 3B; lumbar ablation: 55.71 ± 12.07%, thoracic: 56.07 ± 12.13%, in % of lumbar), consistent with previous data showing its dependence on the target for expression (Lin et al., 1998). Unexpectedly, neither Runx3 mRNA expression nor protein levels were affected by the ablation (Figure 3C–E). Furthermore, ablation did not affect the nerve extension shown by late HHst27 lumbar DRG in low-density cultures (Figure 3F). The persistence of Runx3 expression and nerve outgrowth in lumbar DRG was also observed after performing both hindlimb and tail buds ablation, a procedure that eliminates any other alternative source of target-derived signals (growth rate from lumbar DRG neurons (µm/h): control, 23.58 ± 2.56; hindlimb and tail buds ablation, 24.83 ± 4.46; P > 0.05) (Caldero et al., 1998). Taken together, these results provide evidence that the axial differences of Runx3 expression are determined independently of the peripheral target at these stages.

Axial differences in axon growth independent of the peripheral target

The segmental-specific patterning of Runx3 levels in DRG correlating with their growth properties when nerves enter the limbs prompted us to functionally address its role for determining axon growth behaviour. Runx3 activity was assessed by qPCR (Supplementary Figure S2G) and the levels of Runx3 expression (Figure 2B; *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01). Scale bar: (A) 0.5 mm.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Runx3 mRNA (n of %)</th>
<th>st25</th>
<th>st26</th>
<th>st27</th>
<th>st25</th>
<th>st26</th>
<th>st27</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brachial</td>
<td>23.58±2.56</td>
<td>24.83±4.46</td>
<td>23.58±2.56</td>
<td>24.83±4.46</td>
<td>23.58±2.56</td>
<td>24.83±4.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thoracic</td>
<td>23.58±2.56</td>
<td>24.83±4.46</td>
<td>23.58±2.56</td>
<td>24.83±4.46</td>
<td>23.58±2.56</td>
<td>24.83±4.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lumbar</td>
<td>23.58±2.56</td>
<td>24.83±4.46</td>
<td>23.58±2.56</td>
<td>24.83±4.46</td>
<td>23.58±2.56</td>
<td>24.83±4.46</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The segmental-specific patterning of Runx3 levels in DRG correlating with their growth properties when nerves enter the limbs prompted us to functionally address its role for determining axon growth behaviour. Runx3 activity was assessed by qPCR (Supplementary Figure S2G) and the levels of Runx3 expression (Figure 2B; *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01). Scale bar: (A) 0.5 mm.
neurons that show a strong axon growth and Runx3 expression at this stage were analysed by measuring axon growth after 7 h in vitro. pCARunx1d expression led to a significant decrease in axon growth compared to controls (Figure 4A). Similar results were obtained with brachial DRG neurons (growth rate (μm/h): control, 20.58 ± 4.89; pCARunx1d, 9.37 ± 3.9; P < 0.001). Soma diameters of transfected neurons were similar in both conditions (P > 0.05). Moreover, while distance to the first branch was not affected by pCARunx1d transfection, fewer sensory neurons exhibited at least one arborization in the experimental condition compared to controls (Figure 4B; Supplementary Figure S4A). This shows that Runx activity does not affect branching and that the effect on axon elongation is not a secondary consequence of increased and/or precocious branching. Addition of neurotrophins did not affect axon outgrowth in control or pCARunx1d-transfected DRG neurons (Supplementary Figure S4B). Reducing endogenous Runx3 mRNA levels in sensory neurons by siRNA affected axon length similarly as the dominant-negative construct (Figure 4C and D; Supplementary Figure S4C) confirming a Runx3-dependent growth of axons. We next increased Runx3 expression using a pCARunx3 construct in sensory neurons having short axons and low levels of endogenous Runx3 (i.e., HHst25 thoracic neurons). pCARunx3 led to a two-fold increase in axon growth compared to controls (Figure 4E; Supplementary Figure S4D). Hence, reducing Runx3 in neurons with strong axon growth (i.e., lumbar neurons) reduced axon elongation resembling thoracic neurons with weak axon growth while increasing Runx3 in neurons with low levels changed growth behaviour to strong axon growth. In contrast, transfection of HHst25 thoracic neurons with pCARunx1d construct, which impedes Runx activity, did not affect axon growth compared to controls cells (growth rate (μm/h): control, 13.66 ± 4.42; pCARunx1d, 9.60 ± 2.38; P > 0.05), indicating that Runx3 affects axon growth specifically above a certain basal level. These results do not address whether Runx3 acts as a binary on-off switch to induce axon elongation or in a level-dependent fashion which would be predicted from the observation of diverse levels of Runx3 immunoreactivity in the sensory neuron population that are correlated with their axon growth (Figure 2C). The pCMV promoter driving eGFP led to 40% less GFP intensity but unchanged numbers of transfected neurons when compared to pCAeGFP-transfected neurons (Figure 4F; Supplementary Figure S4E–G). Expression of a pCMVRunx1d construct in brachial and lumbar sensory neurons had intermediate effects on axon growth compared to control-transfected (pCAeGFP) and pCARunx1d-expressing neurons (Figure 4G). Analysis of the distribution of the axon length in these cultures showed a general shift towards shorter axon length without any bimodal distribution, indicating an effect on most sensory neurons (Supplementary Figure S4H).
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Figure 4 Runx3 levels determine axial differences of sensory axon elongation. (A–D) Axon growth (A, C, D) and arboration (B) of HHst26 brachial sensory neurons from control (Ctr) or Runx1d (A, B) or Runx3 siRNA (C, D) in ovo-transfected DRG (n=6–11). (E) Increasing Runx3 levels by pCARunx3 expression in thoracic DRG neurons of HHst25 embryos results in increased axon growth (n=4). (F) Expression of eGFP in DRG and half neural tube in pCAeGFP- and pCMVeGFP-transfected embryos analysed at HHst26. Note similar number of neurons but lower expression of eGFP using pCMV compared to pCA promoter. (G) Axon growth of HHst26 brachial sensory neurons from pCARunx1d- or pCMVRunx1d-in ovo-transfected DRG cultured for 7 h (statistical comparison with control or with pCARunx1d). (A, B, D, E) Two-tailed, unpaired t-test and (G) one-way ANOVA with Tukey’s post hoc test. Mean ± s.e.m.; *P<0.05, **P<0.01, ***P<0.001. Scale bars: (C) 80 μm; (F) 100 μm.

