Growth factors can activate ATF2 via a two-step mechanism: phosphorylation of Thr71 through the Ras–MEK–ERK pathway and of Thr69 through RalGDS–Src–p38
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Transcription factor ATF2 regulates gene expression in response to environmental changes. Upon exposure to cellular stresses, the mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) cascades including SAPK/JNK and p38 can enhance ATF2's transactivating function through phosphorylation of Thr69 and Thr71. However, the mechanism of ATF2 activation by growth factors that are poor activators of JNK and p38 is still elusive. Here, we show that in fibroblasts, insulin, epidermal growth factor (EGF) and serum activate ATF2 via a so far unknown two-step mechanism involving two distinct Ras effector pathways: the Raf–MEK–ERK pathway induces phosphorylation of ATF2 Thr71, whereas subsequent ATF2 Thr69 phosphorylation requires the Ral–RalGDS–Src–p38 pathway. Cooperation between ERK and p38 was found to be essential for ATF2 activation by these mitogens; the activity of p38 and JNK/SAPK in growth factor-stimulated fibroblasts is insufficient to phosphorylate ATF2 Thr71 or Thr69 + 71 significantly by themselves, while ERK cannot dual phosphorylate ATF2 Thr69 + 71 efficiently. These results reveal a so far unknown mechanism by which distinct MAPK pathways and Ras effector pathways cooperate to activate a transcription factor.
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Introduction

ATF2 is a ubiquitously expressed member of the basic region-leucine zipper (bZIP) transcription factor family that can regulate gene expression in response to changes in the cellular environment. ATF2 plays an important role in placenta formation and skeletal and central nervous system development (Reimold et al., 1996; Maekawa et al., 1999) and is involved in oncogenic transformation and in adaptive responses of the cell to viral infections and (geno)toxic stresses (Liu and Green, 1990; Reimold et al., 1996; Ronai et al., 1998; Maekawa et al., 1999; Falvo et al., 2000; van Dam and Castellazzi, 2001). ATF2 binds its target promoter/enhancers as a homodimer or as a heterodimer with a restricted group of other bZIP proteins, the most well known of which is the c-jun oncogene product.

Heterodimerization of ATF2 appears to be crucial for at least some of its functions; for instance, the oncogenic activity of ATF2 in chicken cells critically depends on its ability to dimerize with cJun (Huguet et al., 1998). ATF2 is also assumed to play a role in cJun-dependent cell cycle progression, cell survival and apoptosis, in addition to the Fos family members (Johnson et al., 1993; Ham et al., 1995; Verheij et al., 1996; Bossy-Wetzel et al., 1997; Le Niculescu et al., 1999; Schreiber et al., 1999; Wisdom et al., 1999; Kolbus et al., 2000). cJun–ATF2 and ATF2–ATF2 complexes recognize sequence motifs (8 bp) different from the 7 bp motifs bound by cJun–Fos AP-1 complexes (Benbrook and Jones, 1990; Ivashkiv et al., 1990; Hai and Curran, 1991; Chatton et al., 1994), and on minimal promoters cJun–ATF2 heterodimers are more potent transcriptional activators than ATF2–ATF2 homodimers (Benbrook and Jones, 1990; Huguet et al., 1998; van Dam et al., 1998). cJun–ATF2 target genes implicated in growth control include c-jun itself, ATF3, cyclin D1 and cyclin A (Liang et al., 1996; Shimizu et al., 1998; Beier et al., 1999, 2000; Bakiri et al., 2000).

A large number of stimuli, including cytokines, peptide growth factors, oncogenes, viruses and cellular stresses such as heat shock and DNA-damaging agents, induce cJun–ATF2 activity (Shaulian and Karin, 2001; van Dam and Castellazzi, 2001). One mechanism to establish this is by increasing the (limiting) levels of cJun, as ATF2 appears to be in excess in most cell types (van Dam and Castellazzi, 2001). Secondly, the transactivation capacities of the N-terminal domains of ATF2 and cJun can be enhanced through phosphorylation by the mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) members p38 and JNK/SAPK (Davis, 2000; Chang and Karin, 2001; Kyriakis and Avruch, 2001). In the case of ATF2, this phosphorylation occurs at Thr69 and Thr71, which appears to enhance the intrinsic histone acetyltransferase activity of ATF2 and to regulate its degradation by the ubiquitin pathway (Davis, 2000; Fuchs et al., 2000; Kawasaki et al., 2000). In addition, ATF2’s transactivating potential can be enhanced through direct or indirect binding to viral and cellular proteins, including adenovirus E1A (Liu and Green, 1990), the co-activator/acetyltransferase p300 (Kawasaki et al., 1998; Duyndam et al., 1999), the pX protein of hepatitis B virus, bZIP enhancer factor (bEF)
and Tax, which stimulate DNA binding by increasing dimer formation and stability (Perini et al., 1999; Virbasius et al., 1999).

Activation of c-jun and other cJun–ATF2 target genes can be established via Ras and/or the related Raf- and Rho-GTPases (Minden and Karin, 1997; Wolthus and Bos, 1999; Bar-Sagi and Hall, 2000). Ras-dependent phosphorylation of cJun is established via the RafGDS pathway (de Ruiter et al., 2000). Whether ATF2 is a bona fide downstream target of Ras is as yet unclear. Other signaling enzymes involved in cJun–ATF2 activation are the Src(-related) tyrosine kinases, which are downstream targets of mitogen-induced Raf activity (de Ruiter et al., 2000; Goei et al., 2000) and also seem to play a role in JNK-dependent activation of cJun by UV and methyl methanesulfonate (MMS) (Devary et al., 1992; Liu et al., 1996).

