Human pre-mRNA cleavage factor II\textsubscript{m} contains homologs of yeast proteins and bridges two other cleavage factors
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Six different protein factors are required \textit{in vitro} for 3' end formation of mammalian pre-mRNAs by endonucleolytic cleavage and polyadenylation. Five of the factors have been purified and most of their components cloned, but cleavage factor II\textsubscript{m} (CF II\textsubscript{m}) remained uncharacterized. We have purified CF II\textsubscript{m} from HeLa cell nuclear extract by several chromatographic steps. During purification, CF II\textsubscript{m} activity separated into two components, one essential (CF IIA\textsubscript{m}) and one stimulatory (CF IIB\textsubscript{m}) for the cleavage reaction. CF IIA\textsubscript{m} fractions contain the human homologs of two yeast 3' end processing factors, Pcf11p and Clp1p, as well as cleavage factor I\textsubscript{m} (CF I\textsubscript{m}) and several splicing and transcription factors. We report the cloning of hClp1 and show that it is a genuine subunit of CF IIA\textsubscript{m}. Antibodies directed against hClp1 deplete cleavage activity, but not polyadenylation activity from HeLa cell nuclear extract. hClp1 interacts with CF I\textsubscript{m} and the cleavage and polyadenylation specificity factor CPSF, suggesting that it bridges these two 3' end processing factors within the cleavage complex.
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Introduction

Eukaryotic mRNA precursors (pre-mRNAs) undergo a number of processing steps before they are exported to the cytoplasm. Pre-mRNA processing includes the addition of a cap structure to the 5' end, the removal of internal non-coding sequences by splicing and the generation of a new 3' end by endonucleolytic cleavage and polyadenylation. In \textit{vivo}, transcription, capping, splicing and 3' end processing of pre-mRNAs are coupled processes, but they can be analyzed individually \textit{in vitro} (reviewed in Barabino and Keller, 1999; Minvielle-Sebastia and Keller, 1999; Zhao \textit{et al.}, 1999; Hirose and Manley, 2000; Proudfoot, 2000).

The 3' ends of pre-mRNAs are generated in a two-step reaction. The pre-mRNA is first cleaved endonucleolytically and the upstream cleavage fragment is subsequently polyadenylated; the downstream cleavage product is degraded. The two steps of the reaction are tightly coupled \textit{in vivo}, but can be uncoupled and assayed separately \textit{in vitro}, which allowed the biochemical dissection of 3' end formation. Six \textit{trans}-acting factors are required for the \textit{in vitro} reconstitution of mammalian 3' end processing (reviewed in Wahle and Rüegsegger, 1999; Zhao \textit{et al.}, 1999). Cleavage and polyadenylation specificity factor (CPSF) and cleavage stimulation factor (CstF) recognize the hexanucleotide AAUAAA upstream and a U-rich sequence element downstream of the cleavage site, respectively. In addition, the cleavage complex contains cleavage factors I\textsubscript{m} (CF I\textsubscript{m}) and II\textsubscript{m} (CF II\textsubscript{m}) and poly(A) polymerase (PAP). After the first step, CstF, CF I\textsubscript{m} and CF II\textsubscript{m} are thought to be released together with the downstream cleavage fragment; CPSF remains bound to the upstream cleavage product and tethers PAP to the RNA. PAP is the enzyme responsible for the addition of the poly(A) tail in a processive reaction that also requires both CPSF and poly(A)-binding protein II (PABP2).

The sequences of many of the polypeptides involved in 3' end formation are conserved from yeast to mammals, although the \textit{cis}-acting RNA elements are different. It is unclear to what extent the polypeptides that are similar in sequence are also functional homologs (reviewed in Keller and Minvielle-Sebastia, 1997). For example, the two yeast CF IA subunits Rna14p and Rna15p (Minvielle-Sebastia \textit{et al.}, 1997) share sequence similarity with the 77 and 64 kDa subunits of CstF, but are, in contrast to CstF, essential for both cleavage and polyadenylation (Kessler \textit{et al.}, 1996; Amrani \textit{et al.}, 1997; Minvielle-Sebastia \textit{et al.}, 1997). Furthermore, the other two CF IA subunits, Pcf11p and Clp1p, are not homologous to the third subunit of CstF.