Figure S4H). Taken together, these results suggest that graded activities of Runx3 determine axon growth rate of sensory neurons from different axial levels.

A physiological role of Runx3 for nerve elongation in vivo

Using mouse genetics, we next addressed if Runx3 activity influences the growth of sensory axons in vivo. We observed in mouse embryos a similar correlation of Runx3 levels and axon growth at E11.5 and E12.5 when brachial DRG neurons initiate growth into the forelimb, as described in chick. Runx3 levels per neurons were significantly greater in brachial as compared to thoracic DRG (Figure 5A and B; Supplementary Figure S5A and B). This difference correlated with axon growth behaviour in vitro using ganglion explant cultures in matrigel, a substrate that permits outgrowth of sensory axons in vitro independently of neurotrophins (Graef et al, 2003; Supplementary Figure S5C and D). We observed, similar to the chick, a positional difference in axon growth in the mouse where E11.5 wild-type (WT) thoracic DRG displayed significantly shorter axons than brachial DRG (Figure 5C and D). Furthermore, at the time of initiation of growth into the limbs in the mouse, i.e., E11.5, DRG neurons were mostly Runx3⁺, while later born neurons express TrkA and Runx1 (starting at E12.5) (Kramer et al, 2006b). By analysing axon growth of Runx3⁻/⁻/TrkA⁺ neurons at E12.5, a stage when there are both Runx1/TrkA and Runx3/TrkC neurons in the DRG, mechanoceptive (including TrkC⁺ proprioceptive) axons which are Neurofilament 160 kD⁺ (2H3⁺)/βIII-tubulin, and nociceptive (TrkA⁺) axons which are βIII-tubulin⁺ but negative for neurofilament 160 kD (2H3 antibody) were growing in very close association, but the longest axons were invariably negative for TrkA, an observation that was quantitatively confirmed (Supplementary Figure S5E–G). Hence, Runx3⁺ neurons that are born early in the DRG display faster axon growth than Runx1/TrkA neurons, and also represent the pioneering axons invading the limb (Guan et al, 2003).

