Dissection of the unusual structural and functional properties of the variant H2A.Bbd nucleosome
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The histone variant H2A.Bbd appeared to be associated with active chromatin, but how it functions is unknown. We have dissected the properties of nucleosome containing H2A.Bbd. Atomic force microscopy (AFM) and electron cryo-microscopy (cryo-EM) showed that the H2A.Bbd histone octamer organizes only ~130 bp of DNA, suggesting that 10 bp of each end of nucleosomal DNA are released from the octamer. In agreement with this, the entry/exit angle of the nucleosomal DNA ends formed an angle close to 180° and the physico-chemical analysis pointed to a lower stability of the variant particle. Reconstitution of nucleosomes with swapped-tail mutants demonstrated that the N-terminus of H2A.Bbd has no impact on the nucleosome properties. AFM, cryo-EM and chromatin remodeling experiments showed that the overall structure and stability of the particle, but not its property to interfere with the SWI/SNF induced remodeling, were determined to a considerable extent by the H2A.Bbd docking domain. These data show that the whole H2A.Bbd histone fold domain is responsible for the unusual properties of the H2A.Bbd nucleosome.
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Introduction
DNA is packaged into chromatin in the cell nucleus. The chromatin exhibits repeating structure and the repeating unit, the nucleosome, consists of an octamer of the core histones (two each of H2A, H2B, H3 and H4) around which two superhelical turns of DNA are wrapped (van Holde, 1988). The nucleosome presents an obstacle for the protein factors to bind to their cognate DNA sequences and interferes with several vital cellular processes (Beato and Eisfeld, 1997). Histone modifications, ATP-remodeling machines and the incorporation of histone variants within chromatin are used by the cell to overcome the nucleosomal obstacle (Strahl and Allis, 2000; Becker, 2002; Henikoff et al, 2004; Henikoff and Ahmad, 2005).

Histone variants are nonallelic isoforms of the conventional histones (van Holde, 1988; Tsanev et al, 1993). The function of the different histone variants is poorly understood, but the emerging general picture suggests that the incorporation of histone variants within the nucleosome results in a particle with novel structural and functional properties (Suto et al, 2000; Abbott et al, 2001; Angelov et al, 2003; Bao et al, 2004; Gautier et al, 2004). The presence of histone variants in the nucleosome has serious impact on several processes, including transcription, repair, cell division and meiosis, and may result in important epigenetic consequences (Ahmad and Henikoff, 2002; Ausio and Abbott, 2002; Kamakaka and Biggins, 2005; Sarma and Reinberg, 2005).

The histone H2A has the largest family of identified variants (Redon et al, 2002; Sarma and Reinberg, 2005). This could reflect both the more labile interaction of the H2A–H2B dimer with the remaining histones and DNA (van Holde, 1988) and its strategic position within the core particle (Luger et al, 1997). The variants of the H2A family include H2A.X, H2A.Z, macroH2A and H2A.Bbd. H2A.Z and H2A.X are the best studied H2A histone variants to date. H2A.Z is highly conserved, suggesting an important function of this protein (Redon et al, 2002). The mammalian H2A.Z is encoded by an essential gene, as its knockout results in embryonic lethality (Faast et al, 2001). H2A.Z is involved in both gene activation (Santisteban et al, 2000) and gene silencing (Dhillon and Kamakaka, 2000). H2A.Z is also important for chromosome segregation (Rangasamy et al, 2004).

H2AX is intimately related to repair. Double strands breaks (DSBs) induce the phosphorylation of H2AX at its C-terminus and this is mediated by members of the PIKK protein family (Rogakou et al, 1998). Experiments with H2AX−/− deficient mice showed that H2AX assists the prevention of aberrant repair of DSBs and functions as suppressor of genomic instability and tumors (Bassing et al, 2003; Celeste et al, 2003).