With the exception of CF II\textsubscript{m}, all mammalian 3' end processing factors have been purified to homogeneity and cDNA clones for most of their subunits have been isolated. To characterize the last missing component of the mammalian 3' end processing machinery, we purified human CF II\textsubscript{m} from HeLa cell nuclear extract. During purification of CF II\textsubscript{m}, the activity separated into an essential fraction (CF IIA\textsubscript{m}) and an apparently non-essential, but stimulatory component (CF IIB\textsubscript{m}). The most purified CF IIA\textsubscript{m} fractions still contained >15 polypeptides, all of which were identified by microsequencing. The CF IIA\textsubscript{m} fraction contained the human homologs of the yeast CF IA subunits Pcf11p and Clp1p (hClp1 and hPcf11) as well as CF I\textsubscript{m} and several splicing and transcription factors. We have isolated cDNA clones for hClp1 and have raised antibodies against the heterologously expressed protein. We show that hClp1 is a true
subunit of CF IIA<sub>m</sub>, which is required for cleavage, but not for polyadenylation of pre-mRNA. In addition, we found that hClp1 interacts directly with CF I<sub>m</sub> and CPSF.

**Results**

**Purification of CF I<sub>m</sub>**

CF I<sub>m</sub> was purified from HeLa cell nuclear extract (NXT) by seven chromatographic steps (Figure 1). The purification was monitored by testing column fractions for their ability to catalyze the specific cleavage of SV40 late pre-mRNA at the poly(A) site in the presence of CF I<sub>m</sub>, CPSF, CstF and PAP. On the DEAE column, CstF and PAP eluted in the flow-through, whereas CF I<sub>m</sub>, CF I<sub>m</sub> and CPSF bound. Both CF I<sub>m</sub> and CPSF were found to co-elute with CF I<sub>m</sub> activity on DEAE–Sepharose and Blue Sepharose columns. CF I<sub>m</sub> and CF I<sub>m</sub> could be partially separated by chromatography on S Sepharose, Mono S and phenyl Superose columns (Rüegsegger et al., 1996 and results not shown). During chromatography on phenyl Superose, CF I<sub>m</sub> separated into two components: CF IIA<sub>m</sub>, which bound, and CF IIB<sub>m</sub>, which eluted in the flow-through. Addition of CF IIB<sub>m</sub> to <i>in vitro</i> cleavage assays with SV40 late or L3 pre-mRNA as substrate increases cleavage activity significantly, but apparently is not essential for cleavage <i>in vitro</i> as the reaction also occurs in its absence (Figure 2A and B). CF IIB<sub>m</sub> has no CF I<sub>m</sub> activity on its own (negative control in the presence of CF IIB<sub>m</sub> in Figure 2A) as well as no effect on specific and unspecific polyadenylation of pre-cleaved L3 RNA (results not shown). None of the factors known to affect 3′ end processing could be detected in CF IIB<sub>m</sub> by western blot analysis with specific antibodies (results not shown; the following proteins were tested: CF I<sub>m</sub>, CstF, CPSF, PAP, PABP1, PABP2, hClp1, hPcf11, CBP 80 kDa, U2AF65, PTB, U1A and RNA polymerase II). Thus, CF IIB<sub>m</sub> is most probably a new factor that stimulates the first step of 3′ end processing.

Since CF IIA<sub>m</sub> is essential for cleavage of pre-mRNA, we purified it further. Even after seven chromatographic steps, CF IIA<sub>m</sub> still contained >15 polypeptides (Figure 2C). Among these, one polypeptide of 47 kDa and a group of large molecular mass polypeptides (140–200 kDa) co-eluted with CF IIA<sub>m</sub> activity on a Mono Q column. CF IIA<sub>m</sub> contained significant amounts of CF I<sub>m</sub>, but was free of CPSF, which separated on the
Two polypeptides in CF II\textsubscript{m} are homologous to proteins involved in yeast 3' end formation