We next examined if Runx3 is the critical regulator of axial differences in axon growth between limb and thoracic sensory neurons in the mouse. In E11.5 Runx3⁻/⁻ mice, axon growth of brachial neurons was markedly reduced and comparable to WT thoracic DRG (Figure 5E and F, P>0.05). Hence, this shows that axial difference in axon growth was abolished in Runx3⁻/⁻ mice. Cell survival was not affected in these animals at the analysed stage, i.e., E11.5 (Supplementary Figure S6A and B) and no sign of increased cell death was observed in culture as assessed by quantification of active caspase-3⁺ cells (P>0.05). Combined, these results confirm that Runx3 is the critical mediator of differences in axon growth in vitro. To investigate the role of Runx3 in peripheral sensory axon elongation in vivo, we carried out whole-mount immunofluorescent staining of Runx3⁻/⁻ mice using antibodies directed against neurofilament (2H3) and TrkC to identify axons of neurons normally expressing Runx3 (Levanon et al, 2002). Although the level of TrkC immunoreactivity is decreased in the mutant mice, as previously reported (Levanon et al, 2002; Kramer et al, 2006b), it was still clearly visible (Supplementary
neuronal loss could be prevented by crossing the Runx3^+ projections. Runx3 visualization specifically of sensory (but not motor) axon reduction in sensory axon elongation into the limbs (Figure 6A). The Brn3a^+/TLZ locus express a genetic axonal tracer, Tau/β-galactosidase in the Brn3a locus that provides means for visualization of sensory neuron projections (Quina et al., 2005). We generated Runx3^-/-;Brn3a^+/TLZ mice to genetically allow visualization specifically of sensory (but not motor) axon projections. Runx3^-/-;Brn3a^+/TLZ embryos formed nerve trunks up to the brachial plexus, but elongation of sensory axons past plexus was significantly reduced (Figure 6D). Mice lacking Runx3 started to show increased numbers of apoptotic cells in brachial DRG neurons at E12.5 (Supplementary Figure S6B) and at E13.5 there was a massive loss of Runx3-expressing neurons as determined by β-galactosidase activity from the LacZ gene inserted into the Runx3 locus (Supplementary Figure S6C; Levanon et al., 2002). The deletion of the proapoptotic gene Bax rescues sensory neurons from death and affords the unique ability to study survival-independent processes in sensory neurons (White et al., 1998; Patel et al., 2003). Consistently, the neuronal loss could be prevented by crossing the Runx3^+/+ mice to Bax^+/+ mice, generating Runx3^-/-;Bax^-/- mice (Supplementary Figure S6C). We therefore crossed all three alleles to generate Runx3^-/-;Bax^-/-;Brn3a^+/TLZ mice. Analysis of such mice allowed us to address the role of Runx3 specifically in sensory axon growth in the developing mouse in vivo independent of neuronal survival. Runx3^-/-;Bax^-/-;Brn3a^+/TLZ mice displayed similar defects of axon as seen in Runx3^-/-;Brn3a^+/TLZ littermates (Figure 6B–D). Conflicting results have been obtained on the role of Runx3 for specification of proprioceptive neurons as determined by TrkC expression (Inoue et al., 2002; Levanon et al., 2002). Our results show that these data could be confounded by the death of Runx3-expressing neurons that starts at E12.5 in mice (Supplementary Figure S6B). We used the compound Runx3^-/-;Bax^-/- mice also to resolve Runx3-mediated effects on cell type specification. Normal numbers of TrkC^- neurons were formed although levels of TrkC expression per cell were reduced in Runx3^-/-;Bax^-/- mice (Figure 6E and F), suggesting that Runx3 is not necessary for the formation of TrkC^- neurons. The effect of an absence of Runx3 on axon growth is therefore not secondary to a failure of development or survival of TrkC^- neurons.

We analysed the presence of muscle spindles in Runx3^-/-; Bax^-/- hindlimb skeletal muscles at E18.5. Muscle spindles are peripheral end organs innervated by proprioceptive neurons, and their development starting at E15.5-16.5 depends on the presence of proprioceptive afferents as they contact nascent intramuscular muscle fibres (Kucera et al., 1995; Hippenmeyer et al., 2002). Muscle spindles, revealed by expression of the ETS transcription factor PEA3 (Hippenmeyer et al., 2002), were clearly identified on cross-sections of hindlimb muscles of Bax^-/- mice (Figure 6G), regardless of the proximo-distal position in the lower limb. In striking contrast, we found a complete absence of muscle spindles in hindlimb muscles of Runx3^-/-;Bax^-/- mice (Figure 6G). This strongly suggests that the early failure of proprioceptive axons to elongate their peripheral projections within the limb results in a loss of contact with myotubes and therefore a failure of muscle spindle induction. This conclusion was corroborated by examining expression of the ETS transcription factor ER81, which is induced in sensory neurons by the target, i.e., the muscle (Patel et al., 2003). E13.5 Runx3^-/-;Bax^-/- mice showed a complete loss of ER81 expression in DRG neurons, as compared to control Bax^-/- embryos (Supplementary Figure S7).

