In vivo analysis of the TSWV cap-snatching mechanism: single base complementarity and primer length requirements
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Requirements for capped leader sequences for use during transcription initiation by tomato spotted wilt virus (TSWV) were tested using mutant alfalfa mosaic virus (AMV) RNAs as specific cap donors in transgenic Nicotiana tabacum plants expressing the AMV replicase proteins. Using a series of AMV RNA3 mutants modified in either the 5’-non-translated region or in the subgenomic RNA4 leader, sequence analysis revealed that cleaved leader lengths could vary between 13 and 18 nucleotides. Cleavage occurred preferentially at an A residue, suggesting a requirement for a single base complementarity with the TSWV RNA template, which could be confirmed by analyses of host mRNAs used in vivo as cap donors.
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Introduction

Segmented, negative-strand RNA viruses share the transcription initiation mechanism generally referred to as ‘cap snatching’. During this process, a 7mG-capped host mRNA is recruited by the viral transcriptase complex and subsequently cleaved by a virally encoded endonuclease. The resulting capped leader RNA is used to prime transcription on the viral genome, as described most extensively for influenza A virus (Caton and Robertson, 1980; Dhar et al., 1980; Ploch et al., 1981; Ulmanen et al., 1981; Braam et al., 1983).

However, knowledge of the requirements for sequence specificity, length and structure of a suitable donor RNA has remained rather limited. Commonly, cap donor RNAs are cleaved at a distance of ~15 nucleotides from the cap structure, though variation in length occurs between 10 and 20 nucleotides (Caton and Robertson, 1980; Dhar et al., 1980; Bishop et al., 1983; Patterson and Kolakofsky, 1984; Eshita et al., 1985; Ihara et al., 1985; Collett, 1986; Gerbault et al., 1987; Bouloy et al., 1990; Simons and Pettersson, 1991; Grö et al., 1992; Kormelink et al., 1992a,b; Huet et al., 1993; Jin and Elliott, 1993a,b; Ramirez et al., 1995; Shimizu et al., 1996; van Poelwijk et al., 1996). Exceptions have been reported for members of the Arenaviridae (Tacaribe virus) (Garcin and Kolakofsky, 1990; Raju et al., 1990) and Nairovirus genus (Dugbe virus) (Jin and Elliott, 1993b), which use relatively short (1–4 and 5–16 nucleotides, respectively) non-viral leader sequences. For many of these viruses, sequence analyses of their mRNAs have shown a nucleotide preference at the 3’ end of the non-viral leader, assumed to reflect a sequence preference for cleavage by the viral endonuclease. For example, in the case of Dugbe virus, endonucleolytic cleavage has been proposed to take place exclusively after a C residue (Jin and Elliott, 1993b), whereas for Bunyamwera virus a strong preference for cleavage after a U residue has been proposed (80% of the mRNAs studied) (Jin and Elliott, 1993a). However, most mRNAs analysed in these cases were produced in vivo, hence the particular mRNAs that were used to provide these capped leader sequences (the cap donors) remained unknown. Therefore, it is still unknown whether cleavage of the cap donor has indeed taken place immediately after the assumed 3’ end of the capped leader sequence or whether this cleavage has taken place farther downstream, e.g. one or two nucleotides, which would be complementary to the 3’-terminal residues of the viral template.