The proteins contained in a peak fraction of the final column (Mono Q) were fractionated on a 10% SDS-polyacrylamide gel and sequenced by peptide fingerprinting with MALDI-TOF-MS and with MS/MS peptide fragmentation (Figure 3). The Mono Q fraction contained the 3' end processing factor CF \textsubscript{II} and several known splicing factors: both subunits of U2AF, U2AF65 (Zamore \textit{et al.}, 1992) and U2AF35 (Zuo and Maniatis, 1996), the polypyrimidine tract-binding protein (PTB; Patton \textit{et al.}, 1991), the PTB-associated splicing factor (PSF; Patton \textit{et al.}, 1993) and a human homolog of yeast PRP8 (Imamura \textit{et al.}, 1998). This CF II\textsubscript{m} fraction also contained the transcription factors TFIIH p52 (Marinoni \textit{et al.}, 1997) and TFIIH p89 (Weeda \textit{et al.}, 1990) as well as a DNA repair protein (mMre11; Petrini \textit{et al.}, 1995). In addition, two membrane proteins, a tight junction protein (ZO-1; reviewed in Mitic and Anderson, 1998) and the GPI-anchored protein p137 (Gessler \textit{et al.}, 1996), were identified. Although present in the peak fractions containing CF II\textsubscript{m} activity, all these polypeptides eluted in a broader range than CF II\textsubscript{n}.

A group of polypeptides of \~{}200 kDa and a 47 kDa band co-eluted precisely with CF II\textsubscript{m} activity and were found to have sequence similarities to subunits of the yeast 3' end processing factor CF IA (Amrani \textit{et al.}, 1997; Minvielle-Sebastia \textit{et al.}, 1997): all polypeptides with masses between 140 and 200 kDa showed homology to Pcf11p and accordingly were named human Pcf11 (hPcf11). Since the relative amounts of these polypeptides varied between different Mono Q columns, whereas the patterns of polypeptides of <130 kDa were similar, the smaller polypeptides are likely to be degradation products of a larger protein. Database searches led to the identification of a partial cDNA sequence of hPcf11 (DDBJ/EMBL/GenBank accession No. AB020631). Within this part, hPcf11 and yeast Pcf11p have two common domains, which share 24 and 31\% identity, respectively. Furthermore, hPcf11 contains an additional domain, which is not present in the yeast homolog (I.Kaufmann and H.de Vries, unpublished results). We are currently in the process of isolating a full-length cDNA clone encoding hPcf11.

The 47 kDa protein showed sequence similarity to yeast Clp1p (Minvielle-Sebastia \textit{et al.}, 1997) and was therefore named human Clp1 (hClp1). The hClp1 open reading frame (ORF) was identified originally at one extremity of a chromosomal inverted insertion in the gene AF10 and was defined as ‘human homologue to a hypothetical \textit{Caenorhabditis elegans} ATP/GTP-binding protein’ (HEAB; Tanabe \textit{et al.}, 1996). hClp1 (TREMBL accession Q92989) has a total of 425 amino acids and a predicted mass of 47 kDa, in good agreement with the sizes of the two polypeptides identified by microsequencing. The smaller 47 kDa protein is most probably a degradation product of the larger polypeptide, since often only one 47 kDa protein was observed by Mono Q chromatography.

hClp1 is evolutionarily conserved, and homologous proteins can be found in several organisms (Figure 4). The amino acid sequence of hClp1 shows 55, 47, 34, 39, 29 and 23\% identity with \textit{Drosophila melanogaster}, \textit{C.elegans}, \textit{Arabidopsis thaliana} 1, \textit{A.thaliana} 2, \textit{Schizosaccharomyces pombe} and \textit{Saccharomyces cerevisiae} Clp1p. Of these polypeptides, only the function of \textit{S.cerevisiae} Clp1p is known, and it was shown to be involved in 3' end processing (Minvielle-Sebastia \textit{et al.}, 1997). All Clp1p homologs are similar over the entire length and contain the Walker A and B motifs, which have been implicated in ATP/GTP binding (Figure 4; reviewed in Walker \textit{et al.}, 1982; Saraste \textit{et al.}, 1990). The conservation of these motifs between species suggests that Clp1p is a nucleotide-binding protein, and preliminary data suggest that hClp1 indeed binds GTP (our unpublished UV cross-linking experiments). The amino acid
sequence of hClp1 does not show any known nuclear localization signal. However, western blot analysis with hClp1 antisera showed that hClp1 could be detected in nuclear, but not in cytoplasmic extract (results not shown).

**hClp1 is an essential CF IIA\textsubscript{m} subunit**

We isolated the hClp1 ORF by PCR from HeLa cDNA and expressed recombinant proteins carrying either a histidine tag or a GST tag followed by a TEV protease cleavage site (GST–TEV-hClp1) in *Escherichia coli* (Nishi et al., 1998). Analysis of Mono Q fractions on a western blot with antibodies raised against the His-tagged protein (α-hClp1) showed that the 47 kDa polypeptide co-eluting with CF IIA\textsubscript{m} activity is indeed hClp1 (Figure 5).