E11.5 brachial DRGs of WT and Runx3^-/- mice were dissected and RNA transcripts were sequenced for whole transcriptome analysis to reveal underlying molecular mechanism of Runx3 activity on axon growth. Analysis of gene activity with >1.25-fold change revealed 422 and 477 genes with increased and decreased expression, respectively. Functional annotation category clustering using DAVID (Huang da et al., 2007a, b; Sherman et al., 2007; Supplementary Figure S8; Supplementary Tables S1 and S2) identified among genes dependent on Runx3 for normal expression an enrichment in gene ontology categories reflecting cytoskeleton/morphogenesis processes as the top categories (Figure 6H). Of the 52 downregulated genes (Supplementary Table S3) in the five most enriched categories, 26 were associated with axon growth/cell migration and 15 of these encoded genes directly involved in actin-microtubule cytoskeleton/dynamics (Figure 6I; Supplementary Tables S4 and S5), showing that Runx3 controls expression levels of cytoskeletal structural components. Categories of genes suppressed by Runx3 were primarily cell cycle related, among which 29 genes were associated with cytoskeleton-related genes participating in cell cycle (Supplementary Tables S6 and S7). Within these genes, 13 were associated with axon growth/cell migration (Supplementary Table S7). This category also included Ntrk2 (encoding TrkB) previously known to be suppressed by Runx3 (Kramer et al., 2006b) and Rho-kinase (Rock) 1 and Rock2. We examined for regulation of other genes in the Rock pathway.

Figure S6A) and enabled a detailed assessment of the peripheral projections of muscle DRG neurons (Figure 6A). Analysis of E12.5 Runx3^-/- embryos revealed no difference in the initial extension of these axons towards the nerve plexus but a severe reduction in sensory axon elongation into the limbs (Figure 6A).
and identified protein phosphatase 1 (PP1) regulatory subunit 12C (PPP1R12C, 0.82% of control), which is a myosin phosphatase targeting subunit of PP1 that decreases phosphorylation of and inactivates NMII (Bannert et al., 2003; Vicente-Manzanares et al., 2009). Hence, Rock1 and Rock2 that phosphorylate and activate NMII are increased
and PPP1R12C, which dephosphorylates and inactivates NMII, is decreased in Runx3−/− mice. An ongoing Rock activity which inhibits axon growth that is suppressed by Runx3 therefore appeared as a possible underlying mechanism. Inhibition of Rock activity by Y27632 (30 μM) led to a significant increased axon growth of thoracic DRG neurons (Figure 6K), consistent with the presence of an ongoing Rock activity restricting axon growth at this stage in development. Furthermore, blebbistatin, a selective inhibitor of NMII (Kovacs et al., 2004) caused a marked increase in axon length (axon growth of E11.5 thoracic DRG neurons: control, 100 ± 9.79; blebbistatin (10 μM), 128.55 ± 2.89; in % of control, P < 0.01). To assess whether alteration in the Rock-NMII pathway directly participates in the Runx3−/− axon growth phenotype, E11.5 brachial DRG from WT and Runx3−/− mice were cultured for 14 h in the presence or absence of Y27632. Inhibition of Rock activity rescued the axon growth deficits of brachial Runx3−/− neurons to a level comparable to that of WT DRG neurons (Figure 6J and K). This suggests that Runx3 inhibits an ongoing Rock activity and that this inhibition leads to increased axon growth properties.

Discussion

Previously, a few transcriptional regulators of axon extension have been identified in vertebrates and these appear to control axon pathfinding and responsiveness to environmental cues and consequently, mutant mice display deficits in nerve trajectories (Kania et al., 2000; Sharma et al., 2000; De Marco Garcia and Jessell, 2008). In this report, we demonstrate that Runx3 acts as a regulator of axon growth encoding positional information of sensory neurons at different axial levels in chick and mouse. This positional information by Runx3 appears encoded locally in progenitors, suggesting that local organizing morphogens instruct positional information during nervous system development that influence axon growth behaviour of pioneering sensory neurons at later developmental stages. This conclusion is evidenced by the existence of a ‘memory’ of axial differences in growth behaviour along the rostro-caudal axis defined by Runx3 levels. The encoding of these differences might not be cell autonomous and likely involves instructive molecules that may arise from nervous tissue or adjacent mesodermal tissue but is not target dependent, as limb ablation did not affect growth behaviour or Runx3 expression. The significance of this finding is underscored by the fact that the growth rate was comparable across different axial levels in cells with reduced/absence of endogenous Runx3 both in vitro and in vivo in the chick and mouse and furthermore, by the finding that elevated Runx3 in non-limb trunk neurons convert their growth behaviour to that of limb neurons.