For several viruses, in vitro studies have provided information about leader length requirements and have suggested that base pair interactions can contribute to alignment of the capped leader RNA sequence with the viral template RNA (Shaw and Lamb, 1984; Honda et al., 1986; Chung et al., 1994; Hagen et al., 1994, 1995; Garsin et al., 1995). For Hantaan virus, an additional ‘prime-and-realign’ mechanism has been proposed to explain data obtained from transcription initiation studies (Garcin et al., 1995). During this ‘prime-and-realign’ mechanism, transcription initiation starts with a capped leader RNA that base-pairs to the viral template RNA and becomes extended for a few nucleotides only. Subsequently, it is released from the viral RNA template and realigns backward by virtue of the terminal sequence repeats. Only then is progressive elongation of the nascent mRNA chain thought to take place. The reason for a ‘prime-and-realign’ mechanism is still unclear; however, it is proposed to account for the viral RNA polymerase initiating Hantaan (anti)genome and mRNA synthesis with GTP (Garcin et al., 1995). Meanwhile, the occurrence of a ‘prime-and-realign’ mechanism has been proposed for several other negative-strand RNA viruses, e.g. Germiston virus and LaCrosse virus (Bouloy et al., 1990; Dobie et al., 1997), in order to explain the presence of repetitive sequences within the heterogeneous sequences at the 5’ end of the viral mRNAs.

Tomato spotted wilt virus (TSWV) is the representative of the Tospovirus genus within the Bunyaviridae, a family of negative-strand RNA viruses with a tripartite genome. TSWV is the first plant virus for which the occurrence of cap snatching has been investigated (Kormelink et al., 1992b; van Poelwijk et al., 1996). These studies have shown the presence of non-viral leader sequences, 12–21 nucleotides in length, at the 5’ ends of viral subgenomic
mRNAs. Recent findings have demonstrated that during a co-infection of *Nicotiana benthamiana* with TSWV and alfalfa mosaic virus (AMV), all capped (sub)genomic RNAs of the latter (positive-strand RNA) virus can act *in vivo* as cap donors for TSWV (Duijsings et al., 1999), as likewise was shown to occur for the maize stripe tenuivirus during a co-infection with the barley stripe mosaic hordeivirus (Estabrook et al., 1998). Cleavage of the AMV leaders preferentially took place at an A residue. The AMV capped leader sequences found at the 5′ ends of TSWV N and NSs mRNAs varied in length, even when originating from the same AMV RNA molecule, except for RNA3, where obviously a single cleavage site was used. Apparently the capped leader sequence of AMV RNA3 matched strict requirements resulting in a unique cleavage site. However, alignment of the original AMV RNA3 sequence, and also those of RNA1, 2 and 4, with the chimeric AMV–TSWV mRNA sequences did not allow identification of the cleavage site as the position of this site is dependent on the possible need for complementarity between snatched leader and template RNA (Figure 1).

In order to test for leader length preference, cleavage specificity and a possible base pairing requirement, mutant AMV RNAs were analysed for their ability to act as cap donors in a manipulable *in vivo* system. To this end, transgenic (p12) tobacco plants expressing the AMV p1 and p2 replicase subunits were used, allowing *in vivo* replication of AMV RNA3 molecules specifically mutated in their leader sequences (Neelaman et al., 1991, 1993; Taschner et al., 1991). Here it is shown that mutant AMV RNAs provided in this way can be tested as cap donors during TSWV transcription initiation. These analyses are complemented, furthermore, by testing selected host mRNAs as cap donors. Altogether, the *in vivo* observations indicate that for successful transcription initiation, a single base complementarity between the snatched leader and the viral template RNA is required.

Results

Use of mutant AMV RNA3 leader sequences for transcription initiation by TSWV

From previous studies (Duijsings et al., 1999), it was known that all four AMV RNAs are accepted as cap donors for TSWV transcription initiation and that cleavage of the AMV leaders took place preferentially at an A residue. These results were in support of a possible base pairing requirement between snatched leader and template RNA, but still left room for an alternative explanation (Figure 1) (Duijsings et al., 1999). To collect further evidence that the preferential cleavage at A residues indeed reflects the requirement for base pairing, two sets of experiments were performed. First, it was investigated whether the length of the snatched leader RNA strictly co-varies along with the position of an available A residue. Secondly, it was tested whether leader sequences with a G instead of an A residue at the preferred cleavage site would be accepted as cap donors, but now by base pairing with the penultimate residue (C) of the TSWV template RNA.