The observation that various growth factors activate cJun–ATF2-inducible genes in cells lacking functional cJun protein (M.Hamdi, D.M.Ouwens and H.van Dam, unpublished results) suggested that ATF2 is activated efficiently by mitogens. In this study, we have investigated this as yet unknown mechanism of ATF2 activation. We show that insulin, epidermal growth factor (EGF) and serum can increase the transactivation potential of ATF2 by enhancing the phosphorylation state of ATF2 Thr69 and Thr71 in a JNK-independent manner. The phosphorylation of ATF2 by growth factors was established via an as yet unknown two-step mechanism, requiring two distinct Ras effector pathways. The Raf–MEK–ERK pathway was found to induce only phosphorylation of ATF2 Thr71, whereas the Raf–RafGDS–Src–p38–pathway was found to be essential for the subsequent phosphorylation of ATF2 Thr69.

Results

**Insulin activates ATF2 through phosphorylation of Thr69 and Thr71**

Cellular stresses and certain cytokines induce ATF2-dependent transcription through JNK/SAPK- and p38-dependent phosphorylation of the N-terminal ATF2 transactivation domain. However, growth factors that activate JNK/SAPK and p38 only very weakly can still induce ATF2-dependent promoters efficiently. This observation prompted us to examine the mechanism of mitogen-induced cJun–ATF2 activation. As shown for A14 fibroblasts in Figure 1A, we found that insulin efficiently activated hybrid proteins containing the transactivation domain of ATF2 fused to the DNA-binding domain of the yeast transcription factor GAL4. Insulin activated the transactivation domain of ATF2 much more efficiently than the corresponding domain of cJun, while the alkylyating agent MMS, a potent inducer of JNK and p38, activated ATF2 and cJun to the same extent. Insulin- and EGF-induced activation of GAL4-ATF2 was found to require Thr69 and Thr71, but not Ser90, a third MAPK site present in the ATF2 transactivation domain (Figure 1B; data not shown). We subsequently analyzed the phosphorylation state of endogenous ATF2 by western blot analysis, using anti-phaospho-Thr71-ATF2, an antibody that recognizes Thr71-monophosphorylated ATF2, and anti-phaospho-Thr69 + 71-ATF2, an antibody that recognizes Thr69 + 71-dual-phosphorylated ATF2 but not mono-phosphorylated ATF2 (see Materials and methods). In A14 cells and 3T3L1 adipocytes, insulin was found to induce the phosphorylation of Thr69 and Thr71 strongly within 5 min after addition (Figure 1C; data not shown). Also, other mitogens such as EGF and serum strongly enhanced endogenous ATF2 Thr69 + 71 phosphorylation, as depicted for A14 and primary human fibroblasts in Figure 1D. The levels of growth factor-induced ATF2 Thr69 + 71 phosphorylation were more or less comparable with those induced by cellular stresses, such as MMS, UVC and osmotic shock, although the mitogens had only weak stimulatory effects on the phosphorylation and activation of JNK/SAPK and p38 family members (Figure 1D, see below).

**Differential phosphorylation of ATF2 Thr71 and Thr69 by the Raf–MEK and RafGDS–Raf effector pathways of Ras**

As insulin and EGF, but not MMS and osmotic stress, rapidly activate the Ras proteins (Burgering et al., 1991; D.M.Ouwens and H.van Dam, data not shown), we examined whether insulin- and EGF-induced ATF2 Thr69 + 71 phosphorylation is established via a Ras-dependent signaling cascade. The dominant-negative Ras mutant RasN17 efficiently inhibited insulin and EGF-induced ATF2 Thr69 + 71 phosphorylation as well as insulin- and EGF-induced activation of GAL4-ATF2, while having no inhibitory effect on ATF2 activation by osmotic stress and MMS (Figure 2A and B; data not shown). In line with this, a constitutively active mutant of Ras, RasL61, induced phosphorylation of ATF2 Thr69 + 71 to the same extent as osmotic stress (Figure 2C) and activated GAL4-ATF2 in a Thr69- and Thr71-dependent manner (Figure 2D). This indicates that an increase in Ras-GTP levels is sufficient to trigger ATF2 activation.

Since Ras can induce gene expression via three different effector pathways, Raf–MEK, phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3-K)–PKB and RafGDS–Raf (Bos, 1998), we next analyzed the involvement of these pathways in ATF2 activation. Inhibition of the PI3-K–PKB pathway by wortmannin and/or LY294002 had no effect on the induction of ATF2 Thr69 + 71 phosphorylation by mitogens (data not shown). In contrast, the dominant-negative Raf mutant RafN28 efficiently blocked insulin-induced activation of GAL4-ATF2 and the insulin-induced phospho-Thr69 + 71 signal (Figure 2A and B). However, in contrast to RasN17, RafN28 appears to inhibit insulin-induced ATF2 Thr71 mono-phosphorylation only partially (Figure 2A). Efficient inhibition of the phospho-Thr71 signal was only observed when the cells were, in addition to RafN28, also pre-treated with the MEK inhibitor U0126 (Figures 2A and 3A). Like dominant-negative Ras, the combination of RafN28 and U0126 had no effect on osmotic stress-induced ATF2 phosphorylation (Figure 3A).

In the absence of RafN28, insulin-induced ATF2 Thr71 and Thr69 + 71 phosphorylation was only partially (~55%) inhibited by U0126, despite the fact that MEK-dependent ERK phosphorylation was completely abrogated (Figure 3B). As this residual ATF2 phosphorylation seems to be due to the slight potentiating effect of U0126 on insulin-induced JNK and p38 activity (data not shown), we used the phorbol ester 12-O-tetradecanoylphorbol-13-acetate (TPA) to examine further the role of the Raf–MEK pathway in
ATF2 activation. TPA is a potent inducer of Raf and MEK in A14 cells, but does not activate Raf/GDS (Wolhuis et al., 1998a) and JNK/p38 (data not shown). Importantly, in contrast to insulin or EGF treatment, TPA treatment did not induce detectable ATF2 Thr69 + 71 phosphorylation, but did induce a strong phospho-Thr71 signal, which was completely prevented upon pre-treatment with U0126 (Figure 3B). These results indicate that activation of the Raf–MEK pathway is only sufficient for ATF2 Thr71 mono-phosphorylation and that ATF2 Thr69 + 71 dual phosphorylation requires additional activation of the Raf/GDS–Raf pathway.