Large-size proprioceptive neurons are born before small size thermosensitive, pruritogenic and nociceptive sensory neurons. Large neurons are complete in numbers in mouse already at late E10 (HHst25 in chick) while small size neurons continue to be generated by cell division until E12.5 (HHst28 in chick) (Lawson and Biscoe, 1979; Montelius et al., 2007; Bachy et al., 2011). Consequently, pioneering axon growth is represented by large neurons (Guan et al., 2003). Runx3 is expressed in large-size neurons starting already at the time of neurogenesis (i.e., E10.5 at brachial level in mouse) (Levanon et al., 2002) and our data show that a large proportion of the DRG neurons express Runx3 in the DRG up to HH17 in the chick and E11.5 in the mouse. Thus, we conclude that the axial difference in axon growth is manifested by pioneering large-size neurons and within these neurons it is governed by Runx3. The independence of axon growth from the target as well as from neurotrophins is consistent with recent data showing that while target invasion and axon branching critically depend on NGF and NT3, the nerves reach the target field independently of neurotrophins, suggesting that the growth of the main sensory nerve trunks is a mechanism independent of NGF and NT3 (Lumsden and Davies, 1983; Kucera et al., 1995; Patel et al., 2000, 2003; Genc et al., 2004; Wickramasinghe et al., 2008).

Runx3 has been implicated as a critical transcriptional determinant defining specification of TrkC+ proprioceptive DRG neurons (Inoue et al., 2002; Levanon et al., 2002; Kramer et al., 2006b). However, by crossing Runx3−/− mice to Bax−/− mice we were able to dissociate the role of Runx3 for neuronal survival and for specification. These results show that TrkC+ neurons are generated in normal numbers independent of Runx3 in compound Runx3−/−;Bax−/− mice at E12.5. Runx3 has also been proposed to regulate proprioceptive neuron axon termination patterns in the chicken spinal cord (Chen et al., 2006) since reducing Runx3 in the chick caused sensory axon terminations in intermediate instead of the ventral region of the spinal cord (Chen et al., 2006). However, our results raise the question whether Runx3 is directly involved in establishing central termination patterns. As proprioceptive axons invade the peripheral target, factors in the muscle induce ER81 (Lin et al., 1998; Patel et al., 2003). Expression of ER81 in sensory neurons is necessary for their central projections to grow past the intermediate spinal cord (Arber et al., 2000). In this way, the muscle defines central termination patterns of proprioceptive afferents. We find a complete absence of muscle spindles in hindlimb muscles in Runx3−/−;Bax−/− mice suggesting that proprioceptive afferents failed to innervate their peripheral target muscles in these mice, therefore resulting in their inability to project centrally. ER81−/− mice display deficits of central but not of peripheral sensory projections (Arber et al., 2000). We find that expression of ER81, normally induced by the peripheral target field at E13 in mouse (Arber et al., 2000), is virtually absent at E13.5 in DRG from Runx3−/−;Bax−/− mice. Thus, Runx3-related deficits of central projections in mouse may be secondary to its effects on peripheral innervation and ER81 expression (Arber et al., 2000). Hence, based on the results in this study, we believe that one main function of Runx3 during early development of sensory neurons is represented by its role defining axial differences in axon growth.