To this end, specific AMV RNA3 leader mutants were presented to TSWV using transgenic (p12) tobacco plants expressing functional AMV p1 and p2 replicase subunits. As reported before (Neeleman et al., 1991, 1993; Taschner et al., 1991), such plants support the replication and systemic spread of AMV RNA3, even when provided as cauliflower mosaic virus (CaMV) 35S promoter-driven cDNA clones. To test the feasibility of this *in vivo* system, first a wild-type construct of AMV RNA3 was co-inoculated along with TSWV, and 7 days later chimeric AMV–TSWV mRNAs were rescued from systemically infected top leaves using RT–PCR (Figure 2A). This analysis demonstrated that AMV RNA3 molecules accumulating in p12 plants were indeed used as cap donors for TSWV mRNA synthesis (Figure 3A, lane WT). Sequence analyses of several independent clones (Table I) showed that the junction site found between the AMV RNA3 leader and the TSWV mRNA sequence completely matched the sequence data from earlier experiments during which *N. benthamiana* plants were co-inoculated with TSWV and AMV (Duijsings et al., 1999).

As a next step, to investigate whether cleavage would specifically take place at an A residue, single point mutants (Table I) were made at nucleotide positions 17 or 18 and subsequently used in co-inoculation experiments with TSWV on p12 plants. It was anticipated that a change of residue C17 into an A would result in a -1 shift of the cleavage site, the resulting AMV leader sequence within the TSWV mRNA thus becoming one nucleotide shorter. Similarly, a change of A18 into a C would result in a +1 shift of the endonucleolytic cleavage site to still meet the supposed cleavage specificity for an A residue. In this case, the added AMV leader sequence of the TSWV mRNA would increase in size by one nucleotide, nucleotide A19 now being the residue at which cleavage would take place. Sequence data from independent RT–PCR clones (Figure 3A; Table I), collected from co-inoculations of these AMV RNA3 mutants and TSWV on p12 plants, indeed showed a -1 shift when C17 was changed into an A (mutant C17A), and a +1 shift where A18 was changed into a C (mutant A18C). The leader
length thus shifted along with the position of an available A residue, demonstrating that cleavage specificity (at an A) determines leader length and supporting the model in which a single base complementarity between leader and template RNA is required (Figure 1).

To demonstrate more conclusively that base pairing was involved, two point mutants were made in which G residues were introduced (mutants C17G and A18G; Table I), which would potentially lead to cleavage at G and base pairing with the penultimate nucleotide (C) of the TSWV template. Cloning and sequence analysis of progeny AMV RNA revealed that these mutants rapidly converted into mutant A18C. As a consequence, RT–PCR clones obtained from co-inoculation of these AMV RNA3 mutants with TSWV invariably showed an endonucleolytic cleavage pattern for the AMV leader sequence identical to that observed with mutant A18C (Table I).

Also, additional AMV RNA3 mutants tested, i.e. C17U and A18U, rapidly converted into mutant A18C (Table I), indicating restrictions in the mutability of the AMV RNA3 leader.

