Meiosis requires a translational positive loop where CPEB1 ensues its replacement by CPEB4
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Meiotic progression is driven by the sequential translational activation of maternal messenger RNAs stored in the cytoplasm. This activation is mainly induced by the cytoplasmic elongation of their poly(A) tails, which is mediated by the cytoplasmic polyadenylation element (CPE) present in their 3' untranslated regions. Although polyadenylation in prophase I and metaphase I is mediated by the CPE-binding protein 1 (CPEB1), this protein is degraded during the first meiotic division. Thus, raising the question of how the cytoplasmic polyadenylation required for the second meiotic division is achieved. In this work, we show that CPEB1 generates a positive loop by activating the translation of CPEB4 mRNA, which, in turn, replaces CPEB1 and drives the transition from metaphase I to metaphase II. We further show that CPEB1 and CPEB4 are differentially regulated by phase-specific kinases, generating the need of two sequential CPEB activities to sustain cytoplasmic polyadenylation during all the meiotic phases. Altogether, this work defines a new element in the translational circuit that support an autonomous transition between the two meiotic divisions in the absence of DNA replication.
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Introduction

Vertebrate immature oocytes are arrested at prophase of meiosis I (PI). During this growth period, the oocytes synthesize and store large quantities of dormant mRNAs, which will later drive the oocyte’s re-entry into meiosis (Mendez and Richter, 2001; Radford et al, 2008). The resumption of meiosis in Xenopus is stimulated by progesterone, which carries the oocyte through two consecutive M-phases (MI and MII), without intervening S-phase (Iwabuchi et al, 2000), to a second arrest at MII. Remarkably, oocyte maturation occurs in the absence of transcription (Newport and Kirschner, 1982) and is fully dependent on the sequential translational activation of the maternal mRNAs accumulated during the PI arrest (reviewed in Bellloc et al, 2008). The most extensively studied mechanism to maintain repressed maternal mRNAs in arrested oocytes and to activate translation during meiotic resumption is mediated by the cytoplasmic polyadenylation element (CPE) present in the 3' UTRs of responding mRNAs. The CPE recruits the CPE-binding protein 1 (CPEB1), which assembles a translational repression complex in the absence of progesterone and mediates cytoplasmic polyadenylation and translational activation on progesterone stimulation. Activated CPEB1 recruits the cleavage and polyadenylation specificity factor (CPSF) to the nearby polyadenylation hexanucleotide (Hex), and, together, they recruit the cytoplasmic poly(A) polymerase GLD2 (for reviews see Mendez and Richter, 2001; Richter, 2007; Radford et al, 2008). Nevertheless, the activation of CPE-containing mRNAs does not occur in masse at any one time (Bellloc et al, 2008). Instead, the polyadenylation of specific mRNAs is temporally regulated (Ballantyne et al, 1997; de Moor and Richter, 1997) by two sequential phosphorylations of CPEB1. First, the phosphorylation of CPEB1 by Aurora A kinase at PI, which is required for the first or ‘early’ wave of polyadenylation and the PI–MI transition (Mendez et al, 2000a, b; Pique et al, 2008); although see (Ready et al, 2007). Second, the phosphorylation by Cdc2 and Pxl1 at MI, which targets CPEB1 for degradation and is necessary to activate the second or ‘late’ wave of polyadenylation and the MI–MII transition (Reverte et al, 2001; Mendez et al, 2002; Setoyama et al, 2007; Pique et al, 2008). This CPEB1 degradation, however, results in very low levels of this protein for the second meiotic division, when a third or ‘late–late’ wave of cytoplasmic polyadenylation is essential for MII entry and cytostatic factor (CSF) arrest (Bellloc and Mendez, 2008). In addition, Aurora A kinase is inactivated during interkinesis (Ma et al, 2003; Pascreau et al, 2008) concomitantly with increased levels of PPI, which dephosphorylates CPEB1 Ser 174 (Tay et al, 2003; Bellloc and Mendez, 2008).

The reduced CPEB1 activity after anaphase I (AI) raise the question of how the polyadenylation machinery is recruited to the mRNAs activated in the third wave. Recently, three additional genes encoding CPEB-like proteins have been identified in vertebrates (Mendez and Richter, 2001; Kurihara et al, 2003; Theis et al, 2003), thus opening the possibility that other members of the CPEB family could compensate for the degradation of CPEB1 in the first meiotic division. As CPEB2 and CPEB3 have been shown not to mediate cytoplasmic polyadenylation or translational activation, but rather to act only as translational repressors (Huang et al, 2006; Hagele et al, 2009; Novoa et al, 2010), we focused our study in the expression and function of CPEB4. Here, we show that CPEB4 is encoded by a maternal mRNA that is translationally activated by CPEB1 in response to progesterone, leading to the accumulation of the protein in the second meiotic division. CPEB4, in turn, recruits the cytoplasmic poly(A) polymerase GLD2 to CPE-regulated mRNAs and is required for MI–MII progression. On the basis of these findings, we propose that CPEB1 establishes a new meiotic circuit by activating the synthesis of CPEB4, which, in turn,
compensates for the inactivation and degradation of CPEB1 by mediating cytoplasmic polyadenylation in the second meiotic division.