MacroH2A (mH2A) is an unusual histone variant with a size three-fold of the conventional H2A (Pehrson and Fried, 2006).
H2A.Bbd (Barr body deficient) is the least studied histone variant and microscopy data showed that it is largely excluded from the inactive X chromosome (Chadwick and Willard, 2001; Chadwick et al., 2001). This histone variant is quite divergent and its primary sequence showed only 48% identity to its conventional H2A counterpart (Chadwick and Willard, 2001). The N-terminus of H2A.Bbd exhibits a row of six arginines, which could be important for its function. In addition, H2A.Bbd is relatively shorter and lacks both the typical C-terminus of the H2A family and the very last sequence of the docking domain (Chadwick and Willard, 2001; Bao et al., 2004). Microccocal nuclease digestion suggested that the H2A.Bbd octamer organized only 118 bp of DNA (Bao et al., 2004). FRAP, FRET and sedimentation measurements point to a less stable structure of the variant H2A.Bbd nucleosome (Angelov et al., 2004; Bao et al., 2004; Gautier et al., 2004). The current view is that H2A.Bbd is enriched in nucleosomes associated with transcriptionally active regions of the genome (Chadwick and Willard, 2001) and in vitro experiments demonstrated that acetylation of histones contained in H2A.Bbd nucleosomal arrays is facilitated and that these chromatin templates are better transcribed (Angelov et al., 2004). The role of the different domains of H2A.Bbd in these processes is not known.

In this work, we report a detailed analysis of the properties of the H2A.Bbd nucleosomes in solution. We have dissected the role of the different domains of H2A.Bbd in the structure and function of H2A.Bbd nucleosomes using a number of physical methods including atomic force microscopy (AFM), electron cryo-microscopy (cryo-EM) and optical tweezers combined with molecular biology approaches. We showed that the H2A.Bbd octamer organizes 130 bp of DNA and that its structural and functional properties are determined by the whole histone fold domain of H2A.Bbd.

Results

130 base pairs of DNA are wrapped around the histone variant H2A.Bbd octamer

First we analyzed the structure of the H2A.Bbd particle in solution using microccocal nuclease. Microccocal nuclease digestion measures the accessibility of nucleosomal DNA that is not protected by the histone octamer. To better characterize this accessibility, we performed kinetic studies of the microccocal nuclease digestion of conventional H2A and H2A.Bbd particles reconstituted on the 601 positioning sequence (Figure 1A). The digestion pattern of the conventional particle showed discrete kinetics intermediates at about 208, 160, 146 and 128 bp, whereas the kinetics intermediates of the H2A.Bbd particle were observed mainly at about 146, 128, 118 and 110 bp. The band at 160 bp, generated upon digestion of the conventional nucleosome, was attributed to the interaction of the N-terminal histone tails with nucleosomal DNA (van Holde, 1980), the 146 bp band represents the core particle, whereas the 128 bp band reflects a subnucleosomal digestion (van Holde, 1980). The origin of the 208 bp band is unknown, but it might be associated with the presence of histones on DNA, as upon digestion of naked 601 DNA, no such band was observed (data not shown). The presence of a faint 160 bp band in the digestion pattern of the H2A.Bbd nucleosome suggests that the interactions of the histone N-termini with DNA were perturbed. The relatively fast disappearance of the 146 bp band in the kinetics of microccocal nuclease digestion suggests a weaker interaction of the 146 bp DNA with the variant histone octamer. Remarkably, the band at 128 bp was less accessible to microccocal nuclease cleavage and was prominent even at 10 min digestion (the latest time point of digestion). This evidences for a strong interaction of the 128 bp DNA with the histone octamer in the H2A.Bbd particle. Finally, the appearance of bands with molecular mass of 118 bp and below in the digestion profile of the variant H2A.Bbd particle only (Figure 1A, see the scans) indicates that the protection against microccocal nuclease digestion is weaker in the variant particle, suggesting a more relaxed structure.

Next, we used microscopy techniques to measure the length of DNA, which is wrapped around the histone octamer. Briefly, using purified recombinant histones we reconstituted both conventional and variant H2A.Bbd centrally positioned nucleosomes on a 255 bp DNA fragment containing the 601 positioning sequence. Initially, AFM was used to visualize the reconstituted particles (Figure 1B–D). The large free DNA sequences present at each end of the nucleosomes allowed the precise measurement of the length of DNA, which is nonwrapped around the histone octamer, and therefore the determination of the length of the DNA organized by the histone octamer. The measurements were carried out on a large number of particles (458 conventional and 290 H2A.Bbd particles), which made the experiment statistically relevant. The mean of distribution of the length of DNA, organized by conventional octamer (Figure 1D) peaked at 146 ± 1.3 bp, in perfect agreement with the crystal structure of the nucleosome (Luger et al., 1997). In contrast, the mean length of DNA organized by the octamer containing H2A.Bbd (Figure 1D) peaked at 127 ± 2.2 bp.