To test whether hClp1 is a true subunit of CF IIA\textsubscript{m}, we carried out immunodepletion experiments. HeLa cell NXT or partially purified CF IIA\textsubscript{m} fractions were depleted with α-hClp1 bound to protein A-Sepharose. The depleted supernatants were assayed for cleavage of SV40 late pre-mRNA. No CF IIA\textsubscript{m} cleavage activity could be detected in the supernatants after incubation with α-hClp1 (Figure 6A, lanes 3, 12 and 13). Full cleavage activity could be restored by the addition of purified CF IIA\textsubscript{m} (lanes 4, 5, 14 and 15). After incubation with pre-immune serum bound to protein A-Sepharose, cleavage activity was only slightly reduced in the supernatants (lanes 2, 9, 10 and 11), which was caused by dilution. As control for the depletion of hClp1, we carried out western blot analysis, which revealed that the HeLa cell NXT as well as the CF IIA\textsubscript{m} fractions were depleted of hClp1 with the antibody, but not after incubation with the pre-immune serum (results not shown).

From the polypeptides identified in purified CF IIA\textsubscript{m}, CF I\textsubscript{m}, and hPcf11 co-immunoprecipitated with hClp1 from HeLa cell NXT, and CF I\textsubscript{m}, hPcf11, U2AF and TFIIH p89 from purified CF IIA\textsubscript{m} (results not shown and see below). Whether the other polypeptides in CF I\textsubscript{m} also co-immunoprecipitate with hClp1 from purified fractions could not be determined for technical reasons, with the exception of ZO-1, which was never observed to co-immunoprecipitate.
Fig. 5. hClp1 co-elutes with CF II<sub>1m</sub> activity from a Mono Q column. In contrast to the profile shown in Figure 2B, the load of this column was a pool from a phenyl Superose column and the Mono S and NTA steps were omitted. (A) Aliquots of 10 μl of the column fractions indicated at the bottom were separated on a 10% SDS–polyacrylamide gel and blotted onto a nitrocellulose membrane, which was detected with α-hClp1. The molecular masses of the size standards in kDa are indicated on the left. (B) Cleavage activity profile of the Mono Q column. Assays were carried out as described in Materials and methods for 85 min at 30°C with 2 μl of the fractions indicated at the bottom and L<sub>2</sub> pre-mRNA as substrate. Samples were analyzed on a denaturing 6% (w/v) polyacrylamide gel. Sizes of standards in nucleotides are indicated on the left. (A) Immunodepletion experiments with partially purified CF II<sub>1m</sub> (lanes 1–5) and dialyzed NTX (lanes 8–15). Lanes 1–5, SV40 late pre-mRNA was incubated in the presence of CF II<sub>1m</sub>, CstF, CPSF, PAP and the CF II<sub>1m</sub> fraction indicated. Lane 1, 4 μl of CF II<sub>1m</sub> (phenyl Superose column fraction, input of the immunodepletion experiments); lane 2, 4 μl of CF II<sub>1m</sub> depleted with pre-immune serum; lane 3, 4 μl of CF II<sub>1m</sub> depleted with α-hClp1; lane 4, 2 μl of CF II<sub>1m</sub> depleted with α-hClp1 plus 2 μl of CF II<sub>1m</sub> (phenyl Superose column fraction, same as input); lane 5, 2 μl of CF II<sub>1m</sub> depleted with α-hClp1 plus 4 μl of CF II<sub>1m</sub> (phenyl Superose column fraction, same as input); lanes 6 and 7, SV40 late pre-mRNA incubated in the absence of protein factors; lane 8, 3 μl of NTX (input of the immunodepletion experiments); lanes 9–11, 3 μl of NTX depleted with increasing amounts of pre-immune serum (5, 10 and 15 μl of beads, respectively); lanes 12 and 13, 3 μl of NTX depleted with increasing amounts of α-hClp1 (5 and 10 μl of beads, respectively); lanes 14 and 15, 3 μl of NTX depleted with α-hClp1 (10 μl of beads) to which increasing amounts of CF II<sub>1m</sub> were added (2 and 4 μl of a phenyl Superose fraction). (B) hClp1 is not essential for polyadenylation in vitro. Extracts depleted with pre-immune serum or α-hClp1 were tested for cleavage (lanes 1–3) and polyadenylation (lanes 5–10). Polyadenylation assays were carried out as described in Materials and methods for 30 min at 30°C with L3 pre-cleaved mRNA as substrate. All samples were analyzed on denaturing 6% (w/v) polyacrylamide gels. Lanes 1, 5 and 6, 2 μl of dialyzed NTX (input of the immunodepletion experiments); lanes 2, 7 and 8, 2 μl of NTX depleted with pre-immune serum; lanes 3, 9 and 10, 2 μl of NTX depleted with α-hClp1; lane 4, SV40 late pre-mRNA; lane 11, L3 pre-cleaved mRNA.