**Results**

**CPEB4 is encoded by a maternal mRNA activated by CPEB1-mediated cytoplasmic polyadenylation**

To determine whether CPEB4 was expressed in oocytes, and could therefore be a candidate to replace CPEB1 function after MI, we first cloned the previously uncharacterized *Xenopus laevis* CPEB4 (Supplementary Figure S1), raised antibodies against this protein and analysed its expression in a meiotic time course. CPEB4 was present at very low levels in PI and gradually accumulated in response to progesterone, reaching maximal levels in the second meiotic division (Figure 1A). Although the levels of CPEB4 in MI were much lower that in MII, we were able to detect a significant accumulation of CPEB4 in MI compared with PI-arrested oocytes (Figure 1B). In this panel, it is also readily appreciable that CPEB4 becomes hyperphosphorylated in MII, resulting in slower electrophoretic mobility. Interestingly, CPEB4 followed an expression pattern complementary to that of CPEB1, which was highly expressed in PI-arrested oocytes and also in MI, but was degraded and virtually disappeared in MII-arrested oocytes (Figure 1A). Contrary to CPEB1 (HaKe and Richter, 1994), CPEB4 levels remained stable after fertilization and even after the midblastula transition (Supplementary Figure S2). As the expression pattern of CPEB4 was consistent with this factor being encoded by a maternal mRNA, that is silenced in PI-arrested oocytes and translationally activated by cytoplasmic polyadenylation in response to progesterone, we measured the poly(A) tail length of the endogenous CPEB4 mRNA (Figure 1C). The CPEB4 transcript, which contained a short-poly(A) tail in PI oocytes, was polyadenylated in MI and then partially deadenylated during the two meiotic divisions and CPEB4 translation on progesterone stimulation. CPEB4 mRNA is, however, partially deadenylated in the second meiotic division. The translational repression was dependent on the CPE cluster of two consensus CPEs (CPEs 1 and 2), but the translational activation was sustained by either of the two more CPEs (CPEs 4 or 5) and required the second Hex (Figure 2B). Thus, translational repression was most likely mediated by a CPE dimer, as shown before for cyclins B UTRs (Pique et al, 2008), whereas the activation required the Hex and the nearby CPE, in agreement with being mediated by ‘early’ cytoplasmic polyadenylation (Pique et al, 2008). We conclude from these data that CPEB1 mediates the ‘early’ cytoplasmic polyadenylation of CPEB4 mRNA, activating its translation on progesterone stimulation. CPEB4 mRNA is, however, partially deadenylated after C3H-4 accumulation in late MI (Belloc and Mendez, 2008), slowing down translation and leading to the gradual accumulation of CPEB4 that reach its highest levels only at the MI arrest.

**CPEB4 is required for meiotic progression between MI and MII**

We then proceeded to ask whether the CPEB1-induced synthesis of CPEB4 was required for meiotic progression once CPEB1 become inactivated/degraded. To this aim, CPEB4 mRNA was abluted by independent microinjection of four different anti-sense oligonucleotides, targeting either the ORF, the 3' or the 5' UTRs. These anti-sense oligonucleotides efficiently knocked down CPEB4 synthesis after progesterone stimulation and caused external morphological changes consistent with abnormal meiotic progression (Figure 3A; Supplementary Figure S4). The corresponding sense oligonucleotide was injected as a control. To define the meiotic phenotype resulting from inhibiting CPEB4 synthesis, we...
Figure 1 CPEB4 mRNA polyadenylation results in CPEB4 accumulation during the second meiotic division. (A) Xenopus oocytes stimulated with progesterone (prog) were collected at the indicated times and analysed by western blotting using anti-CPEB4, anti-CPEB1 or anti-tubulin antibodies. The meiotic phases of the oocyte are indicated (PI, prophase I; GVBD, germinal vesicle breakdown; MI, metaphase I; I, interkinesis; MII, metaphase II). GVBD was determined by the appearance of the white spot at the animal pole of the oocyte. (B) Xenopus oocytes, untreated or stimulated with progesterone (prog), were collected at the indicated times and analysed by western blotting using anti-CPEB4. The meiotic phases of the oocyte are indicated (PI, prophase I; MI, metaphase I; I, interkinesis; MII, metaphase II). (C) Total RNA extracted from oocytes untreated (−P) or incubated with progesterone and collected at metaphase I (MI) and metaphase II (MII) were analysed by RNA-ligation-coupled RT–PCR. (D) Oocytes were injected with the indicated radiolabelled 3′ UTRs. Total RNA was extracted from oocytes collected at the indicated times after progesterone stimulation and analysed by gel electrophoresis followed by autoradiography. Schematic representation of the 3′ UTRs is shown: CPEs as dark grey hexagons, Hexanucleotide as grey boxes, PBEs as rhombus, putative AREs elements as light grey ovals. CPE point mutations are indicated as a cross. (E) Oocytes were injected with C3H-4 anti-sense oligonucleotide (asC3H-4) or C3H-4 sense oligonucleotide (control). After 16 h, oocytes were injected with the indicated radiolabelled 3′ UTRs. Total RNA was extracted from oocytes collected at the indicated times after progesterone stimulation and analysed by gel electrophoresis followed by autoradiography.
Figure 2 CPEB4 is translationally activated by CPEB1 during meiotic maturation. (A, B) The indicated in vitro transcribed Firefly luciferase chimaerical mRNAs were co-injected into oocytes together with Renilla luciferase as a normalization control. (A) Firefly luciferase ORF fused to a control 3’ UTR of 470 nucleotides (control); cyclin B1 3’ UTR wild type (cyclin B1 3’ UTR) and CPEB4 3’ UTR wild type (CPEB4). Oocytes were stimulated with progesterone, collected at the indicated times and the luciferase activities were measured. Data are mean ± s.d. (n = 4). (B) The indicated Firefly luciferase 3’ UTR variants were injected in oocytes. Oocytes were then incubated in the absence (repression) or presence (activation) of progesterone and the luciferase activities determined after 6 h. The percentage of translational repression in the absence of progesterone (left panel) was normalized to control (100% translation) and to the fully repressed B1 (0% translation). The percentage of translation stimulation (middle panel) was normalized to control (0% stimulation) and B1 (100% stimulation). The total fold increase, as the total stimulation by progesterone for each mRNA normalized to control (0% stimulation) and B1 (100% stimulation). The percentage of (activation) of progesterone and the luciferase activities determined after 6 h. The percentage of translational repression in the absence of progesterone (left panel) was normalized to control (100% translation) and to the fully repressed B1 (0% translation). The percentage of translation stimulation (middle panel) was normalized to control (0% stimulation) and B1 (100% stimulation). The total fold increase, as the total stimulation by progesterone for each mRNA normalized to control (0% stimulation) and B1 (100% stimulation) is shown in the further right panel. Data are mean ± s.d. (n = 5). A schematic representation of the 3’ UTR, as in Figure 1, is shown.