The AFM experiment could be affected by the deposition of the material on the functionalized mica surface and by the fact that the measurements were carried out in air. To overcome these potential problems these experiments were repeated, but using cryo-EM. Indeed, cryo-EM measurements carried out in vitrified solution provide high-resolution images of the conformation of the studied samples and were successfully used to study both the nucleosome and the 30 nm chromatin fiber structures (Bednar et al., 1995; Bednar and Woodcock, 1999). The electron micrographs clearly showed that the conventional and the variant H2A.Bbd particles exhibit different conformations (Figure 2A and B). The majority of the entry and exit DNA ends of the conventional nucleosomes formed a V-type structure with the nucleosome particle located at the middle of the structure (Figure 2A). In contrast, only a small number of the H2A.Bbd
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particles exhibited such structure, whereas the majority of the DNA nucleosomal ends formed an angle close to 180° (Figure 2B). This suggests that the H2A.Bbd octamer interacts weakly with the entry/exit nucleosomal DNA and it is unable to generate a stable V-type orientation to the free DNA ends. The length of the DNA wrapped around the conventional histone octamer was 148 ± 1.9 and 132 ± 2.6 bp for the variant H2A.Bbd octamer (Figure 2C), which is in complete agreement with the AFM measurements. Thus, using two different microscopy techniques we found that H2A.Bbd octamer organizes only 130 bp of DNA. Taken together these results and the micrococcal nuclease digestion data, point to a distinct structure of the H2A.Bbd nucleosome with altered interactions of the variant octamer with the nucleosomal DNA.

**Force–extension measurements of a single H2A.Bbd nucleosomal array**

These as well as previous experiments (Bao et al., 2004; Gautier et al., 2004) suggest that the H2A.Bbd nucleosome exhibits lower stability compared to the conventional nucleosomes, but no direct measurements of the forces maintaining the structure of the H2A.Bbd particle were reported. We addressed this problem using optical tweezers and measured the force necessary for the unfolding of a single H2A.Bbd nucleosome. A fragment of DNA, containing 12 208 bp repeats comprising the 55 rRNA sea urchin gene nucleosome positioning sequence, was used to reconstitute H2A.Bbd nucleosome arrays. Upon digestion of the reconstituted arrays a clear ~200 bp repeat was observed indicating a proper organization of the reconstituted samples (data not shown). A single array was then attached between two polystyrene beads and subjected to traction using optical tweezers (for details see Materials and methods and Claudet et al., 2005). Each small peak in the saw tooth profile of the force–extension curve (Figure 3A) reflects the unfolding of a single H2A.Bbd particle, whereas larger peaks reflect simultaneous unfolding of two nucleosomes (Claudet et al., 2005). The same experiment was carried out, but using conventional H2A arrays (results not shown and Claudet et al., 2005). The measurements showed that the force necessary for the disruption of a single H2A.Bbd nucleosome was 16.1 ± 4.8 pN, which was very close to the force required for the disruption of a single conventional H2A particle (17.8 ± 5.3 pN) (Figure 3B). The two sets of values of threshold disruption forces were compared using standard t-test for two independent populations. The resulting values of P and t factors were 0.00243 and −3.04722, respectively, indicating that both means cannot be viewed as different.