**Mutant AMV RNA4 leaders confirm donor sequence and length requirements for priming TSWV transcription**

As further mutagenesis of its leader led to genetic instability of AMV RNA3, we next presented modified AMV RNA4 leaders to TSWV-infected p12 plants to confirm the cleavage specificity at an A residue and to test for leader length preference and base pairing requirement. AMV RNA4 has been used as cap donor before in several *in vitro* studies with other negative-strand RNA viruses (Bouloy *et al.*, 1980; Plotch *et al.*, 1981; Ulmanen *et al.*, 1981; Patterson *et al.*, 1984; Galarza *et al.*, 1996) and in *vivo* with TSWV (Duijsings *et al.*, 1999). AMV RNA4 is a subgenomic mRNA (Figure 2B), its leader residing internally in genomic RNA3 and not containing any cis-replication signals. Therefore, RNA4 leader mutants might be more stable than RNA3 leader mutants. The wild-type AMV RNA4 contains only two A residues at positions 13 and 14 within a U-rich context (Table II). Hence, only a minimal modification would be required to obtain a set of mutants with a single A residue at different positions within an oligo(U) stretch running from position 12 to 18 (Table II, mutants denoted as A12–A18). Additionally, a mutant was made lacking any A residue between positions 12 and 18 (mutant RNA4-noA). These mutants would allow us to test whether the length of the snatched leader sequence would co-vary precisely with the position of the A residue in this leader and provide information about leader length preference. First, the fitness and genetic stability of the AMV RNA4 mutants were tested by inoculation of the cDNA constructs harbouring these mutations on p12 plants and sequence analysis of progeny RNA4. All mutants, except RNA4-noA and A12, were...
Table I. AMV RNA3 mutant leader sequences in TSWV mRNAs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mutant</th>
<th>Sequence</th>
<th>After p12 amplification</th>
<th>Chimeric TSMV mRNA</th>
<th>No. of clones</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C17G</td>
<td>7wGpppG-N15-C7A-U5A-U9A...</td>
<td>A18C</td>
<td>7wGpppG-N15-C7A-U5A-GAGCAAU...</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C17U</td>
<td>7wGpppG-N15-U7A-U5A-U9A... unstable</td>
<td>A18C</td>
<td>7wGpppG-N15-U7A-U5A-GAGCAAU...</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A18C</td>
<td>7wGpppG-N15-C7A-U5A-U9A...</td>
<td>A18C</td>
<td>7wGpppG-N15-C7A-U5A-GAGCAAU...</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A18G</td>
<td>7wGpppG-N15-C7A-U5A-U9A...</td>
<td>A18C</td>
<td>7wGpppG-N15-C7A-U5A-GAGCAAU...</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TSWV mRNAs primed by (mutant) AMV RNA3 leaders were amplified using nested RT–PCR. Individual clones from these amplifications were sequence analysed.

*Not amplified in the p12 system; ND, not done.

Table II. AMV RNA4 mutant leader sequences in TSWV mRNAs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mutant</th>
<th>Sequence</th>
<th>After p12 amplification</th>
<th>Chimeric TSMV mRNA</th>
<th>No. of clones</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A14</td>
<td>7wGpppG-N15-U6U-U5U-U9U-U...</td>
<td>A14, A16</td>
<td>7wGpppG-N15-U6U-U5U-GAGCAAU...</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A16</td>
<td>7wGpppG-N15-U6U-U5U-U9U-U...</td>
<td>A16</td>
<td>7wGpppG-N15-U6U-U5U-GAGCAAU...</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A17</td>
<td>7wGpppG-N15-U6U-U5U-U9U-U...</td>
<td>A17</td>
<td>7wGpppG-N15-U6U-U5U-GAGCAAU...</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A18</td>
<td>7wGpppG-N15-U6U-U5U-U9U-U...</td>
<td>A18</td>
<td>7wGpppG-N15-U6U-U5U-GAGCAAU...</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>noA</td>
<td>7wGpppG-N15-U6U-U5U-U9U-U... unstable</td>
<td></td>
<td>7wGpppG-N15-U6U-U5U-GAGCAAU...</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G15</td>
<td>7wGpppG-N15-U6U-U5U-U9U-U...</td>
<td>G15</td>
<td>7wGpppG-N15-U6U-U5U-GAGCAAU...</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TSWV mRNAs primed by (mutant) AMV RNA4 leaders were amplified using nested RT–PCR. Individual clones from these amplifications were sequence analysed.

*Not amplified in the p12 system; ND, not done.

relatively stable. Progeny RNA from mutant RNA4-noA could not be recovered by RT–PCR and no coat protein production was observed, indicating that this mutant was not viable (data not shown). RT–PCR and sequence analysis of several independent clones showed that mutant A12 was unstable, rapidly converting into wild-type RNA4 as well as into other mutant sequences (A15–A17). For most of the other mutants, minor amounts of another mutant sequence were found in addition to the expected mutant genotype after a single round of replication in p12 plants (Table II).