monitored the chromosome dynamics by direct visualization of stained DNA, and the H1 kinase (Cdc2) activity in oocyte extracts from a meiotic time course (Figure 3B). Control oocytes displayed the characteristic DNA staining with extruded polar body and oocyte chromosomes arranged in the metaphasic plate. In addition, cdc2 activity increased in response to progesterone, sharply decreased after MI and augmented again at MII. In CPEB4-depleted oocytes, the polar body was not detectable and the chromosomes were partially decondensed and not arranged in a metaphasic plate, indicating that these oocytes did not complete the first meiotic division. Cdc2 activity in depleted oocytes showed normal stimulation after progesterone and the subsequent partial inactivation, indicating correct meiotic resumption until anaphase I (Figure 3B). At later times, H1 kinase was partially reactivated, most likely as a consequence of oocyte necrosis or apoptosis, which stimulate cdc2 and cdk2 (Zhou et al, 1998). Accordingly, the reactivation of H1 kinase was more evident with the CPEB4as2, which show more apoptotic symptoms than the CPEB4as1 (Figure 3B; Supplementary Figure S4A). At longer times, all the antisense-treated oocytes showed DNA fragmentation, indicative of apoptosis, suggesting that oocyte death is a secondary effect of the oocytes failing to progress properly between MI and MII (Supplementary Figure S4B). This phenotype was rescued by overexpressing CPEB4 from a microinjected mRNA not targeted by the anti-sense oligonucleotides (Figures 3A and B).

To further characterize the meiotic defect originated by preventing CPEB4 synthesis, we analysed whether DNA replication was activated, denoting exit from meiosis between MI and MII (Figure 3C). Measurement of the incorporation of microinjected-labelled dCTP into DNA demonstrated that, although control oocytes did not synthesize DNA in the course of a normal meiosis, new DNA was indeed generated in CPEB4-depleted oocytes. As a positive control, we depleted
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Figure 3  CPEB4 synthesis is required for the MI to MII transition.  (A, B) Xenopus oocytes were injected with CPEB4 sense (s) or anti-sense (as1, as2) oligonucleotides as indicated and incubated for 16 h. Then, the oocytes were microinjected with CPEB4-encoding mRNA and incubated in the presence or absence of progesterone (prog) as indicated. All the oocytes were collected 4 h after the control, non-injected oocytes, displayed 100% GVBD and analysed as follows. (A) The oocytes were analysed for CPEB4 levels by western blot using anti-CPEB4 and anti-tubulin antibodies (two oocyte equivalents were loaded per lane). (B) Oocytes were fixed, stained with Hoechst and examined under epifluorescence microscope. Representative images and the percentage of appearance for each phenotype are shown. The arrow indicates the first polar body. Scale bar = 10 μm. Oocytes collected at the indicated times after progesterone stimulation were analysed for H1 kinase activity as described in Materials and methods. (C) Oocytes injected with CPEB4 anti-sense oligonucleotide (as2), CPEB4 sense oligonucleotide (control) and Xkid anti-sense oligonucleotide (asXkid) were incubated for 16 h and then injected with 0.4 μCi [γ-32P]dCTP. Then, the oocytes were stimulated with progesterone and incubated in the presence or absence of Aphydicolin (Aph) as indicated. Oocytes were collected 5 h after control oocytes displayed 100% GVBD, DNA was extracted and analysed by agarose gel electrophoresis followed by autoradiography.

Xkid mRNA, which causes meiotic exit and DNA synthesis after MI (Perez et al., 2002). The incorporation of labelled dCTP was sensitive to aphidicoline, revealing that DNA replication, rather than DNA repair, was taking place (Furuno et al., 1994). Collectively, these data illustrate that CPEB4-depleted oocytes progress from PI to MI, but failed to transition between MI and MII and, instead, exit meiosis replicating the DNA. Thus, although GBVD (MI entry) takes place normally, as detected by the formation of the characteristic white spot, chromatids were not segregated and the first meiotic division was not completed, as shown by the lack of polar body extrusion and the absence of a properly assembled metaphasic plate. Biochemically, MPF was activated as in control oocytes (Figure 3B, lower panels), indicating normal entry into MI, and later inactivated, indicating that the APC was active even if chromatids were not segregated. Therefore, we concluded that depletion of CPEB4 resulted in meiotic arrest/exit in the transition between MI and AI. At this point, chromatids partially decondensed and premature DNA replication takes place. In turn, this incomplete meiotic progression results in oocyte degeneration, which has been previously reported to be associated with reactivation of histone H1 kinase, cleavage of Fodrin and DNA fragmentation (Perez et al., 2002; Eliscovich et al., 2008), all indicative of apoptosis. Although at the MI–AI transition the levels of CPEB4 detected by western blot are low compared with MI levels (Figure 1B), but significantly increased over PI-arrested oocytes, it is clear that these ‘low’ levels of newly synthesized CPEB4 are essential for correct meiotic progression. This phenotype contrast with the inhibition of CPEB1 activity, either by microinjecting neutralizing antibodies (Stebbins-Boaz et al., 1996) or by overexpressing a dominant-negative mutant (Mendez et al., 2000a), which results in the inhibition of oocyte maturation (MI entry), as detected by the absence of white spot formation (GVBD) and MPF activation. Thus, CPEB1 and CPEB4 have sequential functions during meiotic progression, CPEB1 being required for the PI–MI transition and CPEB4 for the MI–MII transition.