We next examined the effect of the p38 inhibitor SB203580 on insulin- and EGF-induced ATF2 Thr69 + 71 phosphorylation. Since SB203580 was found to enhance the activation of JNK by these mitogens strongly in fibroblasts (data not shown), we used JNK1−2−/− fibroblasts (K.Sabapathy, K.Hochedlinger, A.Bauer, L.Chang, M.Karin and E.Wagner, submitted). Interestingly, also in the presence of SB203580, differential regulation of ATF2 Thr71 mono-phosphorylation and ATF2 Thr69 + 71 dual phosphorylation by growth factors was observed: SB203580 completely blocked the insulin-, EGF- and serum-induced phospho-ATF2 Thr69 + 71 signal, but only very weakly suppressed insulin-induced ATF2 Thr71 phosphorylation and did not inhibit EGF-induced ATF2 Thr71 phosphorylation at all (Figure 3C and D). In contrast, MMS- and UV-induced ATF2 Thr69 + 71 and ATF2 Thr71 phosphorylation were both completely inhibited by SB203580 (Figure 3C). As previously found for A14 cells (Figure 3B), inhibition of MEK with U0126 also only partially (50–70%) prevented insulin-induced ATF2 Thr71 phosphorylation in JNK−/− cells (Figure 3D). Since the residual insulin-induced Thr71 phosphorylation could be inhibited by SB203580, both MEK and p38 appear to be required for ATF2 Thr71 phosphorylation by insulin (Figure 3D). However, in the case of EGF, the residual Thr71 phosphorylation in the presence of U0126 seems to be due mainly to the potentiating effect of U0126 on the activation of p38 (Figure 3D; data not shown): U0126 and SB203580 completely blocked ATF2 Thr71 phosphorylation by EGF when added together, but
SB203580 only inhibited Thr69 + 71 phosphorylation, and not Thr71 phosphorylation, when added alone (Figure 3D). These data strongly suggest that the induction of ATF2 Thr71 mono-phosphorylation by EGF occurs mainly via a MEK-dependent process, and that p38 only plays a role when the MEK pathway is inhibited.

In summary, the results presented above indicate that growth factors can activate ATF2 via an as yet unknown two-step mechanism: Thr71 mono-phosphorylation executed predominantly by the Ras–Raf–MEK pathway and (subsequent) Thr69 + 71 dual phosphorylation via RafN28- and SB203580-inhibitable factors.

**Insulin- and EGF-induced ATF2 Thr71 mono-phosphorylation is mediated by ERK**

To identify the Ras–MEK-induced ATF2 Thr71 kinase, we performed anion-exchange chromatography of A14 cell extracts on MonoQ columns. As depicted in Figure 4A, the bulk of the insulin-induced ATF2 kinase activity (fractions 12 and 13) contained high levels of active (mobility-shifted and phosphorylated) ERK1 and ERK2, no p38 and only low amounts of (non-mobility-shifted) JNK (compare fractions 9 and 11 with fractions 12 and 13 in Figure 4A). The induction of the kinase activity in fraction 12 + 13 by insulin was inhibited specifically by pre-treatment with the MEK inhibitors U0126 or PD98059 (data not shown; compare Figure 4B). In contrast to the insulin-induced ATF2 kinase, the osmoregulatory stress-induced ATF2 kinase activity co-purified with JNK/SAPK [fractions 9 and 11–13; predominantly containing (mobility-shifted active) JNK] and p38 (fraction 17; Figure 4A). Also, in EGF-treated JNK−/− cells, nearly all of the ATF2 kinase activity co-purified with phosphorylated ERK1/2 (90%) rather than with p38 (5%). Co-purification of
ERK1/2 and this ATF2 kinase activity was still observed after subsequent monoQ chromatography of fraction 12 at pH 7.8 instead of pH 7.5, which led to a further separation of ERK1 and ERK2, and after refractionation on a MonoS column (data not shown). We subsequently analyzed immunopurified ERK for mitogen-inducible MEK-dependent ATF2 kinase activity. Insulin, but not osmotic stress, enhanced ERK-associated N-terminal ATF2 kinase activity ∼4.8-fold, which was inhibited by pre-treatment with the MEK inhibitors PD98059 and U0126 (Figure 4B; data not shown). Thus, in A14 and JNK−/− cells, the major mitogen-inducible ATF2 kinase appears to be ERK1/2 rather than JNK/SAPK and p38 (family members).

We next examined the ERK-containing MonoQ fractions 12 and 13 of insulin-stimulated A14 and EGF-treated JNK−/− cells for their ability to phosphorylate ATF2 Thr71, Thr69 and Thr69 + 71. In these assays, we included ATF2 substrates in which Thr69 and/or Thr71 were replaced by alanines and analyzed both the incorporation of radioactive phosphate and the anti-phospho-Thr71 and anti-phospho-Thr69 + 71 immunoreactivity. As shown in Figure 4C–E, A14 fractions 12 and 13 could only phosphorylate ATF2 Thr71 of the GST–ATF2 substrate efficiently, whereas partially purified p38 (fraction 17) and the input total cell lysate efficiently phosphorylated both Thr69 and Thr71. Similar Thr71-only phosphorylation was observed in the case of fractions 12 and 13 of EGF-treated JNK−/− cells (data not shown). To exclude the possibility that the Thr71-specific kinase in these fractions is not ERK itself, but an ERK-associated kinase, we also examined recombinant active ERK for its specificity for Thr71 and Thr69 + 71 phosphorylation. Like the ERK-containing MonoQ fractions, recombinant ERK only phosphorylated ATF2 Thr71 efficiently (Figure 4E).