Having tested the fitness and stability of the AMV RNA4 mutants, these were provided as cap donors during a co-infection with TSWV on p12 plants. RT–PCR cloning of TSWV N mRNAs obtained from these co-infection experiments (Figure 3B) and subsequent sequence analyses of the 5’-capped leader sequences indicated that all of the RNA4 mutants with an A residue at different positions in the AMV RNA4 leader could serve as cap donors (Table II). Sequence data from several clones of TSWV N mRNAs, obtained from co-inoculation experiments with AMV RNA4 mutants, showed a shift of the snatched leader length along with the position of the A residue within the oligo(U) tract. A number of the AMV RNA4 mutants produced a polymorphous population of RNA4 molecules (Table II), the differently sized capped leaders found on the resulting TSWV mRNAs reflecting this variation. Despite this variation, the leader length always shifted along with the position of the A residue. Although the numbers were not statistically significant, the sequence results from the subset of different RNA4 molecules obtained after p12 amplification pointed towards a preference for molecules containing an A residue at position +16 (Table II), as was found when using host mRNAs as cap donors (van Poelwijk et al., 1996).

The strict co-variance of leader length with the position of the A residue in both AMV RNA3 and RNA4 indicates that endonucleolytic cleavage of the leader takes place 3’ of this A residue to allow base pairing with the first U residue of the TSWV template RNA. However, alternatively, the results could be explained by an endonuclease activity that cleaves cap donor RNAs 5’ of the A residue (Figure 1B), with the A residue in the TSWV mRNA being the first nucleotide incorporated during capped primer elongation within the transcription process. This scenario seems less likely in view of the sequence data obtained using wild-type AMV RNA4 as a cap donor. The leader of this RNA contains two A residues (at positions...
13 and 14), and sequence data of TSWV mRNAs containing leaders derived from RNA4 show that residue A13 is retained preferentially, which does not fit a cleavage mechanism meant to recruit a leader without a 5'-terminal A residue.

The results with the A13–A18 mutants indicated that the leader sequence of RNA4 could be modified to a certain extent without complete loss of *in vivo* RNA3 or RNA4 amplification in p12 plants. Therefore, to substantiate the requirement for a single base pairing between leader and TSWV template during the cap-snatching process, AMV RNA4 mutant G15 was made. This mutant lacked any A residue and contained a single G at position 15 within an oligo(U) stretch (Table II). Only a low RT–PCR signal was obtained for TSWV mRNAs primed with G15 leaders (Figure 4A), but the single clone obtained supported the base pairing model as the mRNA sequence lacked the 5'-terminal A residue of the TSWV template. This could only happen by cleavage of the mutant RNA4 leader 3' of residue G15 and base pairing to the penultimate C of the TSWV template (Figure 4B).

**Recognition of host mRNAs during cap snatching.**

The low recovery of TSWV mRNAs primed with the AMV RNA4 mutant G15 (Figure 4A) could be due to both decreased efficiency of priming on the penultimate residue and decreased replication of this mutant in p12 plants. To circumvent the second complication, we extended our *in vivo* studies to host mRNA leaders used by TSWV for transcription initiation. Two different host (*Nicotiana tabacum*) genes from the GenBank database were selected for which the transcription initiation sites were known unequivocally (Table III): the first gene (polyubiquitin: ubi.U4; DDBJ/EMBL/GenBank accession No. X77456) with a predictable cleavage site in view of the single A residue at position 17 and the other (S-adenosylmethionine decarboxylase; SAM-DC; DDBJ/EMBL/GenBank accession No. AF033100) potentially giving a more complicated picture, as its mRNA contained multiple possible cleavage sites between positions 12 and 21 (Table III). Using a specific RT–PCR primer for the leader of the polyubiquitin mRNA, chimeric TSWV mRNAs could indeed be obtained from total RNA of TSWV-infected tobacco plants (Figure 5). Sequence analysis of several clones revealed that the ubi.U4 mRNA was cleaved exclusively at residue 17 (Table III), confirming the results obtained with the AMV leaders. The SAM-DC mRNA also appeared to be cleaved at a single site, but now after a G residue at the preferred nucleotide position 16. All mRNAs containing the SAM-DC leader lacked the terminal A residue of the authentic TSWV sequence (Table III), and this result could only be explained by endonucleolytic cleavage downstream of G16 and subsequent base pairing to the penultimate C residue of the viral template. The use of the SAM-DC leader therefore indicates the plasticity of the cap-snatching mechanism of TSWV: position seems more important than nucleotide identity, as long as the 5'-terminal nucleotide of the snatched leader can base-pair with the ultimate or penultimate residue of the viral template.