Although CF I<sub>m</sub> co-immunoprecipitated with hClp1, addition of purified CF I<sub>m</sub> to HeLa cell NTX depleted with α-hClp1 was unable to restore cleavage activity (results not shown). Therefore, depletion of hClp1 from HeLa cell NTX is likely to be directly responsible for the inactivation of cleavage activity, indicating that hClp1 is a genuine CF II<sub>1m</sub> component. However, addition of recombinant hClp1 protein alone or in combination with CF I<sub>m</sub> to HeLa cell NTX after depletion with α-hClp1 could not rescue cleavage activity. In addition, recombinant protein was also unable to replace purified CF II<sub>1m</sub> in <i>in vitro</i> cleavage assays (results not shown), suggesting that hClp1 alone is not sufficient to restore cleavage activity. hClp1 is therefore probably not the only subunit of CF II<sub>1m</sub>, hPcf11 is the most likely candidate for a second subunit, since it is co-eluting with cleavage activity, co-immunoprecipitating with hClp1 and is homologous to the yeast 3’ end processing factor Pcf11 (I. Kaufmann and H. de Vries, unpublished results).

Addition of CF II<sub>1m</sub> or His-tagged hClp1 to specific polyadenylation assays showed that neither CF II<sub>1m</sub> nor the recombinant protein has a significant effect on polyadenylation (results not shown). Consistent with these findings, depletion of hClp1 from HeLa cell NTX did not impair specific polyadenylation activity, although cleavage activity was abolished (Figure 6B).

**hClp1 interacts with CF I<sub>m</sub> and CPSF**

Both CPSF and CF I<sub>m</sub> co-purify with CF II<sub>1m</sub> over several chromatographic steps. To test whether hClp1 specifically interacts with CF I<sub>m</sub> and CPSF, immunoprecipitation experiments were carried out with α-hClp1 and HeLa cell NTX (Figure 7A). The immunoprecipitated proteins were tested for the presence of CF I<sub>m</sub> and CPSF by western blot analysis with antibodies directed against one of their
cleavage site was incubated with purified CF Iₘ or with purified CPSF and then bound to glutathione–Sepharose. Subsequent incubation of the extensively washed beads with TEV protease released not only hClp1, but also CF Iₘ (Figure 7B, lane 3) and CPSF (Figure 7B, lane 6). Immunodepletion of CF IIₘ fractions with 68 kDa α-CF Iₘ strongly reduced their activity, which might be due to partial co-immunodepletion of CF IIₘ with CF Iₘ (results not shown). From these results, we conclude that hClp1 tethers CF Iₘ and CPSF to CF IIAₘ; CstF and PAP were not immunoprecipitated with α-hClp1 from HeLa cell NXT (Figure 7A). In line with these results, purified CstF and bovine PAP did not bind to GST–TEV-tagged hClp1 (Figure 7B).

Taken together, these results strongly suggest that hClp1 is a true subunit of mammalian CF IIAₘ, which is essential for cleavage, but not for polyadenylation of pre-mRNA and which makes protein–protein contacts to CF Iₘ and CPSF.

Discussion

**CF IIₘ separates into two factors**

We report the partial purification of CF IIₘ from HeLa cell NXT. Upon fractionation, CF IIₘ separates into two factors, CF IIAₘ and CF IIBₘ. CF IIAₘ is an essential cleavage factor, whereas CF IIBₘ is an apparently non-essential stimulatory cleavage activity (Figure 2). CF IIBₘ has no effect on polyadenylation and contains no factor previously shown to be involved in 3' end processing. We conclude from these results that CF IIBₘ is a new 3' end processing factor. Purified CF IIAₘ contains polypeptides homologous to subunits of the yeast 3' end processing factor CF IA, hPcf11 and hClp1. In addition, significant amounts of CF Iₘ as well as several splicing factors and components of the transcription machinery were present in the purified CF IIAₘ preparation (see below).