In summary, these data identify ERK1/2 as the main Ras–Raf–MEK-inducible ATF2 Thr71 kinase activity in insulin- and EGF-treated fibroblasts. In line with this, in vivo insulin-induced ERK phosphorylation and ATF2 Thr71 phosphorylation occurred nearly simultaneously in A14 cells, whereas the onset of ATF2 Thr69 + 71 phosphorylation was somewhat delayed (Figure 1C).
Fig. 4. Insulin- and EGF-induced ATF2 Thr71 mono-phosphorylation is mediated by ERK. (A) The main insulin-induced ATF2 N-terminal kinase activity co-purifies with ERK1/2 after MonoQ anion-exchange chromatography. Total cell lysates from A14 cells treated for 15 min with either 10 nM insulin or 500 nM NaCl (O.S.) were separated on a MonoQ column using a linear gradient of NaCl (dotted line). Fractions were analyzed for in vitro ATF2 kinase activity (filled circles) as described in Materials and methods, and for the presence of JNK, ERK1/2 and p38 by SDS–PAGE and immunoblots. (B) Insulin induces ERK-associated ATF2 N-terminal kinase activity. Serum-starved A14 cells were either untreated or treated for 15 min with 10 nM insulin, with or without 15 min pre-treatment with 20 μM PD98059, as indicated. Total cell lysates were immunoprecipitated with antibodies that recognize both ERK1 and ERK2, and subsequently assayed for ATF2 kinase activity. (C) Insulin-induced ERK and p38 activities differ in their ATF2 Thr69 and Thr71 kinase activities. Partially purified ERK and p38 preparations from insulin-stimulated A14 cell extracts (MonoQ fractions 12 and 17, respectively) were analyzed for in vitro kinase activity, using either wild-type (wt) or mutant GST–ATF2 fusion proteins in which Thr69 (T69A), Thr71 (T71A) or Thr69 + 71 (T69/71A) were replaced by alanine. The phosphorylation state subsequently was monitored by SDS–PAGE followed by autoradiography and immunoblotting using phospho-specific antibodies followed by enhanced chemiluminescence (ECL). (D) Quantification of γ32P incorporation into GST–ATF2 by MonoQ fractions 12 and 17 in in vitro kinase assays as described in (C). The relative activity (mean ± SD) shown represents the γ32P incorporation in the various mutant GST–ATF2 substrates relative to that in the wild-type GST–ATF2 protein (set at 100% for both fractions 12 and 17). (E) Recombinant active ERK only phosphorylates ATF2 Thr71 efficiently. Recombinant ERK (10 U; Calbiochem) was compared with MonoQ fraction 13 and total cell lysate from insulin-treated A14 cells for its ATF2 kinase potential using GST–ATF2 as a substrate. The phosphorylation state of GST–ATF2 Thr69 + 71 and GST–ATF2 Thr71 subsequently was monitored by SDS–PAGE/immunoblotting using phospho-specific antibodies. Equal loading of the GST–ATF2 substrate was verified by reprobing the filters with GST antibodies.
The RalGDS–Ral pathway mediates p38 activation and ATF2 Thr69 + 71 phosphorylation by growth factors but not by stresses

We next examined the role of Ral activation in the phosphorylation and transcriptional activation of ATF2 in further detail. Enhanced levels of Ral-GTP could only be induced by mitogens in A14 cells, as depicted for insulin and MMS in Figure 5A (see also Wolthuis et al., 1998a). In line with this, RalN28, like dominant-negative RasN17, inhibited the activation of ATF2 by insulin and EGF, but did not inhibit MMS- and osmotic stress-induced ATF2 phosphorylation (Figure 2A; data not shown). Moreover, both RasN17 and RalN28 inhibited the activation of the minimal ATF2-dependent promoter 5×jun2-tata by insulin and EGF, but not the activation by MMS (Figure 5B). Thus, Ral mediates the activation of ATF2 by insulin and EGF rather than by stress stimuli.

As both p38 and Ral were found to be essential for ATF2 Thr69 + 71 phosphorylation by growth factors, we next examined the role of the RalGDS pathway in the activation of p38. Dominant-negative RalN28 inhibited the weak activation of p38 induced by insulin and EGF, but had no effect on the strong induction of p38 phosphorylation by osmotic stress (Figure 5C; data not shown). To activate Ral in the absence of growth factors, we made use of RifCAAX, a constitutively active, membrane-targeted, mutant of the Ral exchange factor Rif (Wolthuis et al., 1997). In line with the role of Ral in growth factor-induced activation of p38, chronic activation of Ral by RifCAAX enhanced both the phosphorylation of p38 and the p38-associated ATF2 kinase activity, in both A14 and JNK–/– fibroblasts (Figure 5D and E; data not shown). As reported previously (de Ruiter et al., 2000), RifCAAX was also found to activate JNK (Figure 5E). However, RifCAAX did not activate ERK (Figure 5E), which is in agreement with the fact that ERK activation by insulin or EGF is completely dependent on the Ras–Raf–MEK pathway in A14 cells (Figure 3B), and not mediated by RalGD5–Ral (de Ruiter et al., 2000). Also, in JNK–/– fibroblasts, the activation of ERK was found to be completely dependent on the MEK pathway (Figure 3D).

We next examined the effect of Ral activation by RifCAAX on ATF2 Thr69 and Thr71 phosphorylation. In line with the fact that RifCAAX activates p38 rather than MEK–ERK, we found that RifCAAX induced ATF2 Thr69 + 71 phosphorylation and that this phosphorylation could not be inhibited by U0126, in both A14 cells and JNK–/– cells (Figure 5F). RifCAAX also stimulated transactivation by GAL4-ATF2, dependent on the presence of both Thr69 and Thr71 (Figure 5G). Moreover, RifCAAX and active Ras induced the activity of the minimal ATF2-dependent 5×jun2-tata promoter in A14 cells, and this effect could be blocked by co-expression of RalN28 (data not shown). This indicates that activation of Ral in fibroblasts triggers both ATF2 Thr69 + 71 dual phosphorylation and ATF2 transriptional activation.