We have also studied the stability of the H2A.Bbd nucleosome variant at very low particle concentrations. Under these conditions, a selective release of the H2A–H2B dimer occurred, which reflects the disruption of the H2A–H2B dimer interactions with both the (H3–H4)2 tetramer and DNA (Claudet et al., 2005). If the H2A.Bbd–H2B variant dimer exhibited lower stability relative to the conventional one, a release of H2A.Bbd–H2B dimer at higher nucleosome concentrations may be expected. To address this problem we reconstituted arrays containing the 601 positioning sequence and either conventional (nuc H2A) or variant H2A.Bbd nucleosomes (nuc Bbd) were digested (in presence of 100 μg/ml plasmid DNA) with 8 U/ml of micrococcal nuclease for the indicated times. The reaction was stopped by addition of 20 mM EDTA and 0.1 mg/ml protease K, 0.1% SDS. DNA was isolated and run on a 10% polyacrylamide gel. The lower part shows the scans of the gel. 50 bp M, marker DNA fragments (the molecular mass of the fragments is indicated at the left part of the figure). (B, C) AFM imaging shows that the H2A.Bbd variant histone octamer organizes 127 bp of DNA. A 255 bp fragment containing the 601 positioning sequence and either conventional or H2A.Bbd variant octamers were used to reconstitute centrally positioned nucleosomes. Both types of nucleosomes were visualized by AFM. AFM images of conventional nucleosomes (nuc H2A) are presented in (B), and variant H2A.Bbd nucleosomes (nuc Bbd) are shown in (C). An enlarged view of a conventional or variant H2A.Bbd nucleosome particle is shown below the B and C panels. (D) Quantification of the data of (B) and (C). The measured mean length of the DNA in complex with the H2A.Bbd variant histone octamer was only 127 ± 2.2 bp compared to 146 ± 1.3 bp for conventional H2A nucleosomes. A color version of this figure is available at ‘The EMBO Journal online’.
concentration would be expected. Figure 3C showed that this is indeed the case. At the lowest particle concentration studied (3.8 nM), the vast majority of the H2A.Bbd–H2B dimers was released from the variant H2A.Bbd particle (Figure 3C, the panel and the scan nuc Bbd-H3*) in contrast to conventional particle (Figure 3C, the panel and the nuc H2A-H3* scan). This showed that the interactions between the H2A.Bbd–H2B dimer with both (H3-H4)2 tetramer and DNA within the H2A.Bbd particle were altered, which conferred lower stability to the H2A.Bbd variant nucleosomal particle.

SWI/SNF is unable to remodel nucleosome particles reconstituted with swapped tail H2A–H2A.Bbd mutants containing the H2A.Bbd histone fold domain

The H2A.Bbd particle exhibits distinct structural and functional properties, including an inability to be remodelled by SWI/SNF (Angelov et al., 2004). The mechanism and the role of different H2A.Bbd domains in this interference of the remodeling are not known. We approached this problem by studying the properties of nucleosomes containing H2A.Bbd–H2A swapped domain mutants. Initially, we have focused on the N-terminal and C-terminal swapped-tail mutants (schematically depicted in Figure 4B). The different mutant proteins were purified (Figure 4C) and efficiently incorporated into nucleosomes (Figure 4D and E). The DNase I footprinting analysis showed that nucleosomes that contain the histone fold domain of H2A.Bbd, exhibited the same alterations in the DNase I digestion pattern as the H2A.Bbd nucleosome (Figure 4F, compare lanes 1, 2 and 4 with lanes 3 and 5), suggesting that this domain alone determines the perturbations observed in the structure of the H2A.Bbd nucleosome. To test whether SWI/SNF was able to remodel the swapped-tail mutants, which contain the histone-fold domain of H2A.Bbd, we used DNase I footprinting (Figure 5). The DNase I footprinting shows that SWI/SNF was able to remodel chimeric nucleosomes containing the histone fold domain of conventional H2A (lanes 1–4 and 13–16), but not the chimeric nucleosomes containing the histone fold domain of H2A.Bbd (lanes 5–12 and 17–20). Therefore, the presence of the histone fold domain of H2A.Bbd in a nucleosomal particle was sufficient to generate structural properties that prevent the remodeling by SWI/SNF.

Figure 2 Conventional and H2A.Bbd histone variant nucleosomes exhibit different organization of the entry and exit nucleosomal DNA ends. Centrally positioned conventional and H2A.Bbd variant nucleosomes were reconstituted on a 255 bp DNA fragment containing the 601 positioning sequence and visualized by cryo-EM. (A) Representative cryo-electron micrographs of conventional nucleosomes. The majority of the entry and exit DNA ends (black arrows) form a V-shaped structure with the nucleosome (designated with white arrow) localized in the center. (B) Same as (A) but for variant H2A.Bbd nucleosomes. The majority of the DNA ends (black arrows) form an angle close to 180°. (C) Quantification of the data of (A) and (B). The length of DNA (132 ± 2.6 bp) complexed with the H2A.Bbd variant octamer was essentially the same (within the margin of the experimental error) as that measured by AFM.
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Involvement of the H2A.Bbd docking domain in the wrapping of nucleosomal DNA