**hClp1 and hPcf11 are subunits of CF IIAₘ**

The fact that multiple polypeptides were identified as hClp1 and hPcf11 by protein sequencing suggests that the proteins were either partially degraded during purification or that they are modified post-translationally. It is also possible that isoforms of hPcf11 and hClp1 are generated by alternative splicing. Alternative splicing of 3' end processing factors would not be unprecedented (for example, see Zhao and Manley, 1996).

Several lines of evidence indicate that hPcf11 and hClp1 are true subunits of CF IIAₘ. First, these are the only two polypeptides that co-eluted precisely with CF IIAₘ activity on all chromatographic columns. All other polypeptides, although present in significant amounts in purified CF IIAₘ, can be partially separated from CF IIAₘ activity. This suggests that they may be associated with CF IIAₘ, but are not essential for CF IIAₘ activity. Secondly, many components of the yeast and mammalian 3' end processing apparatus are conserved. Therefore, the fact that the two proteins share significant sequence similarities with the Pcf11p and Clp1p subunits of yeast CF IA (Kessler et al., 1996; Minvielle-Sebastia et al., 1997) strongly suggests that the two human homologs are part of the 3' end processing apparatus in mammals. Intriguingly, yeast Clp1p and Pcf11p interact with each constituent subunits, α-hClp1 precipitated CF Iₘ and CPSF along with hClp1 (Figure 7A, lanes 2 and 5), suggesting that these factors interact with each other either directly or indirectly. These interactions were not mediated by RNA, since both factors were also immunoprecipitated from HeLa cell NXT treated with RNase A and micrococcal nuclease (results not shown).

To test for a direct physical interaction, recombinant hClp1 carrying a GST tag followed by a TEV protease

**Fig. 7. CF Iₘ and CPSF interact with hClp1.** (A) Western blot analysis of immunoprecipitations with α-hClp1 and pre-immune serum, respectively. Proteins were separated on 10% SDS–polyacrylamide gels, blotted onto nitrocellulose membranes, and the blots were probed with α-CF Iₘ, α–CPSF, α–CstF or α–PAP, respectively. Lane 1, 1 μl of NXT (10% of the input of the immunoprecipitations); lane 2, 40% of the immunoprecipitate (α-hClp1); lane 3, 40% of the immunoprecipitate (pre); lanes 4, 7 and 10, 3 μl of NXT (3% of the input of the immunoprecipitations); lanes 5, 8 and 11, 50% of the immunoprecipitate (α-hClp1); lanes 6, 9 and 12, 50% of the immunoprecipitate (pre). (B) Binding experiments with GST–TEV-tagged hClp1 were carried out as described in Materials and methods. The samples were separated on a 10% SDS–polyacrylamide gel and blotted onto a nitrocellulose membrane, which was probed with α-CF Iₘ, α–CPSF, α–CstF or α–PAP, respectively. Lane 1, 5 μl of CF Iₘ (30% of input); lane 2, 14 μl of the mock digest (28%); lane 3, 14 μl of the digest with TEV protease (28%); lane 4, 5 μl of CPSF (30% of input); lane 7, 5 μl of CstF (10% of input); lane 10, 3 μl of PAP (10% of input); lanes 5, 8 and 11, 14 μl of the mock digest (20%); lanes 6, 9 and 12, 14 μl of the digest with TEV protease (20%). The molecular masses of the size standards in kDa are indicated on the left.
other in a two-hybrid assay (Uetz et al., 2000). The presence of both mammalian homologs in the same complex throughout all purification steps suggests a direct interaction between the two polypeptides. Thirdly, hClp1 interacts with the known 3’ end processing factors CF I m and CPSF. Finally, immunodepletion of CF IIA m fractions with a polyclonal antibody directed against recombinant hClp1 abolishes CF IIA m activity.

**hClp1 bridges two 3’ end processing factors**

Results from immunoprecipitation experiments and from interaction studies with purified components suggest that hClp1 interacts directly with CF I m and CPSF, but not with CstF or PAP (Figure 7). Based on these data and in analogy to the yeast CF IA factor, we propose that core CF IIA m is composed of hfCsf11 and hClp1, which are likely to interact directly, and that hClp1 contacts CF I m and CPSF within the cleavage complex.