Both CPEB1 and CPEB4 recruit the polyadenylation machinery to CPE-regulated mRNAs, but at different meiotic phases

As the CPE-regulated mRNAs required for cdc2 reactivation and CSF activity in the second meiotic division are polyadenylated in the third or 'late–late' meiotic wave (Belloc and Mendez, 2008), when CPEB1 levels are negligible, we next sought to determine whether CPEB4 could also bind to these mRNAs, thus substituting CPEB1 function. We first tested whether CPEB4 was able to bind cyclin B1 3' UTR in a CPE-dependent manner. Oocytes were microinjected with WT cyclin B1 3' UTR or with a variant in which the CPEs were inactivated by point mutations. Then, the association of CPEB1 and CPEB4 to these reporters was analysed by IP followed by RT–PCR (Figure 4A). Both proteins co-immunoprecipitated the WT UTR, but not the mutant UTR. Interestingly, for CPEB1, the amount of immunoprecipitated probe was larger in the first meiotic division than in the
second, whereas for CPEB4, the proportion was reverted with higher binding in MII (Figure 4A). Once determined that CPEB4 recognizes the same CPEs than CPEB1, we assessed the association of both proteins to ‘early’ polyadenylated (CPEB4, Emi1, mos and cyclin B5), ‘late’ polyadenylated (cyclin B1) and ‘late–late’ polyadenylated (Emi2 and cyclin E) endogenous mRNAs (Figure 4B). We found that CPEB4 was bound to all ‘early’, ‘late’, ‘late–late’, weak-polyadenylated and strong-polyadenylated (Belloc and Mendez, 2008; Pique et al, 2008)) CPE-regulated mRNAs in MII. CPEB1 was bound to the same mRNAs in PI, but not in MII. As a negative control, GAPDH was not associated with CPEB1 or CPEB4. Thus, CPEB1 and CPEB4 regulate identical subpopulations of mRNAs in the first and second meiotic divisions, respectively, reflecting the relative levels of these CPEBs at different meiotic phases. Interestingly, CPEB4 was recruited to its own mRNA in MII, suggesting a positive feed back loop that may explain why CPEB4 mRNA is not completely deadenylated by C3H-4.

To verify whether endogenous CPEB4 was able to recruit the polyadenylation machinery, we immunoprecipitated both CPEB1 and CPEB4 and analysed the co-immunoprecipitates for the presence of cytoplasmic poly(A) polymerase GLD2. Both proteins were equally able to recruit GLD2 (Figure 4C). To rule out the co-immunoprecipitation of GLD2 by CPEB4 was through association with CPEB1, we also analysed the immunoprecipitates for the presence of CPEB1. CPEB4 did not co-immunoprecipitate CPEB1 in MII (Figure 4C), indicating that both CPEBs are not bound to the same mRNA, and that the association of GLD2 and CPEB4 is not indirectly mediated through a potential dimerization with CPEB1. Thus, both CPEB1 and CPEB4 recruit the polyadenylation machinery to CPE-regulated mRNAs, but at different meiotic phases.

**Differential regulation of CPEB1 and CPEB4 underlies the requirement for two distinct CPEBs to complete meiosis**

We next sought to elucidate why two different CPEBs are required to complete meiosis and why CPEB1 has to be replaced by CPEB4 to sustain the polyadenylation of the same mRNAs. Thus, we first asked whether CPEB1 and CPEB4 were functionally equivalent, by substituting CPEB4 with a non-degradable CPEB1 in the second meiotic division. For this purpose, we overexpressed a non-degradable form of CPEB1. This CPEB1 had the cdc2-phosphorylated residues substituted by alanines (CPEB1-CA), but still contains the regulatory ser 174, targeted by Aurora A kinase and required to activate CPEB1 (Mendez et al, 2000a). Phosphorylation of CPEB1 by Cdc2 is required for its degradation at anaphase I (Mendez et al, 2002; Setoyama et al, 2007). To avoid the meiotic arrest caused by overexpressing high levels of non-degradable CPEB1 in PI (Mendez et al, 2002), we microinjected a deadenylated mRNA encoding CPEB1-CA, which drove the accumulation CPEB1-CA to similar levels than WT-CPEB in PI, but predominantly after GVBD (Figure 5A), and, therefore, without interfering with meiotic progression (Figure 5B). This pattern of overexpression of CPEB1-CA had no major effects in the polyadenylation of cyclin B1 mRNA (Figure 5C). Depletion of CPEB4 caused a meiotic blockage after MI (Figures 3B and 5B) and partially prevented the polyadenylation of the ‘late–late’ mRNA encoding cyclin E (Figure 5D), but did not affect the polyadenylation of cyclin B1 mRNA (Figure 5D), consistently with this transcript being polyadenylated by CPEB1 in MI, before CPEB4 accumulates. However, the non-degradable CPEB1 was not able to compensate for the lack of CPEB4 in the second meiotic division; if anything, the phenotype was even aggravated (Figure 5B). Accordingly, polyadenylation of the ‘late’ cyclin E mRNA was