The major difference between the conventional H2A and the histone variant H2A.Bbd is observed in the C-terminal domain of the two proteins. H2A.Bbd does not have the characteristic C-terminus of the H2A family and lacks the very end of the docking domain involved in the interaction with H3 in the nucleosome (Figure 4A and Bao et al., 2004). The fusion of C-terminal tail of H2A to H2A.Bbd was not sufficient to generate a nucleosomal particle, which can be remodeled by SWI/SNF (Figure 5, lanes 9–12), indicating that the absence of a C-terminal tail in H2A.Bbd is probably not responsible for the particular properties of this variant towards SWI/SNF remodeling. To test whether the divergent docking domain of H2A.Bbd could be involved in the generation of the specific properties of the H2A.Bbd nucleosome, we have produced and purified a H2A.Bbd mutant to homogeneity, in which the docking domain of H2A.Bbd was not
replaced by both the docking domain and the C-terminal tail of conventional H2A (Bbd-ddH2A). This recombinant protein was used to reconstitute chimeric nucleosomes (Figure 6A). Subsequently, the structure of the Bbd-ddH2A nucleosomes was analyzed by DNase I footprinting (Figure 6B). Interestingly, the DNase I digestion pattern of the Bbd-ddH2A nucleosomes was almost the same as that of H2A.Bbd nucleosomes (with the characteristic alterations in DNAse I sensitivity) compared to conventional nucleosomes. This indicates that the presence of the docking domain of H2A was not sufficient to restore the DNAse I digestion pattern of conventional nucleosomes.

We next measured the length of DNA wrapped around the Bbd-ddH2A histone octamer by AFM. Interestingly, the length of the DNA organized by the Bbd-ddH2A octamer was essentially the same (143 ± 2.2 bp) as for the conventional octamer (146 ± 1.3 bp) (Figure 6C). These measurements were statistically relevant, as a very significant number of nucleosomes (465 conventional and 208 Bbd-ddH2A) were used in these experiments. Therefore, the presence of the docking domain and the C-terminal tail of H2A in the Bbd-ddH2A nucleosome led to the wrapping of an additional 15 bp of DNA around the histone octamer.

We have also studied the conformation of the Bbd-ddH2A nucleosome in vitrified solution by cryo-EM (Figure 6D). The electron cryo-micrographs showed that the proportion of the Bbd-ddH2A nucleosomes exhibiting V-type structure (typical for the conventional H2A nucleosome) is definitely higher.
**Discussion**

In this paper, we have carried out a detailed analysis on the structural and functional properties of the H2A.Bbd nucleosome and dissected the role of its different domains using a combination of physical, biochemical and molecular biology approaches. AFM and cryo-EM were used to directly measure the length of the DNA wrapped around the variant histone octamer. Remarkably, both methods showed that 130 bp of DNA were wrapped around the H2A.Bbd histone octamer. This result is in complete agreement with our microccocal nuclease digestion data, which showed a strong kinetics intermediate at 128 bp in the digestion profile of the variant H2A.Bbd particle (Figure 1A).

The EMSA experiments of nucleosomes at very low concentrations showed directly that the H2A.Bbd nucleosome was less stable compared to the conventional nucleosome and that the interaction of the H2A.Bbd–H2B dimer with the (H3–H4)_2 tetramer and nucleosomal DNA was weaker than that of the conventional H2A–H2B dimer, which is in agreement with the data on the measurements of the sedimentation coefficient of the H2A.Bbd particle (Gautier et al., 2004). Recent FRET experiments suggested that the nucleosomal ends of the H2A.Bbd particle were weakly bound to the histone octamer (Bao et al., 2004). Our data confirmed these results and in addition showed that the DNA ends are released from the surface of the H2A.Bbd octamer and no longer formed, as in the case of conventional nucleosome, a V-type structure. We hypothesized that ~ 10 bp of each end of nucleosomal DNA are released from their interactions with the histone octamer in the H2A.Bbd nucleosome. The optical tweezers elasticity measurements of the force, necessary for the unfolding of single H2A.Bbd nucleosome gave, however, very close value to the force required for the unfolding of a conventional H2A nucleosome (16.1 ± 4.8 versus 17.8 ± 5.3 pN). This could be explained by the thermodynamical considerations of Kulic and Schiessel (2004), which showed that in the stretching experiments the major part of the energy necessary for the nucleosome unfolding appeared to be absorbed by the flipping of the nucleosome around its dyad and only a small part is consumed by the unwrapping of the DNA from the histone octamer.