While CF IIA m contains no CPSF after seven chromatographic steps, we were unable to obtain CF IIA m free of CF I m, although the bulk of CF I m is separated from CF IIA m during purification. It is therefore likely that CF I m is more abundant than CF IIA m in cells. This may also be true for CPSF since it co-immunoprecipitates with CF IIA m from HeLa cell NXT but activity can be restored by adding CF IIA m that is essentially free of CPSF.

HeLa cell NXT depleted of hClp1 with polyclonal antibodies is not significantly reduced in polyadenylation activity. Therefore, mammalian CF IIA m is essential for cleavage, but not for polyadenylation of pre-mRNA. This is in contrast to yeast CF IA, which is essential for both cleavage and polyadenylation (Kessler et al., 1996; Minville-Sebastia et al., 1997). Thus, despite the sequence conservation of the polypeptides, the function of the homologous proteins seems to differ between these organisms. This is also true for the other two subunits of yeast CF IA: their mammalian homologs are subunits of CstF. CstF is essential for cleavage of all pre-mRNAs, but has also been shown to stimulate polyadenylation of at least one transcript (Moreira et al., 1998). It is therefore conceivable that during evolution certain polypeptides became essential for cleavage only but still stimulate polyadenylation. Whether this is a more general phenomenon remains to be shown.

Although we believe we now know the amino acid sequences of all polypeptides involved, the identity of the endonuclease remains elusive. Most polypeptides are apparently involved in the recognition of the cis-acting elements or in holding the complex together. It is therefore conceivable that the endonuclease is present as a substoichiometric ‘contamination’ in one of the purified factors or has not been recognized as the endonuclease.

**CF IIA m and CF I m may connect 3’ end processing to transcription and splicing**

In addition to the proteins discussed above, which are directly involved in pre-mRNA 3’ end formation, CF IIA m fractions also contain two transcription factors, TFIIH p52 and TFIIH p89, subunits of the TFIIH complex. Another subunit of TFIIH, CDK7, is a cyclin-dependent kinase that has been shown to phosphorylate the C-terminal domain (CTD) of RNA polymerase II (Lu et al., 1992).

Intriguingly, addition of RNA polymerase II stimulates cleavage of pre-mRNA in vitro in the absence of transcription (Hirose and Manley, 1998). Whether the association of CF IIA m with two TFIIH subunits is functionally significant and whether it modulates the kinase activity of TFIIH remain to be investigated, but this would fit well with the findings that transcription and 3’ end processing are tightly coupled in vivo.

Kleiman and Manley (1999) have recently identified the BRCA1-associated protein BARD1 based on its ability to interact with the 50 kDa unit of CstF. BARD1 inhibits the first step of 3’ end processing. It binds to the CTD and co-localizes with DNA repair factors in vivo. These authors proposed that BARD1 might prevent premature polyadenylation of nascent transcripts associated with RNA polymerases stalled at sites of DNA damage. The presence of two TFIIH subunits and the BRCA1-associated protein hMre11 in CF IIA m supports this idea, since both TFIIH and hMre11 are involved in DNA repair (reviewed in Petriti et al., 1995; Frit et al., 1999).

Results from both in vitro and in vivo experiments supporting physical and functional interactions between the splicing and polyadenylation machineries have accumulated over the last few years (reviewed in Barabino and Keller, 1999; Zhao et al., 1999). The splicing factors PTB, PSF, a PRPS homolog as well as both U2AF subunits were identified in the CF IIA m fraction. In addition to the fact that CF I m, U2AF and PTB partially co-purify with CF IIA m, the two splicing factors also co-immunoprecipitate with CF I m from HeLa cell NXT (results not shown). Since SR proteins have been shown to interact with each other via their RS domains (Wu and Maniatis, 1993), sequence elements that are also present in both U2AF and CF I m, a direct interaction of U2AF and CF I m is likely. CF I m might therefore physically link the splicing and 3’ end processing machineries by interacting simultaneously with U2AF (and possibly other spliceosomal SR proteins) and hClp1. Thus, CF IIA m and CF I m might be involved in the coupling of transcription and splicing with 3’ end processing.

While the presence of splicing and transcription factors in CF IIA m fits well with our current understanding of RNA maturation, the significance of the presence of two membrane proteins, the GPI-anchored protein p137 and the tight junction protein ZO-1, is less clear. It remains to be shown whether the presence of ZO-1 and the GPI-anchored protein in purified CF IIA m is coincidental or whether these proteins add a new aspect to the production of mRNA.