In summary, these results show that activation of Ral leads to activation of p38 which, unlike ERK, can phosphorylate ATF2 on both Thr69 and Thr71. In addition, the results show that Ral activation mediates the activation of p38 and ATF2 by insulin and EGF rather than by stresses.

In addition to Ral, Src-like proteins are required for growth factor-induced ATF2 Thr69 phosphorylation

To elucidate further the signaling cascade that mediates Ral-dependent p38 activation and ATF2 Thr69 + 71 phosphorylation, we examined the role of the Src-like tyrosine kinases, which have been identified as downstream targets of mitogen-induced Ral activity (de Ruiter et al., 2000; Goi et al., 2000). The Src inhibitor PP1 prevented EGF- and serum-induced ATF2 Thr69 + 71 dual phosphorylation, but only partially suppressed serum-induced ATF2 Thr71 mono-phosphorylation and did not inhibit EGF-induced ATF2 Thr71 mono-phosphorylation at all (Figure 6A). Serum-induced transactivation by GAL4-ATF2 was also inhibited efficiently by PP1 (Figure 6B). These data indicate that like Ral, Src(-like) tyrosine kinases are required for mitogen-induced ATF2 Thr69 + 71 dual phosphorylation rather than for ATF2 Thr71 mono-phosphorylation.

As the Src inhibitor PP1 had the same selective effects on ATF2 Thr69 + 71 dual phosphorylation as the p38 inhibitor SB203580 (Figure 3C and D), we next examined the effect of PP1 on the activation of p38 by mitogens. As shown in Figure 6A, the (relatively weak) phosphorylation of p38 by EGF and serum was reduced by the Src inhibitor, whereas the activation of ERK was not inhibited significantly.

In summary, these results show that insulin, EGF and serum activate ATF2-dependent gene expression via two distinct Ras effector pathways, Ral–MEK–ERK and RalGDS–Ral–Src–p38, that control independent phosphorylation events.

Discussion

We have shown that ATF2’s transactivating capacity is enhanced efficiently via Thr69 + 71 phosphorylation by mitogens that do not significantly activate the stress-activated MAPK pathways and only weakly enhance the transactivation function of cJun. This strong enhancement in ATF2 Thr69 + 71 phosphorylation explains the activation by growth factors of c-Jun–ATF2-dependent genes in cells lacking functional cJun protein, as in c-jun–/– fibroblasts. The regulation of ATF2 Thr69 + 71 phosphorylation by growth factors was found to be complex, as it is not performed by a single kinase. At least two distinct MAPKs, ERK and p38, are required, and, importantly, were found to exhibit distinct ATF2 Thr69 and Thr71 substrate specificities. Unlike active p38 and JNK, active ERK was only able to mono-phosphorylate ATF2 Thr71 efficiently both in vivo and in vitro. In contrast to ERK, the activities of p38 and SAPK/JNK are very low in insulin- or EGF-stimulated fibroblasts and, therefore, insufficient to phosphorylate ATF2 Thr71 and/or Thr69 efficiently by themselves (Figures 1D and 4A). However, these low levels of active p38 are essential and appear to be sufficient to phosphorylate Thr69 when ATF2 Thr71 is already mono-phosphorylated by ERK (see the model in Figure 7). To our knowledge, this is the first example of complementary phosphorylation of a single substrate by ERK and p38. Therefore, it appears to reveal a novel level of complexity and recombination potential in the cross-talk of the cellular signaling networks.
Fig. 5. The RalGDS–Ral pathway mediates insulin- and EGF-induced activation of ATF2-dependent gene expression. (A) The effects of insulin and MMS on Ral activity. A14 cells were transiently transfected with 3 μg of pMT2-HA-Ral. At 24 h after transfection, the cells were serum-starved overnight followed by stimulation with either 10 nM insulin (15 min) or 1 mM MMS (2 h). Total cell extracts were incubated with 15 μg of GST–RBD pre-coupled to glutathione beads to recover GTP-bound Ral. Beads were washed extensively, and collected Ral was detected by immunoblotting with HA antibody. (B) Insulin- and EGF-induced activation of ATF2-dependent transcription is inhibited by RasN17 and RalN28. A14 cells were transiently transfected with 2 μg of either the c-Jun–ATF2-dependent luciferase reporter 5×Jun2-tata or the tata-luciferase control, in the presence or absence of 2 μg of expression vectors for RasN17 and RalN28, or an empty control vector. At 20 h after transfection, the cells were stimulated for 6 h with 10 nM insulin or 1 mM MMS. Depicted is the relative luciferase activity (RLU) ± SD. (C) Dominant-negative Ral inhibits insulin-induced p38 phosphorylation. A14 cells were transiently transfected with 0.5 μg of pMT2-HA-p38 in the presence or absence of 1.5 μg of pMT2-HA-RalN28, or an empty expression vector as described in Figure 2A. Subsequently, the cells were serum-starved and treated with either 10 nM insulin or 500 mM NaCl (O.S.). Total cell extracts were prepared after 15 min, and analyzed by SDS–PAGE/immunoblotting. For better comparison, a relatively short exposure of osmotic shock-induced HA-phospho-p38 is shown. (D) Activation of Ral by RIICAAX induces p38 phosphorylation. A14 cells were transfected with 0.5 μg pMT2-HA-p38 in the presence or absence of 0.125 μg of HA-RIICAAX, or an empty vector (−) as described above. At 24 h after transfection, the cells were serum-starved and, after an additional 24 h, total cell lysates were prepared and analyzed by SDS–PAGE and immunoblotting. (E) Activation of Ral by RIICAAX induces p38 and JNK kinase activity. A14 cells were transfected with 0.5 μg of expression vectors encoding HA-tagged p38, JNK or ERK, respectively, in the presence or absence of 0.125 μg of HA-RIICAAX expression vector, or an empty vector (−) as described above. At 24 h after transfection, the cells were serum-starved and, after an additional 24 h, total cell lysates were prepared. Lysates were immunoprecipitated with an HA antibody, after which HA-associated ATF2 Thr71 kinase activity was measured using GST–ATF2 as substrate (see Materials and methods). (F) Activation of Ral by RIICAAX induces ATF2 Thr69 + 71 phosphorylation. A14 and JNK−/− cells were left untreated (−) or transfected with 0.5 μg of pMT2-HA-ATF2 in the presence or absence of 0.125 μg of RIICAAX expression vector. Eugene reagent was used in order to obtain high levels of transfection efficiency (±40%). At 24 h after transfection, cells were serum-starved overnight, and incubated for a further 24 h in the presence or absence of 10 μM U0126 prior to preparation of cell lysates and analysis by SDS–PAGE and immunoblotting. Note that HA-ATF2 and HA-RIICAAX (detected by the HA antibody) have nearly the same molecular weight. (G) RIICAAX enhances transactivation by ATF2 via ATF2 Thr69 and Thr71. A14 cells were transiently transfected with 2 μg of 5×Gal4-E4-luciferase reporter plasmid together with 2 μg of pR5SV-Gal4-ATF2 expression vectors containing full-length (wt) ATF2, or the corresponding domain in which Thr69 (T69A), Thr71 (T71A) or both (T69/71A) are replaced by alanine. In addition to these GAL4 fusion constructs, 3 μg of pMT2-RIICAAX, or an empty expression vector was co-transfected. At 40 h after transfection, cells were harvested and analyzed for luciferase activity. The fold activation depicted represents the ratio between luciferase activity in the presence and absence of RIICAAX. Values represent the mean ± SD.