Our results suggest that regulation of axon growth by Runx3 is complex and likely involves multiple mechanisms; based on whole transcriptome analysis, structural as well as regulatory components of microtubule and actin represent a major category of Runx3-regulated genes. Since the rate of microtubule extension and rate of axon growth are reflected by the status of actin and microtubule configurations (Tanaka and Kirschner, 1991; Tanaka et al., 1995a; Conde and Caceres, 2009), such changes in gene expression may participate in the observed effects of Runx3 on axon growth. Specifically, while expression of PPP1R12C was decreased, Rock1 and Rock2...
were elevated in Runx3−/− mice, and pharmacological inhibition of Rock activity markedly elevated axon growth of DRG neurons. One major target of Rock is NMII which is activated by Rock. NMII is thought to generate a retrograde flow of actin (Ponti et al, 2004; Giannone et al, 2007) and an inhibition of its activity decreases actin retrograde flow which increases protrusiveness (Even-Ram et al, 2007; Vicente-Manzanares et al, 2007). Inhibition of NMII activation by blebbistatin had similar effects on axon growth as Rock inhibition. These results show that early sensory neurons have ongoing Rock and NMII activities that restrict axon growth in sensory neurons. Furthermore, the proportional increased axon growth by blocking Rock activity in Runx3−/− neurons indicates a participation of the Rock-NMII pathway in Runx3-mediated axial differences in axon growth. One of the hallmarks of neuronal specification during development is the extensive diversification in axon morphology. Axon growth propensity encoded by position-specific transcription factors during cell-type specification may thus be an important feature shared also by other classes of neurons in the nervous system.

**Materials and methods**

**Mouse strains**
Runx3−/− mice and Brtn3a+/−/Z2 mice have been described elsewhere (Levanon et al, 2002; Quina et al, 2005). Bax−/− mice were purchased from Jackson Laboratories. All experiments conformed to Swedish and European guidelines on the ethical use of animals.

**In ovo microinjection and electroporation**
In ovo microinjections and electroporation of plasmids and siRNAs were carried out as previously described (Marmigere et al, 2006). The siRNA sequences were as follows: siriNA1, 5′-CCGACGGACACUUGUAGCAUGAAU-3′ and siriNA2, 5′-UGGCGAGAUUAACGAUUCCCGAAU-3′. Briefly, neural tubes of HHst13−14 embryos were electroporated in ovo with pCAeFP together with constructs of interest or siRNA and embryos were permitted to develop until indicated stages and DRG neurons from the transfected side were dissociated and cultured at low density in a minimal medium for 7 h. Runx1Δ is a truncated form of Runx1 that retains the DNA binding domain but lacks the transactivation domain, and therefore interferes with the activity of the Runx proteins (Marmigere et al, 2006).

**In vitro cultures of whole DRG/DRG neurons and transfection**
Dissociated DRG neurons were obtained after enzymatic digestion of whole DRG using collagenase/dispase (C/D, 1 mg/ml; Roche) and DNase (0.5 mg/ml; Sigma) in N2 medium (DMEM/F12 medium with N2 supplement) with B27 supplement (Gibco). Cells were plated at low density (300 cells/well) in 24-well plates on glass coverslips precoated with poly-L-lysine (0.01%; Sigma)/laminin (10 μg/ml; R&D Systems) or matrigel when indicated (BD Bioscience). Cells were cultured in N2 medium supplemented with B27 and neurotrophins when specified: NGF, BDNF, NT3 and GDNF (each at 10 ng/ml; Promega). Axon growth was analysed after 7 h. For explant cultures, whole DRG was plated on coverslips in matrigel, a substrate that permits outgrowth of sensory axons in a neurotrophin-independent manner (Graef et al, 2003; Wickramasinghe et al, 2008; Supplementary Figure SSC and DJ, diluted 1:2 in DMEM/F12/glutamax medium supplemented with pen/strep, gentamycin and the pan-caspase inhibitor Q-V-D-OPh (10 μM; Calbiochem). Explants were then cultured in the same medium for 14 h or 1 DIV and axons revealed by βIII-tubulin immunoreactivity. When indicated, 2Y7632 (a Rock inhibitor; Calbiochem) or blebbistatin (NMII inhibitor; Sigma) was added to culture after 2 h. Images were then converted to black and white and analyse.

For the overexpression experiments, we used in vitro transfection of DRG neurons since expressing Runx3 in the neural tube results in a deficit of neural crest delamination. In vitro transfection of DRG neurons was performed as described elsewhere (Ledda et al, 2008) using the overexpression constructs pCARunx3 together with pCAeFP. Cells were then plated in 24-well plates in N2 medium supplemented with B27 for 16 h without neurotrophins to allow for expression of the electroporated constructs. Thereafter, cells were lifted using C/D and replated in similar conditions. After 4 h, cultures were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS and immunostained. Using a mouse Runx3 antibody, we observed that all GFP+ neurons also successfully expressed the Runx3 overexpression construct.