From the co-infection studies with AMV (Duijsings et al., 1999), the data obtained using mutant AMV RNA3 and RNA4 donors, and with the two host mRNAs, the conclusion can be drawn that for cap snatching by TSWV, capped leaders are cleaved preferentially behind an A residue, which, moreover, should occur preferentially at or close to position 16 from the cap. Knowing now that a single base complementarity is a prerequisite for accepting the leader of an mRNA as cap donor, previously obtained sequence data on cloned TSWV mRNAs containing host-derived leaders (van Poelwijk et al., 1996) can be used to validate our conclusions. In 80% of the sequences obtained, the host-derived leader sequences fit a mechanism whereby cleavage occurred after an A residue at a distance of 13–21 nucleotides (average length 16 nucleotides). For the other 20%, the leader fit cleavage after a G residue (at a distance of 14–22 nucleotides from the cap, average length 17 nucleotides), allowing priming by base pairing at the penultimate C residue of the TSWV template, a minor alternative also found with the AMV leaders.
Table III. Host leader sequences in TSWV mRNAs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Host gene</th>
<th>Obtained sequence of TSWV mRNA</th>
<th>No. of clones</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ubi.U4</td>
<td>5′GpppAUCCUUUGAUUUCUCUAGUCAGC...</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAM-DC</td>
<td>5′Gppp-AUCAGCAAUUGUAGAAAACGGUG...</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TSWV mRNAs primed by host mRNA leaders were amplified using nested RT–PCR. Individual clones from these amplifications were sequence analysed.

Discussion

Cap snatching, as a general mechanism for transcription initiation among different segmented negative-strand RNA viruses, has been studied by both in vivo and in vitro methods. While some of the data obtained in these experiments suggested that a complementarity or base pairing between the donor RNA and the viral template might be required (Jin and Elliot, 1993a; Garcin et al., 1995; Dobie et al., 1997), other data disagreed with this view (Krug et al., 1980; Hagen et al., 1995). However, both the in vivo and in vitro methods used to study the cap-snatching process had some disadvantages. The in vivo methods were based mainly on analysis of viral mRNAs containing host-derived sequences obtained through 5′-RACE amplification, which implied that it was virtually impossible to determine the sequence of a given cap donor RNA before its use in transcription initiation. The influence of a specific sequence within the cap donor and the exact endonucleolytic cleavage site therefore remained unknown, nor could any alternative cleavage sites within the same cap donor be identified. The in vitro methods, on the other hand, allowed the possibility of supplying cap donors with precisely known features in the cap-snatching mechanism (Bouloy et al., 1980; Ulmanen et al., 1981; Patterson et al., 1984; Galarza et al., 1996), but the conditions under which it would take place might not have reflected the in vivo situation at all.

The approaches described in this study combine the advantage of natural, in vivo conditions with the use of known and even mutable leaders. Specific mutant AMV leaders can be generated easily and inoculated mechanically either as DNA constructs or as in vitro transcripts on transgenic (‘p12’) plants, to become amplified by the AMV p1 and p2 replicase proteins to high levels throughout the plant.