---
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SWI/SNF was not able to remodel the N- and C-terminal swapped tail H2A and H2A.Bbd mutants, indicating that the histone fold domain of H2A.Bbd, but not its peculiar N-terminus or the absence of C-terminal tail, determines this property of the H2A.Bbd particle (Figure 5). This could reflect the alterations in the structure of nucleosomes containing the histone fold domain with the histones in conventional and Bbd-ddH2A nucleosomes. Conventional and Bbd-ddH2A nucleosomes were reconstituted on a 255 bp 601 DNA fragment and the particles were visualized by AFM. Note that both conventional and Bbd-ddH2A octamers organize the same length of DNA within the nucleosome. Electron cryo-microscopic visualization of Bbd-ddH2A particles. A higher proportion of the particles exhibit, like the conventional H2A reconstituted nucleosome (see Figure 2A), a V-shaped structure; the black arrows designated the DNA ends, whereas the nucleosome is designated by white arrow.

The in vitro transcription assays have shown that arrays containing H2A.Bbd nucleosomes were more easily tran-
scribed and acetylated than conventional H2A arrays (Angelov et al, 2004). In addition, H2A.Bbd was found to colocalize with acetylated H4 within the nucleus (Chadwick and Willard, 2001). These data suggest that, in vivo, the presence of H2A.Bbd could be viewed as a marker of active chromatin. The structural properties of H2A.Bbd nucleosomes described in this study as well as the previously reported data (Angelov et al, 2004; Gautier et al, 2004) point to a possible mechanism for the role of H2A.Bbd nucleosomes in the assembly and maintenance of transcriptionally active chromatin. The exchange of conventional H2A with H2A.Bbd would create a more relaxed structure, which, in turn, would facilitate interaction of transcription factors, chromatin modifying enzymes and polymerases with H2A.Bbd chromatin allowing a more efficient transcription initiation as previously suggested (Angelov et al, 2004). Because the H2A.Bbd nucleosomes cannot be mobilized by the SWI-SNF and ACF machineries alone (Angelov et al, 2004) or in presence of histone chaperones (Angelov et al, 2006), the cell would not be able to use these chromatin remodelers to slide the histone octamers and to create nucleosome-free promoter regions. Instead, eviction of the histone octamers

**Figure 7** The fusion of the docking domain of H2A to H2A.Bbd partially rescues the ability of SWI/SNF to remodel the nucleosomes. (A) Centrally positioned nucleosomes were reconstituted on a 255 bp DNA fragment containing the 601 sequence. SWI/SNF (1 unit) was added to the nucleosome solutions and they were incubated at 29°C for 60 min in the presence/absence of ATP, then the samples were visualized by AFM and the percentage of mobilized nucleosomes (% sliding in the figure) was calculated (see the text). The number of analyzed nucleosomes in absence and presence of ATP were 140 and 132 for nucH2A, 221 and 236 for nuc Bbd, 119 and 115 for nuc Bbd-ddH2A. (B) Nucleosome remodeling assessed by DNase I footprinting of conventional (lanes 1–6) and Bbd-ddH2A (lanes 7–12) nucleosomes. A 154 bp 32P-end labeled DNA fragment comprising the 5S _X. borealis_ gene was used for reconstitution. The indicated increasing amounts of SWI/SNF were added to the nucleosome solutions and they were incubated at 30°C for 45 min in the presence of 1 mM ATP. Lanes 1 and 7, DNase I footprinting in the absence of SWI/SNF. Stars indicate DNA fragments that appear after SWI/SNF remodeling. (C) Stability of Bbd-ddH2A nucleosomes as a function of nucleosome concentration. The experiments were carried out as in Figure 3 (see legend of Figure 3). The positions of the nucleosomes and the tetrameric (H3–H4)_2 particles are indicated on the left part of the gel.
should be used, a process which would be facilitated by the weaker stability of the H2A.Bbd nucleosomes.