---

**Figure 4** CPEB1 and CPEB4 are sequentially associated with CPE-containing mRNAs. (A) Xenopus oocytes were microinjected with *in vitro* transcribed RNAs derived from WT cyclin B1 3’ UTR (cyclin B1) or the corresponding variant with the CPEs inactivated by point mutations (cyclin B1-CPE). Then, the oocytes were incubated for 8 h in the presence (MII) or absence (–P) of progesterone and subjected to immunoprecipitation with anti-CPEB1, anti-CPEB4 and control IgG antibodies followed by RT–PCR for the microinjected RNAs. The PCR products derived from the microinjected (Input) and co-immunoprecipitated (IP) RNAs were visualized by stained agarose gel electrophoresis. (B, C) Cytoplasmic extracts from oocytes untreated (–P) or incubated with progesterone for 8 h (MII) were subjected to immunoprecipitation with anti-CPEB1, anti-CPEB4 and control IgG antibodies. The co-immunoprecipitates were analysed by qRT–PCR for the presence of the indicated mRNAs (B) or by western blotting for the presence of GLD2 and CPEB1 proteins (C). Data are mean ± s.d. (n = 3).
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not rescued by expressing CPEB1-CA (Figure 5D). Surprisingly, substitution of CPEB4 by CPEB1 resulted in a shortened poly(A) tail for cyclin B1 (Figure 5D). As this is an mRNA polyadenylated by CPEB1 and this polyadenylation was not affected by overexpressing CPEB1-CA (Figure 5C) in the presence of CPEB4, this result indicates that replacement of CPEB1 by CPEB4 after anaphase I is not only required to sustain the polyadenylation of the ‘late–late’ mRNAs, but also to prevent the deadenylation of the previously CPEB1-polyadenylated mRNAs. Therefore, degradation of CPEB1 and new synthesis of CPEB4 in late meiosis seems to be required to prevent deadenylation during interkinesis of the mRNAs polyadenylated by CPEB1 during PI–MI, whereas maintaining the oocyte capability to generate the third wave of ‘late–late’ polyadenylation. Considering that both CPEB1 and CPEB4 share multiple ‘early’ and ‘late’ mRNA targets (Figure 4B), the interkinesis deadenylation inhibition should not be limited to cyclin B1 mRNA, but, most likely, would be a general effect to all CPEB1/CPEB4-regulated mRNAs. Thus, we concluded that although both CPEB1 and CPEB4 were able to recruit the polyadenylation machinery to the same mRNAs, they were not functionally exchangeable.

As both CPEBs were able to recruit the poly(A) polymerase to the same CPE-regulated mRNAs, we aimed to address their functional specificity by focusing in their posttranslational regulation. CPEB1 is activated by the Aurora A kinase phosphorylation of serine 174 (Mendez et al., 2000a). However, CPEB4 lacks any consensus sequence for Aurora A kinase, even if it is phosphorylated in response to progesterone (Supplementary Figure S5). Thus, the difference between CPEB1 and CPEB4 might reside in the signal transduction pathways that are active at different meiotic phases and that differentially target both CPEBs, rather than in the intrinsic differences between both proteins. Indeed, Aurora A follows a biphasic pattern of activation and has to be inactivated during interkinesis to allow for MI–MII transition (Ma et al., 2003; Pascreau et al., 2008). Moreover, PPI, which dephosphorylates Ser 174 (Tay et al., 2003), is synthesized during the first wave of cytoplasmic polyadenylation (Belloc and Mendez, 2008). Therefore, we hypothesized that, if CPEB1

---

**Figure 5** A stable CPEB1 mutant cannot replace CPEB4 in the second meiotic division. Xenopus oocytes were injected with CPEB4 sense (control) or anti-sense (as2) oligonucleotides. After 16 h, oocytes were microinjected with mRNAs encoding either CPEB4 or CPEB1-CA and incubated with progesterone. (A) Oocytes were collected at the indicated times and analysed for CPEB1 levels by western blot using anti-CPEB1 and anti-tubulin antibodies (1.5 oocyte equivalents were loaded per lane) (B) Oocytes were collected 4 h after control oocytes display 100% GVBD and treated as Figure 3B. (C, D) Total RNA from oocytes collected at the indicated times was extracted and polyadenylation status of cyclin B1 and cyclin E mRNAs was measured by RNA-ligation-coupled RT–PCR.

---
would remain present after MI, it may result inactivated during interkinesis and reassemble the repression/deadenylation complexes. Thus, overexpression of non-degradable CPEB1, rather than being able to rescue for the lack of CPEB4, will cause the deadenylation of CPE-containing mRNAs polyadenylated in PI and early MI. This hypothesis would explain not only the lack of rescue, but also the observed deadenylation of cyclin B1 mRNA in oocytes depleted of CPEB4 and injected with the CPEB1 stable mutant.

To test this hypothesis, we generated an additional CPEB1 mutant (CPEB1-CAD) that has the cdc2-phosphorylated residues substituted by Alanines (to generate a stable variant), and the regulatory Ser174 mutated to Aspartic acid (to generate a phosphomimetic constitutively activated form of the protein). CPEB1-CAD expression (Figure 6A) was indeed able to compensate for the depletion of CPEB4, both by rescuing the proper chromosome segregation (Figure 6B) and restoring the third wave of cytoplasmic polyadenylation for the ‘late–late’ cyclin E and emi2 mRNAs (Figure 6C). This result argues that CPEB1 and CPEB4 perform the same function in the translational control of maternal mRNAs, but different signal transduction pathways regulate the two CPEBs. Thus, although CPEB1 is able to support cytoplasmic polyadenylation when Aurora A kinase is active, in PI and early MI, it will be inactivated in the MI–AI transition. CPEB1 inactivation would, in turn, lead to the deadenylation of mRNAs (such as cyclin B1, Figure 5D) that are required to maintain the intermediate levels of MPF activity required to block DNA replication during interkinesis, and latter to fully reactivate MPF for MII entry. To overcome this problem, CPEB1 is degraded and replaced by another CPEB that is not regulated by Aurora A. The correct coordination of this replacement is ensured by the translational control of CPEB4 by CPEB1 before the degradation of the latest by the APC.