Following this in vivo approach, combined with the in vivo analyses of two selected host mRNAs as cap donors, we could demonstrate that a single base complementarity is required for a capped leader RNA to prime successfully on the viral template. This base pairing should occur preferentially at position +16 of the donor RNA, although all positions between nucleotides 13 and 18 can be used with different efficiencies (Figure 3B). Furthermore, this base pairing can occur not only with the 3′-ultimate A residue of the viral template (apparently the most optimal scenario), but also with the penultimate G and even the antepenultimate A residue, as was observed for an AMV RNA4 A16 leader-primed mRNA, which lacked the first two nucleotides of the authentic TSWV sequence (Table II). When evaluating the sequences of host leader-primed TSWV mRNAs from an earlier study (van Poelwijk et al., 1996), a preference for cleavage at position 16 could be observed with priming on the 3′-ultimate residue of the viral template, as well as a possible priming of the used leaders to the 3′-penultimate residue.

Evidence for realignment of the recruited capped RNA primer on the viral template, resulting in repeated insertions of the first few nucleotides of the viral genomic sequence between leader sequence and (authentic) viral RNA sequence, has hardly been monitored in our studies. Only on one occasion (Table II; AMV-4 A15) was an extra AG dinucleotide insertion found. Such repeated sequences have been observed more frequently with some animal-infecting viruses (e.g. Germiston, Hantaan, Bunyamwera, Dugbe, influenza A and B viruses) (Shaw and Lamb, 1984; Bouloy et al., 1990; Jin and Elliot, 1993a,b; Garcin et al., 1995), as well as with the plant-infecting Tenuiviruses (Huiet et al., 1993; Shimizu et al., 1996; Estabrook et al., 1998). The inserted sequences have been explained first for Hantaan virus as being the result of a ‘prime-and-realign’ mechanism (Garcin et al., 1995). The low frequency of insertions of 5′-terminal viral sequences between leader sequence and viral sequence (van Poelwijk et al., 1996; Duijsings et al., 1999) suggests that initiation of transcription for TSWV occurs at the 3′-ultimate A residue, rather than at the antepenultimate A residue. Therefore, a ‘prime-and-realign’ mechanism seems not to be favoured as a means for initiation of transcription. Future experiments may reveal why repeats of the 5′-terminal nucleotides are rarely seen within the TSWV mRNAs.

Although specific nucleotide composition and distance of the base pairing residue from the 5′ end within the leader play an important role in the efficiency of use as a cap donor, the effects of specific secondary and tertiary structures within the leader have not been investigated yet. If secondary and tertiary structures indeed occur within the 5′ end of the leader during the cap-snatching process, these may influence the physical distance between cap structure...
and possible cleavage sites, thereby altering the optimal site for endonucleolytic cleavage. It is likely, though, that the viral polymerase complex disrupts these secondary and tertiary structures within the 5’ end of the leader. The viral nucleoprotein, a single-strand RNA-binding protein (Richmond et al., 1998) that is part of the viral transcriptase complex, may play a role in this.

In summary, the combined analyses of mutated AMV RNAs and host mRNAs have led to improved insight into the requirements for the length and specific nucleotide composition of cap donors during TSWV transcription initiation. Moreover, it has resolved the base pairing requirement during cap snatching, which may apply to all segmented negative-strand RNA viruses.

### Materials and methods

**Host plants**

Transgenic *N. tabacum* cv. Samsun NN plants expressing AMV replicase proteins P1 and P2 (referred to as p12 plants) were used for in vivo replication of wild-type and mutant AMV RNA3 and RNA4 from cloned cDNAs as described previously (Neeleman et al., 1993).