Materials and methods

Preparation of DNA fragments

The 255 and 241 bp DNA fragments, containing the 601 nucleosome positioning sequence at the middle or at the end of the fragment, were obtained by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplification from plasmid pGem-3Z-601 and p199-1, respectively (kindly provided by B Bartholomew and J Widom). Labeling was performed by adding 30 μCi of [32P]-CTP to the PCR reaction. The 207 bp 55 DNA fragment was obtained by PCR amplification from plasmid pXP-10. PCR product was digested with EcoRI and RsaI and the resulting 154 bp fragment was labeled at the 3' end by filling with dATP and [-32P]-dTTP. All labeled DNA fragments used for nucleosome reconstitution were gel purified.

For the stretching experiment, plasmid p208SS-GE4, (kind gift from JL Workman) was digested with Accl/SalI, SstI and ClaI. The restriction fragment containing the ~400 bp E4 promoter DNA, flanked by two DNA sequences, each composed of five 208 bp tandem repeats of the 5S rRNA sea urchin gene (Neely et al., 1999), was purified by 4% native polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and end-labeled at the Clal site by biotin-dCTP. A fraction of biotin-dCTP-labeled fragments was labeled at the Accl site by digoxigenin-dUTP. These DNA fragments, single or double labeled at both ends, were mixed at a ratio of 1:10 and used for reconstitution of conventional and H2A.Bbd nucleosomal arrays (Claudet et al., 2005). The attachment of the reconstituted arrays to the polystyrene beads was performed as described earlier (Claudet et al., 2005).

Protein purification, nucleosome reconstitution, remodeling and stability

Recombinant Xenopus laevis full-length histone proteins were produced in bacteria and purified as described (Luger et al., 1997). For the H2A.Bbd protein and its mutants, the coding sequences for the H2A and for H2A.Bbd were amplified by PCR and introduced in the pET3a vector. NtH2A-H2A (H2A.Bbd domain from M1 to S21) in frame to H2A domain from R18 to K130; Bbd-C2H2 (H2A.Bbd domain from M1 to D115 fused to H2A domain from T121 to K130); NH2A-Bbd (H2A domain from M1 to T17 fused to H2A.Bbd domain from R22 to D115); Bbd-dH2A (H2ABbd domain from M1 to I83 fused to H2A domain from P81 to K130); H2A-ddH2A (H2A domain from M1 to 80 fused to H2A.Bbd domain from T84 to D115). Recombinant proteins were purified as previously described (Angelov et al., 2004).

Yeast SWI/SNF complex was purified as described previously (Cote et al., 1994) and its activity was normalized by measuring its effect on the sliding of conventional nucleosomes: 1 unit being defined as the amount of SWI/SNF required to mobilize 50% of input nucleosomes (50 ng) at 30 °C during 45 min. Nucleosome reconstitution was performed by the salt dialysis procedure (Mutskov et al., 1998). Carrier DNA (150-200 bp, 2 μg) and 50 ng of [32P]-labeled DNA were mixed with equimolar amount of histone octamer in nucleosome reconstitution buffer NRB (2 M NaCl, 10 mM Tris pH 7.4, 1 mM EDTA, 5% glycerol, 100 μg/ml BSA, 1 mM Na2CO3 and 100 μg/ml of plasmid carrier DNA. The digestion was stopped by adding 20 mM EDTA, 0.1% SDS, 200 μg/ml Proteinase K (30 min at 45 °C). DNA was then extracted and run on a 10% native acrylamide-bisacrylamide (1/29 w/w) gel. Micrococcal nuclease digestion was performed at 8 U/ml at room temperature for indicated times in 10 mM Tris, pH 7.4, 1 mM DTT, 25 mM NaCl, 5% glycerol, 100 μg/ml BSA, 1.5 mM CaCl2 and 100 μg/ml of plasmid carrier DNA. The digestion was stopped by adding 20 mM EDTA, 0.1% SDS, 200 μg/ml Proteinase K (30 min at 45 °C). DNA was then extracted and run on a 10% native acrylamide-bisacrylamide (1/29 w/w) gel.
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