Interestingly, recent in vitro kinetic studies using recombinant active p38α expressed in Escherichia coli showed that p38α phosphorylates GST–ATF2 (amino acids 1–115) via a two-step (double collision) mechanism, involving the dissociation of mono-phosphorylated ATF2 Thr71 or Thr69 from the enzyme after the first phosphorylation step (Waas et al., 2001). Moreover, these authors found that mono-phosphorylation of ATF2 Thr69
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strongly reduces the phosphorylation rate of Thr71, whereas, in contrast, mono-phosphorylation of Thr71 does not reduce the rate of Thr69 phosphorylation. Thus, efficient phosphorylation of ATF2 by recombinant E.coli-expressed active p38 only occurs in the order Thr71→Thr69 + 71 (Figure 7). This order of events also seems to occur in mitogen-treated cells, as ERK, in contrast to p38, does not seem to mono-phosphorylate Thr69 significantly (Figure 4C).

The fact that ERK does not double-phosphorylate ATF2 Thr69 + 71 efficiently raises the question as to whether Thr71 mono-phosphorylated ATF2 can still bind to the ATF2 (DEJL) docking site of ERK (Jacobs et al., 1999). A related question is whether Thr71 mono-phosphorylated ATF2 binds more efficiently to (weakly active) p38 than non-phosphorylated ATF2. These questions are of particular interest with respect to the recent identification of the docking grooves in ERK and p38 that regulate the specificities of the ERK and p38 docking interactions (Tanoue et al., 2001). Other matters arising are whether ERK1 and 2 are the only ATF2 Thr71 mono-phosphorylating kinases, or whether other MAPK family members (in cell types other than fibroblasts) have the same ATF2 substrate specificity.

The role of the JNK/SAPK family members in the activation of ATF2 by mitogens has not yet been completely resolved. In the presence of ERK and p38, JNK is not essential for ATF2 phosphorylation, as shown in JNK–/– fibroblasts. Moreover, JNK1/2 are only weakly activated by insulin, EGF and serum in many cell types, and are, under those conditions, like p38, unable to phosphorylate ATF2 efficiently by themselves. Furthermore, SEK1, one of the upstream activators of JNK, was found to be required for the phosphorylation of ATF2 Thr71 by MMS, but not by insulin and EGF in A14 cells (our unpublished results). However, our preliminary data suggest that, like p38, JNK might be able to phosphorylate Thr69 on ATF2 substrates that are already phosphorylated on Thr71 by ERK.

This study also reveals an as yet unknown role for Ras and the Ras effector pathways in the control of ATF2 phosphorylation. We found Ras to be essential for the phosphorylation and activation of ATF2 by EGF and insulin, but not by MMS or osmotic stress. Intriguingly, two different Ras effector pathways are required for this growth factor-specific mechanism of ATF2 activation. The Raf–MEK–ERK pathway was found only to trigger ATF2 Thr71 mono-phosphorylation, providing an explanation for the fact that the phorbol ester TPA, a strong activator of the Raf–MEK–ERK pathway, does not activate ATF2-dependent reporter genes significantly in various cell types (van Dam et al., 1995). In contrast to the Raf–MEK pathway, the Ras–RalGDS–Ral–Src pathway was found to be required for insulin- and EGF-induced ATF2 Thr69 + 71 dual phosphorylation rather than for ATF2 Thr71 mono-phosphorylation. The RalGDS–Ral pathway is not activated by MMS in fibroblasts, and dominant-negative Ral did not inhibit ATF2 Thr69 + 71 phosphorylation and activation by MMS. Thus, the Ras–Raf–MEK–ERK and Ras–RalGDS–Ral–Src–p38 pathways seem specifically to cooperate in the activation of ATF2 by Raf-activating growth factors (see model in Figure 7).

The involvement of the Ras–RalGDS–Ral pathway in the activation of cJun–ATF2-dependent gene expression...
suggests an important contribution of cJun–ATF2 target genes in Ras-, Rlf- and/or Ral-dependent cell cycle progression, differentiation and oncogenic transformation (Verheijen et al., 1999; Wolthuis and Bos, 1999; Reuther and Der, 2000). In addition to the c-jun gene itself, cJun–ATF2 target genes implicated in transformation appear to comprise, amongst others, ATF3, cyclin D, cyclin A and the urokinase receptor (Liang et al., 1996; Shimizu et al., 1998; Beier et al., 1999, 2000; Bakiri et al., 2000; Okan et al., 2001). Interestingly, cJun–ATF2 heterodimers have been found to induce the same partial transformation program as the gain-of-function Rlf mutant Rlf-CAAAX, which enables fibroblasts to proliferate in very low concentrations of serum but not in soft agar (Wolthuis et al., 1997; Haguier et al., 1998; van Dam et al., 1998).