For sensory neurons in culture, βIII-tubulin (Promega) staining was used to examine the full extent of axon growth of transfected (GFP+) neurons. For axon length measurement, each coverslip was analysed using the same objective. Using a × 10 objective, GFP+ primary neurons were identified and imaged using a × 20 objective. The longest axon for each identified neuron was analysed using ImageJ software program (NIH). In experiments where Runx3 expression was correlated with axon length at single cell level axon length was measured after 7 h by immunohistochemistry. For Figure 1B, images were then converted to black and white, and inverted to depict sensory neurons and their projections in black.

Analysis and quantification of these data were performed as follows. Figure 1C, n = 12 wells from separate experiments, with in total 269–305 neurons analysed per condition. Figure 1F, data presented as percentage of brachial, n = 4 samples, with DRG from at least five embryos per sample. Figure 2B, n = 3–4 samples for mRNA levels, with DRG from at least five embryos per sample, data presented as percentage of brachial and n = 7 with a total of 138–173 neurons analysed per condition for measurements of axon length in culture. In Figure 3F, n = 10 wells for the cultures, from separate experiments, with in total 235–268 neurons analysed per condition. In Figure 4A–D, data are expressed as percentage of control, n = 6–11 wells from separate experiments, with in total 86–185 neurons analysed per condition. In Figure 4E, data are expressed as percentage of control, n = 4 wells from separate experiments, with in total 87–103 neurons analysed per condition. In Figure 4G, data are expressed as percentage of control, n = 6–11 wells from separate experiments, with in total 86–185 neurons analysed per condition.

**In situ hybridization, immunostaining and X-Gal staining**
Embryos were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde/PBS, cryoprotected and sectioned at 14 μm thickness. In situ hybridization experiments and immunostainings were performed as previously described (Marmigere et al, 2006). Whole-mount immunofluorescent staining was performed as previously described (Huber et al, 2005). The following primary antibodies were used: mouse anti-Axonin-1 (23.4-5, made by Jessell and Dodd and obtained from the Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank), mouse anti-βIII-tubulin (Promega), mouse anti-Isl1 (39.4DS, Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank), guinea pig anti-Crunx3 and rabbit anti-mRunx3 (Chen et al, 2006), goat anti-mTrkC (R&D Systems), mouse anti-neurofilament-165 kDa (2H3, made by Jessell and Dodd and obtained from the Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank), rabbit anti-TrkA (Lefcort et al, 1996) and rabbit anti-TrkA (Upstate). Secondary detection was performed with Alexa Fluor 488, 546 or 647 conjugated donkey antibodies (Invitrogen). Staining was documented by confocal microscopy (Zeiss LSM 5 EXCITER) using identical settings between control and experimental images. Optical sections were 2 μm in × 20 overview pictures. For whole-mount staining, Z-Stacks were collected and 2D projections were created using the Zeiss LSM image processing software.

X-Gal staining was performed on paraformaldehyde fixed embryos, which were rinsed in phosphate buffer and incubated overnight in a solution containing 5 mM potassium ferrocyanide, 5 mM potassium ferricyanide, 2 mM MgCl2, 1 mg/ml XGal in phosphate buffer (20 mM). After overnight incubation, brain tissues were post-fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde, rinsed with PBS, dehydrated in methanol, cleared in BAB and photographed. For analysis of peripheral axon growth in vivo in Runx3−/−, Runx3+/−;Bax−/−, Runx3−/−;Bax+/, Runx3+/−;B3tA−/−/Z2 and Runx3−/−;Bax−/−;Brtn3a−/−/Z2 mice, limbs were dissected out and pinned down horizontally for better visualization of the peripheral sensory axons. Measurement of axon length was performed using ImageJ software program (NIH). For in vivo measurements of axon growth rate, chicken embryos were collected at 6 h intervals from...
tions (but with a 4 UDG (Invitrogen) was used, according to manufacturer’s instruc-
genomic DNA amplification. Platinum Quantitative PCR SuperMix-
cDNA. RT/C0 for 30 s and 72
independent samples for each developmental stage/location). Each 
qPCRs were performed in duplicates for each sample (with four
from at least four embryos per sample).