**Construction of plasmids**

Plasmid pCa32T, which contains a cDNA copy of the wild-type AMV RNA3 flanked by the CaMV 35S promoter and nopaline synthase (nos) terminator (Neeleman et al., 1991), was used as a source to create mutants of AMV RNA3 and RNA4. Mutant AMV RNA3 constructs that contained a point mutation at either position 17 or 18 of the AMV RNA3 sequence were made by amplifying pCa32T using primers n35S (ctctcaatagtaaatcctc, complementary to the 35S promoter) and A3/ D17 (gttaataaccttcgaattagtaac, identical to nucleotides 1–32 of the AMV RNA 3 sequence; D = A, G, or T) or A3/B18 (gttaataaccttc gaattagtaac, the Expand Long template PCR system (Roche). Amplified PCR fragments were purified using the High Pure PCR purification kit (Roche), restriction enzyme digested with *Dpn*I (to destroy input template DNA) and ligated using T4 DNA ligase (Promega). Individual clones were verified by sequence analysis. Point mutants of AMV RNA3 at nucleotide 17 were referred to as C17A (in which nucleotide 17 was changed from the wild-type C residue into an A residue), C17G and C17U. Point mutants of AMV RNA3 at nucleotide 18 are referred to as A18C (where nucleotide 18 was mutated into a C residue), A18G and A18U. Similarly, point mutants in the subgenomic promoter region of AMV RNA4 were derived from pCa32T using primers A4* A* (aaaaaaagcggccattcg, complementary to nucleotide positions 1250–1272 of the AMV RNA3 sequence), A4/A12–A4/A18 (TTTATCTTCAAAATATCTTATG; TTTATCTTCAAAATATCTTATG; TTATCTTCAAAATATCTTATG; TTATCTTCAAAATATCTTATG) (all identical to nucleotide positions 1273–1302 of the wild-type RNA3 sequence). Point mutants of AMV RNA4 are referred to as A12 (containing an A residue at nucleotide 12 of the wild-type RNA4 sequence and U residues at nucleotides 13 and 14), A13, A14, A15, A16, A17, A18 and G15 (Table II). Likewise, mutant RNA4-noA was made, containing a poly(U) tract between nucleotides 12 and 18 of the wild-type RNA4 sequence.

**Inoculation of p12 plants**

Transgenic *N. tabacum* cv. Samsun NN plants expressing AMV replicase proteins P1 and P2 (p12 plants) were grown under greenhouse conditions and mechanically inoculated with 35S-cDNA constructs and TSWV strain BR-01 as described previously (Neeleman et al., 1991; Tatschner et al., 1991; Duijings et al., 1999).

**Analyses of AMV-TSWV mRNA sequences**

TSWV N mRNAs containing capped 5’ nucleotide sequences derived from AMV RNA3 and RNA4 were detected and cloned into the pGEM-T vector (Promega) as described previously (Duijings et al., 1999). Briefly, total RNA was isolated from systemically infected leaf material as described by Gurr and McPherson (1992). First-strand cDNA was synthesized from this total RNA and a nested PCR amplification was performed subsequently on the synthesized first-strand cDNA using a primer identical to the first 11 nucleotides of the AMV RNA leader sequences. The PCR products obtained were purified using the High Pure PCR purification kit (Roche) and cloned into pGEM-T (Promega) according to the manufacturer’s procedures. Sequence analysis of the clones obtained was performed using the Sanger dyeoxy method (Amersham-Pharcia).

**Analyses of host leader sequences in TSWV mRNAs**

TSWV N mRNAs containing capped 5’ leader sequences derived from different host (*N. tabacum*) genes were detected by nested RT-PCR and analyzed by sequence determination as described above. In hosts, amplification on first-strand cDNA material was performed with a nested TSWV primer in combination with a primer specific for the first 11 nucleotides of the 5’ end of different host genes. Host genes chosen were a polyubiquitin gene, with corresponding primer UbU4-1 (CCCCGA- TTCATCTTTTGATT) and a SAM-DC gene with corresponding primer SAM-DC-1 (CCCCGATCCAGGAGTCGAA).
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