In summary, the finding that activation of ATF2 by growth factors and Ras proteins requires the cooperative action of two different Ras effector pathways, each mediating specific and sequential phosphorylation steps, identifies an as yet unknown mechanism by which Ras proteins and MAPK pathways can control gene expression and cell proliferation. This mechanism also explains why mitogenic stimuli and Ras proteins can induce transcription of ATF2 target genes efficiently while only very weakly activating the stress-inducible MAPKs.

Materials and methods

Plasmid constructs

The following expression vectors have been described: pRSV-Gal4-cJun-N (Radler-Pohl et al., 1993); pRSV-GAL4-ATF2-N (amino acids 19–112), pRSV-GAL4-ATF2 (amino acids 19–505) wt, -T69A, -T71A, -T69/71A (Duyndam et al., 1996); pC2-GAL4-ATF2-TAD wt, -T69A, -T71A, -T69/71A (Livingstone et al., 1995); PMT2-HA-Ral, pM2-HA-RalN28, pM2-HA-RICAAX (Wolthuis et al., 1997); pRSV-RasL61, pRSV-RasN17 (Medema et al., 1991); and pGEX-ATF2-N (van Dam et al., 1995). pGEX-ATF2-N-T69A, -T71A and T69/71A were constructed by ligating the Sulf-Xhis fragment of the corresponding pC2-GAL4-ATF2-TAD vector (Livingstone et al., 1995) into Sulf-Xhis-digested pGEX-ATF2-N. pET16B-p54JNK (amino acids 31–333) was generated by PCR using as primers 5’-cctggcatgctgctgggg and 5’-gaggctgctgctggactcag, and as template rat HA-p54JNK (Sanchez et al., 1994; kindly provided by J.R. Woodgett). The BamHI-restricted PCR product subsequently was inserted into BamHI-digested pET16B (Novagen); pM2-HA-p38 was generated by PCR using as primers 5’-aagtgcatagtttgaggcc and 5’-aagtgcatagttggcctctcet and as template pGEX4T3-p38/SAPK2a (kindly provided by Dr P. Cohen). The PCR product was ligated into pGEMT (Promega) to obtain pGEMT-p38, and the Sulf-Norl fragment from pGEMT-p38 subsequently was inserted into Sulf-Norl-restricted PMT2-HA (Wolthuis et al., 1997), pM2-HA-ATF2 was constructed by fusing amino acids 19–505 of human ATP2 C-terminal to the hemagglutinin (HA) epitope of pM22. All constructs were verified by sequencing.

The luciferase reporter constructs tata-luc and 5’-jun2-tata-luc have been described (van Dam et al., 1998). For 5×GAL4-E4-tata-luciferase, the P4W–BamHI fragment of 5×GAL4-E4-pGil2 (C.Livingstone and N.C.Jones, unpublished) was inserted into Snu-dgIIR-restricted pG3L-basic.

Chemicals

Insulin from bovine pancreas, TPA and MMS were obtained from Sigma; recombinant human EGF from Peprotech, Inc. Rocky Hill, NJ; PD98059 and SB203580 from Calbiochem; U0126 from Promega; and PPI from Alexis Biochemicals.

Cell culture, transient transfection and luciferase assays

Primary human VH10 fibroblasts, A14 cells (NIH 3T3 cells expressing the human insulin receptor; Burgerting et al., 1991) and JNK2– fibroblasts were cultured in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) containing 9% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and antibiotics. Immortalized JNK2− fibroblasts were derived from mouse JNK 1+2 double knockout embryos using the 3T3 protocol (K.Sabapathy, K.Hochdelling, A.Bauer, L.Chang, M.Karin and E.Wagner, submitted; kindly provided by Kanaga Sabapathy and Erwin Wagner, IMP Vienna). For luciferase assays, A14 cells were transfected on 6 cm dishes by the DEAE–dextran method as described elsewhere (Angel et al., 1988). Cells were lysed in 200 μl of 25 μl Tris–PO4, pH 7.8, 2 mM dithiothreitol (DTT), 2 mM 1,2-diaminocyclohexane-N,N,N′,N′-tetraacetic acid, 10% glycerol and 1% Triton X-100, after which luciferase activity was determined according to the manufacturer’s protocol (Promega). For protein phosphorylation analysis, 6 cm dishes of A14 or JNK2− fibroblasts were transfected with Fugene (Roche) according to the manufacturer’s protocol.

Western blot analysis and antibodies

Cell lysates were prepared from 6 cm dishes that were rinsed twice with ice-cold phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and then lysed in 200 μl of ice-cold Fos-RIPA buffer containing protease and phosphatase inhibitors (van Dam et al., 1993). Extracts were cleared by centrifugation (4°C, 14,000 g, 30 min), and the protein content was determined using the BCA kit (Pierce). Proteins were separated on 10% polyacrylamide slab gels and transferred to Immobilon (Millipore). Blots were stained with Ponceau S before blocking to verify equal loading and appropriate protein transfer. Filters were incubated with antibodies as described previously (Ouwsens et al., 1994). Filters were stripped by a 30 min incubation in 100 mM β-mercaptoethanol, 2% SDS, 62.5 mM Tris–HCl pH 6.8 at 50°C.

Anti-JNK antibody was obtained by immunizing rabbits with a recombinant His-tagged JNK fusion protein produced from pET16B-p54JNK (amino acids 31–333) as described previously (Ouwsens et al., 1994). Monoclonal anti-Ras antibody Y13-259 was kindly provided by Dr A.Zanetta. The other antibodies used were: phospho-specific ATF2 Thr69 + 71, ATF2 Thr71, p38 Thr180/Tyr182, ERK hr202/Tyr204 (Cell Signaling Technology), p38 (N-20), ATF-2 (C-19), c-Jun (H-79), ERK (C-23), donkey anti-goat IgG–horseradish peroxidase (HRP) conjugate (Santa Cruz Biotechnology), monoclonal anti-HA antibody 16B12 (BabCO), anti-GST (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech), phospho-specific JNK Thr183/Tyr185, goat anti-rabbit and goat anti-mouse IgG–HRP conjugate (Promega).