**Discussion**

Progression through the two meiotic divisions requires the sequential activation of maternal mRNAs encoding factors that drive cell-cycle phase transitions. This sequential activation is achieved by a combination of successive phosphorylation events in CPEB1 with a combinatorial arrangement of CPEs and AREs in the CPEB-regulated mRNAs. First, Aurora A kinase activates CPEB1 and triggers the ‘early’ wave of
cytoplasmic polyadenylation required for the PI–MI transition (Mendez et al., 2000a, b; Pique et al., 2008; although see Keady et al., 2007). Then, in MI, Cdc2- and Plx1-mediated phosphorylations target CPEB1 for SCF(β-TrCP)-dependent degradation, thus lowering CPEB1 levels. Low CPEB1 levels are, in turn, necessary to trigger the second or ‘late’ wave of polyadenylation required for MI–MII transition (Reverte et al., 2001; Mendez et al., 2002; Setoyama et al., 2007; Pique et al., 2008). These ‘late’ mRNAs, such as cyclin B1 mRNA, contain at least two CPEs being the most distal one overlapping with the Hex, which becomes accessible to CPSF only on CPEB1 degradation (Mendez et al., 2002). The drawback of the degradation of CPEB1 in MI is that the remaining levels of this factor are then very low for interkinesis and for the second meiotic division, when the third or ‘late–late’ wave of cytoplasmic polyadenylation is required to mediate the MII arrest by CSF (Bellloc and Mendez, 2008). During interkinesis, APC activation is combined with increased synthesis of cyclins B1 and B4 (Hochegger et al., 2001; Pique et al., 2008), resulting in only a partial inactivation of MPF at anaphase I and preventing entry into S-phase (Iwabuchi et al., 2000). Full reactivation of MPF for MI requires re-accumulation of high levels of cyclins B, as well as the inactivation of APC by newly synthesized Emi2 and other components of the CSF, such as cyclin E or high levels of Mos (Schmidt et al., 2006; Bellloc and Mendez., 2008).

The recent discovery of other members of the CPEB family of proteins, together with the description of an autoregulatory loop of the CPEB-ortholog Orb (Tan et al., 2001), pointed us to explore the possibility that CPEB1 could activate the translation of other members of the CPEB family to compensate for its reduced levels after MI. All CPEB-like proteins have a similar structure with most of the carboxy-terminal regions composed of two RNA recognition motifs and two zinc fingers. On the other hand, the regulatory amino-terminal domains of the CPEB proteins show a small degree of identity. The most extensively studied member of the family, CPEB1, has dual functions as a translational repressor and activator, whereas CPEB3 and CPEB2 seem to act only as translational repressors (Schmitt and Nebreda, 2002; Huang et al., 2006; Hagele et al., 2009; Novoa et al., 2010).

In this study, we found that CPEB4 is encoded by a maternal mRNA activated by CPEB1 during the ‘early’ wave of cytoplasmic polyadenylation, being then partially inactivated by C3H-4-mediated deadenylation. This translational regulation leads to the gradual accumulation of CPEB4 from MI to reach maximal levels in the MII arrest. In turn, CPEB4 is required for the MI–MII transition and recruits GLD2 to ‘late’ and ‘late–late’ CPE-regulated mRNAs, which are activated by cytoplasmic polyadenylation during interkinesis and encode proteins required for the second meiotic division and to prevent DNA replication after MI, such as XKid, TPX2, cyclin E, emi2, cyclins B1/B4 (Hochegger et al., 2001; Bellloc and Mendez, 2008; Eliscovich et al., 2008; Pique et al., 2008). It is noteworthy that the phenotype generated by depleting CPEB4 is very similar to the one caused by XKid mRNA depletion (Perez et al., 2002). Altogether, our work shows that CPEB4 replaces CPEB1 for the second meiotic division by regulating CPE-containing mRNAs. However, reflecting their relative levels of protein, CPEB1 mediates cytoplasmic polyadenylation in the first meiotic division and CPEB4 in the second. This explains why inhibition of CPEB1 prevents meiotic resumption from the PI arrest, whereas CPEB4 depletion blocks meiotic progression between the first and the second metaphases.

But, why are two different CPEBs required for completion of meiosis? Although CPEB1 and 4 recognize the same CPE-regulated mRNAs and recruit GLD2, they are not interchangeable as shown by the fact that stabilized CPEB1 cannot replace CPEB4 for the transition from MI to MII nor for the polyadenylation of the ‘late–late’ mRNA encoding cyclin E. This may reflect the differential regulation of both proteins. Besides the fact that CPEB1 contains a PEST box that mediates its degradation on phosphorylation by Cdc2 and Plx1, CPEB1 is the only member of the family that contains Aurora A kinase phosphorylation sites (Mendez and Richter, 2001). Thus, although CPEB1 is activated by Aurora A kinase in PI and MI (Mendez et al., 2000a), it will be inactivated during interkinesis due to the inhibition of Aurora A kinase (Ma et al., 2003; Pascreau et al., 2008) and the increased levels of PPI, which dephosphorylates CPEB1 Ser 174 (Tay et al., 2003; Bellloc and Mendez, 2008). In turn, if inactive CPEB1 is present during interkinesis, this would result in the deadenylation of the previously polyadenylated mRNAs (‘early’ and ‘late’) and the lack of polyadenylation of the ‘late–late’ mRNAs (Figure 5D). Accordingly, the inhibition of Aurora A kinase can be rescued by overexpressing a constitutively active, and non-degradable, mutant of CPEB1 (Figure 6). Therefore, to overcome the need of inhibiting Aurora A kinase to exit MI, CPEB1 must be replaced by CPEB4, which is not regulated by this kinase, but rather by another one that would remain active during interkinesis. CPEB4 contains putative recognition sites for PKA, CaMKII and S6 kinase (Theis et al., 2003), suggesting differential posttranslational regulation of both factors during meiotic progression. Accordingly, overexpressed CPEB4 shows mobility changes in response to progesterone without any effect on its stability (Supplementary Figure S5). These observations suggest that CPEB4 is not constitutively active, but, rather, it has to be posttranslationally modified to become active and, not having a consensus Aurora A kinase phosphorylation site, it will most likely be activated by a different phosphorylation, taking place at later meiotic phases. Although neither the phosphorylation sites for CPEB4 in meiosis nor the kinase responsible are known, mammalian CPEB4 is phosphorylated in mitotic cells in multiple proline-directed sites (http://www.phosphosite.org), of unknown regulatory function. This observation is consistent with the timing of CPEB4 phosphorylation (Supplementary Figure S5) after GVBD, when a number of proline-directed kinases are activated (Mapk, Plk1, Cdc2, etc.). Taken these findings together, we propose a meiotic molecular circuit (Figure 7) where cytoplasmic polyadenylation is initiated by Aurora A kinase phosphorylation of CPEB1, which triggers the ‘early’ wave of cytoplasmic polyadenylation required to enter the first meiotic metaphase. In MI, Cdc2 and Plk1 activate the degradation of CPEB1, which is necessary, not only to allow the polyadenylation of ‘late’ mRNAs in MI, but also to prevent deadenylation of these ‘early’ and ‘late’ mRNAs in interkinesis (Figure 5D) when Aurora A kinase is inhibited (Ma et al., 2003; Pascreau et al., 2008). Consequently, CPEB1 activates the synthesis of CPEB4, which supports the third wave of ‘late–late’ polyadenylation during interkinesis and in MII. Thus, CPEB1 and CPEB4 are functionally exchangeable, but
regulated by different signal transduction pathways to ensure that they stay in their active forms at the appropriate meiotic phases and that the polyadenylation machinery is active during the whole meiotic progression. The coordination of events required for correct meiotic phase transitions, and the self-sustainability of the three waves of cytoplasmic polyadenylation once the initial stimulation by progesterone takes place, is accomplished by the translational control of CPEB4 by CPEB1 before the degradation of the latest by the APC.