Limb ablation
Unilateral removal of the right hindlimb bud was performed at Hhst18 as previously described (Caldero et al., 1998) and long before the onset of axon outgrowth. In a separate group of 
embryos, both the right limb bud and tail bud were removed at the same time. Operated embryos were permitted to develop to Hhst26–27, the last developmental stage allowing for analysis, since at later stages target deprivation-induced cell death of DRG neuron occurs (Caldero et al., 1998). Analysis of PE3A, Runx3, Isl1, TrkC and CBF-b was conducted by qPCR of four samples, with DRG

Primer design
Genbank and Ensembl cDNA sequences were used to design gene-
specific primers in Primer Express 2.0 (PE Applied Biosystems) or in the Universal ProbeLibrary Assay Design Center (Roche Applied Science). Chicken homologues of the mouse Trk-C primers (Stenuquist et al., 2005), amplifying all extracellular domains or 
the intracellular tyrosine kinase domains (FL), were used. The 
specificity of PCR primers was determined by BLAST run of the 
primer sequences. All primers were purchased from MWG Biotech. 
Primer sequences can be found in Supplementary Table S8.

Reverse transcription
Total RNA was isolated from chicken DRGs from the indicated 
developmental time points and anterior-posterior axial levels, 
using RNeasy extraction kit (Qiagen), with in-column DNase treat-
ment. Total RNA was reverse transcribed with the High-Capacity 
cDNA Reverse Transcription Kit (Applied Biosystems), according to 
manufacturer’s instructions. Each sample was equally divided in 
two tubes, a cDNA reaction tube and a negative control tube, 
without the reverse transcriptase (RT–). Before qPCR analysis, 
both cDNA and RT– samples were diluted 25 or 50 times, with 
DNase/RNase-free dH2O (Invitrogen).

Quantitative PCR
qPCRs were performed in duplicates for each sample (with four 
independent samples for each developmental stage/location). Each 
PCR had a final volume of 25 and 5 μl of 25 x or 50 x -diluted 
cDNA. RT – was run for a few samples in each run to discard 
genomic DNA amplification. Platinum Quantitative PCR SuperMix-
UDG (Invitrogen) was used, according to manufacturer’s instruc-
tions (but with a 4 + dilution from the original mastermix, instead of 2 x). The following thermo cycling program was used: 50 °C for 2 min, 94 °C for 2 min and then 40 cycles of 94 °C for 30 s, 59/60 °C for 30 s and 72 °C for 30 s on the ABI PRISM 7000 Detection System 
(PE Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). A melting curve was 
obtained for each PCR product after each run, in order to confirm 
that the SYBR Green signal corresponded to a unique and specific 
amplicon. Random PCR products were also run in a 2–3 % agarose 
gel to verify the size of the amplicon. Standard curves were 
generated for every real-time PCR run and were obtained by using 
serial three-fold dilutions of a sample containing the sequence of 
interest. Their plots were used to convert Cts (number of PCR cycles 
for a given sample. The expression levels were then obtained by 
 dividing the quantity by the value of the housekeeping gene, ATPsy. 
ATPsy assays were run at the beginning and in the middle of assays, 
to verify the integrity of the samples, and also if samples had been 
frozen.

RNA sequencing and data analysis
Total RNA was isolated from E11.5 mouse brachial DRGs from WT 
or Runx3−/− mice (5 embryos/sample; 3 samples WT, 2 samples 
mutant) using Qiagen RNaseasy kit and prepared for RNA sequencing 
using Illumina TruSeq RNA kit. A total of 163 million nucleotide 
reads were generated for the five samples using an Illumina 
Genome Analyzer IiX sequencer. Raw reads were mapped to the 
mouse genome using STRT software (Peter Lönnerberg and Sune 
Linnarsson, manuscript in preparation). Briefly, raw reads were 
mapped using Bowtie (Langmead et al., 2009). Unmapped reads 
were removed and the mapped reads were counted to generate a 
count for each genomic feature. Mapped reads were normalized 
using RPKM (reads per KB per million reads) normalization method 
(Mortazavi et al., 2008). For differential expression, all the values <5 were removed and the average expression level for mutant and 
control experiments was calculated. Upregulated and down-
regulated gene lists were prepared with >1.25-fold change. For 
gene ontology analysis, functional enrichment was evaluated 
using the DAVID database http://david.abcc.ncifcrf.gov/. For 
differentially expressed genes, the background was set to the total 
list of genes present in mouse genome. Functional annotation 
clustering report from DAVID was used to select the top gene 
ontology terms. The most significant gene ontology term was 
selected from each cluster and the list was prepared from top 
gene ontology terms. Cluster enrichment score was >1 and the 
statistical significant threshold level for all GO terms was P <0.05.

Supplementary data
Supplementary data are available at The EMBO Journal Online 
(http://www.embojournal.org).
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