The specificity of the phospho-Thr71 and phospho-Thr69 + 71 ATF2 antibodies (www.cellsignal.com) was verified by comparing the immunoreactivity and [32P]phosphate incorporation of the wild-type, T69A, T71A and T69A + 71A GST–ATF2 substrates upon phosphorylation by ERK and p38. The phospho-Thr69 + 71 antibody efficiently recognizes Thr69 + 71 dual-phosphorylated ATF2, but not Thr71-mono-phosphorylated ATF2, and also not the Thr97-mono-phosphorylated T69A71 substrate. The phospho-Thr71 antibody recognizes Thr71-mono-phosphorylated ATF2 very efficiently, but not the Thr69-mono-phosphorylated T69A71 substrate (Figure 4C).

MonoQ/anion-exchange chromatography

Anion-exchange chromatography was performed essentially as described by Rouse et al. (1994). Cells were washed twice with ice-cold PBS, and scraped into MonoQ lysis buffer [20 mM Tris-acetate pH 7.0, 0.27 M sucrose, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM EGTA, 1% Triton X-100, 10 mM sodium β-glycerophosphate, 50 mM NaF, 5 mM sodium pyrophosphate, 1 mM sodium orthovanadate, 0.1% (v/v) β-mercaptoethanol and protease inhibitors (Complete, Roche Biochemicals)]. Lysates were cleared by centrifugation for 15 min at 14 000 g. Supernatants were removed and immediately stored at −80°C until further processing. The lysates of six 9 cm dishes (8000 mg of protein) were diluted to a final volume of 12 ml with MonoQ buffer [50 mM Tris–HCl pH 7.5, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM EGTA, 5% (v/v) glycerol, 0.03% (w/v) Brij-35, 1 mM benzamidine, 0.3 mM sodium orthovanadate and 0.1% (v/v) β-mercaptoethanol], and applied to a MonoQ HR 5/5 column (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech) equilibrated in MonoQ buffer. After washing with 15 ml of MonoQ buffer, the column was developed with a 20 ml linear salt gradient from 700 mM NaCl in MonoQ buffer. The flow rate was 0.5 ml/min, and fractions of 1 ml were collected. Aliquots of 10 μl from each fraction were assayed for in vitro ATF2 kinase activity and western blot analysis.
ATF2 kinase assays

For ATF2 kinase assays, 10 μg of total cell extract prepared in MonoQ lysis buffer as described above, 10 μl of MonoQ fraction or 10 U of recombinant active ERK2 (Calbiochem) were incubated for 30 min at 30°C with 2 μg of purified GST–ATF2-N substrate (van Dam et al., 1995) and 50 μM ATP in a total volume of 60 μl of kinase buffer (25 mM HEPES, pH 7.4, 25 mM MgCl₂, 25 mM β-glycerophosphate, 5 mM β-mercaptoethanol, 100 μM sodium orthovanadate). In all kinase reactions, the NaCl concentration was adjusted to 400 mM. For radioactive quantification, 2 μCi of [γ-32P]ATP was added to the incubation buffer and the reactions were terminated by the addition of 0.5 ml of ice-cold 20 mM Tris–HCl, pH 7.8, 100 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 0.5% NP-40, 50 mM NaF and 100 μM sodium orthovanadate, after which the GST fusion protein was collected on 20 μl of glutathione–Sepharose beads (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech). After 1 h tumbling at 4°C, beads were washed twice with lysis buffer. Phosphorylation of GST–ATF2 was analyzed by SDS–PAGE and autoradiography. 32P incorporation was quantitated using a PhosphorImager and ImageQuant analysis. For analysis of site-specific phosphorylation of the GST–ATF2 substrate, the reactions were terminated by the addition of 20 μl of 4× Laemmli buffer, subsequently analyzed by SDS–PAGE/immunoblotting with phospho-specific ATF2 Thr96+71 and ATF2 Thr71 antibody, and quantitated on a Lumilimage (Roche).

For immunoprecipitation kinase assays, 9 cm dishes were rinsed twice with ice-cold PBS, lysed in 1 ml of RIPA buffer [30 mM Tris–HCl pH 7.5, 1 mM EDTA, 150 mM NaCl, 0.5% Triton X-100, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, 1 mM sodium orthovanadate, 10 mM sodium fluoride and protease inhibitors (Complete, Roche)], whereafter lysates were cleared by centrifugation (15 min, 14 000 g, 4°C). Cell lysates (750 μg) were incubated overnight with 3 μl of p38, INK, or ERK antibody coupled to protein A–Sepharose beads (Pharmacia). Collected beads were washed four times with RIPA buffer, and twice with kinase buffer (25 mM HEPES, pH 7.4, 25 mM MgCl₂, 25 mM β-glycerophosphate, 5 mM β-mercaptoethanol, 100 μM sodium orthovanadate). Subsequently, ATF2 N-terminal kinase activity was determined and quantitated using [γ-32P]ATP as described above.

Determination of Raf-GTP

Raf-GTP levels were determined using a GST-RafBD pull-down assay as described previously (Wolthuis et al., 1998b). Briefly, 9 cm dishes of A14 cells were transiently transfected with 3 μg of HA-Raf. After stimulation, cells were lysed in Raf buffer, and cleared lysates were incubated with 15 μg of GST–RafBD pre-coupled to glutathione beads in order to collect GTP-bound Raf. An aliquot of the total lysate was retained for analysis of protein expression. Samples were resolved on 12.5% polyacrylamide slab gels, transferred to Immobilon (Millipore) and blotted with monoclonal anti-HA antibody.
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