Materials and methods

Xenopus oocytes preparation
Stage VI oocytes were obtained from Xenopus females and induced to mature with progesterone (10 mM, Sigma), as described earlier (de Moor and Richter, 1999).

Plasmid constructs
CPEB4 (GQ338835) cDNA was cloned by RT–PCR from total RNA of stage VI oocytes using primers 5'-CGGGATCCATGGGGATCGGCCGTTTGGAG-3' and 5'-TCCCGGCTGTCTGCAACGGGAATGAATA TG-3', digested with Sma and BamHI and cloned in pGE-X or pET30a expression vectors. CPEB4 3' UTR was amplified by RT–PCR from total RNA of stage VI oocytes using primers 5'-GAAGATCTCGAGGCATTTACATGACTTAC-3' and 5'-CTGCTTAATGCTTTTCCATTGGCAACTGCTGACTTTTCAAATAAG-3'. Hex mutants of CPEB4 were obtained by PCR from the original plasmid with T3 standard primer as sense oligonucleotide and the following anti-sense oligonucleotides: H2as: 5'-TGCTTAATGCTTTTACATGACTTAC-3', H3as: 5'-TGCTTAATGCTTTTCCATTGGCAACTGCTGACTTTTCAAATAAG-3'; H2as: 5'-TGCTTAATGCTTTTACATGACTTAC-3', H3as: 5'-TGCTTAATGCTTTTCCATTGGCAACTGCTGACTTTTCAAATAAG-3'.

CPEB mutants were obtained with QuikChange Multi Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit (Stratagene) following the manufacturer's instructions. The oligonucleotides used were:

- C12: 5'-TCTTTTCATTTCATTTTTTTCAGTTGGATTTTCTACTCTG-3';
- C3: 5'-CGGAAATGTTCCGATTACGAGGCGAAGGAA-3';
- C5: 5'-GGTTTGGAGATCCCGATTTTTTTCAGTTGGATTTTCTACTCTG-3';
- C4: 5'-GTCTTTTCATTTCATTTTTTTCAGTTGGATTTTCTACTCTG-3';
- C5: 5'-GTCTTTTCATTTCATTTTTTTCAGTTGGATTTTCTACTCTG-3';

Translational control and cytoplasmic polyadenylation by 3' UTR
Translation and polyadenylation of reporter mRNAs were assayed as described earlier (Pique et al., 2006). Briefly, oocytes were injected with 0.0125 fmols of reporter mRNA (Firefly luciferase containing the indicated 3' UTR or control 3' UTR) together with 0.0125 fmols Renilla luciferase RNA as a normalizing RNA. Luciferase activity was measured using the Dual-Luciferase Reporter Assays System (Promega), according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

Western blot analysis
Oocyte lysates, prepared by homogenizing 6–10 oocytes in histone H1 kinase buffer containing 0.5% NP-40 and centrifuged at 12 000 g for 10 min, were resolved by 8% SDS–PAGE. Equivalents of 1–2 oocytes were loaded onto each lane. Antibodies used were rabbit anti-serum affinity purified against CPEB4, rabbit anti-serum against CPEB1, monoclonal antibody against α-tubulin (DM1A, Sigma).

RNA-ligation-coupled RT–PCR
Total oocyte RNA was isolated from 8–10 oocytes by Ultraspec RNA Isolation System (Biotex Laboratories, Inc.), following the manufacturer’s instructions. Then, RNA-ligation-coupled RT–PCR technique was performed as described earlier (Charlesworth et al., 2002) with some modifications. Briefly, 5 μg of oocyte total RNA was ligated to 0.5 μg of a 3'-amino-modified DNA anchor primer (5'-P-GCAGCTTGCAGATCGAGGTCGCTTCCAGATCAGAGGTGACCTTTTTT-3') in a 10 μl reaction using T4 RNA ligase (New England Biolabs), according to the manufacturer’s directions. RNA-ligation reaction was used in a 50 μl reverse transcription reaction using RevertAid M-MuLV Reverse Transcriptase (Fermentas) and 0.5 μg of an oligo anti-sense to the anchor primer plus four thymidine residues on its 3'-end (5'-GTGCCGTTCAGATCGAGGTCGCTTCTTCTCTTTCAATAAAG-3'). The resulting reaction product was digested with 2 μg RNAse A (Fermentas) and 2 μl of this CDNA preparation were used as a template for gene-specific PCR reaction. The specific oligos were: 5'-GCAGCTTACATTGTATTTGTATTCTTTC-3' for CPEB4, 5'-GCTCAAGGACATTATGCTCATTACC-3' for cyclin B1, 5'-GTACCGCACTAGTACAGCCAGGGAATGGAGGTACCTTTT-3' for cyclin E and 5'-GTATATATTCATTAGTATCAGCTTCTTCAATGCTTCCACATTAAAG-3'. The PCR products from the PCR reaction were analysed in a 2% agarose gel and visualized by ethidium bromide staining.

Cytoplasmic polyadenylation by 3' UTR
Total RNA was isolated from 6 to 8 oocytes injected with radio-labelled 3' UTR by Ultraspec Isolation System (Biotex Laboratories, Inc.). RNAs were analysed by 6% polyacrilamide/8 M urea gel electrophoresis followed by autoradiography, as described earlier in Pique et al. (2006).

Histone H1 kinase assay (Cdc2 assay)
Oocyte lysates prepared by homogenizing three oocytes in histone H1 kinase buffer (80 mM Na-b-glycerophosphate, 20 mM EGTA, 15 mM MgCl2, 50 mM NaVO4) and centrifuged at 12 000 g for 10 min at 4°C were incubated with histone H1 (Sigma) and [γ-32P]ATP (3000 Ci/mmol) as described earlier (Mendez et al., 2000a). The phosphorylation reaction was analysed by 12% SDS–PAGE gel and autoradiography.

Chromosomes and polar body observation
Oocytes fixed for at least 1 h in 100% methanol were incubated overnight in the presence of 20 μg/l Hoechst dye. Chromosomes and polar body of stained oocytes were viewed from animal pole under UV epifluorescence microscope (Leica DMR microscope,
Eliscovich C, Peset I, Vernos I, Mendez R (2008) Spindle-localized Immunoprecipitations followed by RT were performed as described. For rescue experiment, 0.06 pmol of in vitro transcribed RNA coding for the ORF of CPEB4, 0.02 pmol of the non-degradable CPEB1 mutant (CPEB1-CA) and 0.02 pmol of the non-degradable constitutively active CPEB1 mutant (CPEB1-CAD) were injected 1–2 h before progesterone incubation. Oligonucleotides used were: 19AS: 5′-GAGGAAATATGCTGGTGAAAG-3′; 20AS: 5′-GCAATGGTTGCTGATGTCC-3′; 23S: 5′-CCTTTGAAAGCATCACCATAAG-3′.

Analysis of DNA synthesis Oocytes were injected with 0.4 μCi [3-32P]dCTP and treated subsequently with progesterone to induce maturation. Mature oocytes were subjected to DNA extraction, and samples with equal number of total counts (0.5 × 10^6 c.p.m.) were analysed by 1% agarose gel electrophoresis and autoradiography, as described earlier (Newport and Kirschner, 1984).

Immunoprecipitation CPEB4 antibody raised in rabbits against the CPEB4 71–85 peptide (DEILGSEKSQSOQQQ), and CPEB1 antibody were incubated with protein-A sepharose during 2 h at room temperature on wheel. Immunoprecipitates were washed three times in lysis buffer (20 mM Tris–HCl pH 8.0, 1 mM EDTA, 0.5% NP-40, 1 mM MgCl2, 100 mM dimethyl pimelimidate 2HCl (DMP) was added and incubated for 30 min at room temperature on wheel. Reaction was stopped with two 5 min washes at room temperature with 0.05 M glycine, and two extra washes with PBS. Fresh oocyte lysates from stage VI and MII (25 oocytes per condition) were added to the cross-linked antibodies and incubated for 2 h at 4 °C on wheel. Immunoprecipitates were washed three times in lysis buffer (20 mM Tris–HCl pH 8.0, 1 mM EDTA, 0.5% NP-40, 1 mM MgCl2, 100 mM NaCl) and eluted with sample buffer (200 mM Tris–HCl pH 6.8, 40% glycerol, 8% SDS, 20 mM DTT), separated by SDS–PAGE and analysed by western blotting.

**IP-qRT–PCR** Immunoprecipitations followed by RT were performed as described (Aoki et al, 2003) with fresh stage VI and MII oocyte lysates (25 oocytes per condition). CPEB4 antibody raised in rabbits against the CPEB4 71–85 peptide (DEILGSEKSQSOQQQ), and CPEB1 antibody. The protein-bound RNAs were purified by proteinase K digestion followed by phenol–chloroform extraction. The RNA extracted was used for the retrotranscription, performed with the 3′ RACE primer (TAATAAGCTCATAATGCGGATCCTTTTTTTTTT TTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTT), with the MLV reverse transcriptase from Fermentas following the manufacturer’s instructions. Quantification of RNA immunoprecipitation was performed by real-time PCR using Roche Lightcycler (Roche). The fold enrichment of target sequences in the immunoprecipitated (IP) compared with input fractions was calculated using the comparative Ct (the number of cycles required to reach a threshold concentration) method with the equation 2^−ΔΔCt. Then, these values were normalized considering 1 for prophase I the value obtained for cyclin B1 immunoprecipitated with CPEB1, and considering 1 for MII the value obtained for Eml2 immunoprecipitated with CPEB4. Primers sequences are available on request.

**Supplementary data** Supplementary data are available at The EMBO Journal Online (http://www.embojournal.org).
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