**Materials and methods**

**Cleavage and polyadenylation assays**

All proteins for in vitro assays were purified as described (Rüeggsegger et al., 1996). RNAs used as substrates for cleavage assays were prepared by in vitro transcription (Rüeggsegger et al., 1996). Cleavage assays were carried out and quantitated (units) according to Rüeggsegger et al. (1996, 1998). One unit of CF IIA m activity corresponds to 1 fmol of upstream cleavage product formed during the time indicated. Purification factor and yield of the CF IIA m purification were estimated as described previously (Rüeggsegger et al., 1996). Specific in vitro polyadenylation assays were carried out with CPSF and PAP in the presence of MgCl₂ (Wahlé, 1991). No PABP2 was added to the polyadenylation assays.
**SDDS-PAGE and western blot analysis**

SDDS—PAGE and western blot analysis were carried out according to standard procedures and the proteins on the blots were detected with the ECL system (Amersham). The antibodies directed against 68 kDa CE Iα (α-CE Iα 170 kDa), 25 kDa CE Iα (α-CE Iα 135 kDa), 100 kDa CEPSF (α-CEPSF 190 kDa), 13 kDa CEPSF (α-CEPSF 13 kDa) and 30 kDa CEPSF (α-CEPSF 30 kDa) were described previously (Jeny et al., 1994, 1996; Barabino et al., 1997; Rüegsegger et al., 1998). Antibodies directed against 64 kDa CsdF (α-CsdF 64 kDa) and PAP (α-PAP) were kindly provided by Clinton McDonald and Georges Martin, respectively.

**Purification of CE Iα**

The purification of CE Iα is shown schematically in Figure 1. All procedures were carried out at 0–4°C and the loads were spun immediately before applying to the columns. Between purification steps, the samples were frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at –80°C. All buffers used during purification contained 50 mM Tris–HCl pH 7.9, 0.4 mM Na2EDTA pH 8.0, 0.02% (v/v) NP-40, 10% (v/v) glycerol, 3 mM MgCl2, 0.5 mM dithiothreitol (DTT), 0.5 mM phenylmethyl-sulfonyl fluoride (PMSF; Serva), 0.4 µg/ml leupeptin hemisulfate (Fluka), 0.7 µg/ml pepstatin (Bachem) and ammonium molybdate (Life Technologies Inc.) or KCl at the concentrations indicated. Column fractions were assayed for CE Iα, CE Iαα and CE Iβα activities as appropriate. In addition, many column fractions were tested for the presence of CE Iα and CEPSF by western blot analysis with α-CE Iα 170 kDa and α-CEPSF 190 kDa antibodies, respectively. HeLa cell NXT were prepared as described (Rüegsegger et al., 1996), but buffers contained 3 mM MgCl2 instead of 1.5 mM. A 390 µl aliquot of NXT was diluted with buffer without ammonium molybdate to the conductivity of a buffer containing 30 mM ammonium sulfate. A 4.1 µl aliquot (3.5 g of protein) of diluted NXT was applied to a Bio-Rad chromatography fast flow column equilibrated in 20 mM ammonium sulfate buffer. The column was washed with 1 column volume of the same buffer and developed with a gradient (3.5 column volumes) of 20–300 mM ammonium sulfate at 1 l/h.

Fractions containing CE Iα and CE Iαα, eluting between 90 and 150 mM ammonium sulfate, were pooled (980 ml, 15 g of protein) and dialyzed for 8 h against 3 x 15 l of buffer containing 25 mM ammonium sulfate and 20 mM HEPES–KOH pH 7.9 instead of Tris–HCl pH 7.9. The dialyzed pool was loaded onto three Sepharose columns (Pharmacia) of 35, 75 and 150 ml, respectively, equilibrated in the dialysis buffer. The columns were washed with 1.4 column volumes of the same buffer and eluted with gradients (5 column volumes) of 25–380 mM ammonium sulfate at 1.5 column volumes/h. The CE Iαα fractions from all three columns, eluting between 150 and 260 mM ammonium sulfate, were combined (900 ml, 300 mg of protein) and dialyzed against 16 l of buffer containing 20 mM ammonium sulfate three times for 2 h. The dialyzed pool was loaded onto a 150 ml Blue Sepharose column (Bienroth et al., 1991) at 1.5 column volumes/h. The column was washed with 3 column volumes of PBS and eluted with a gradient of 0.2–3.0 M KCl (5 column volumes). Fractions showing CE Iα activity were pooled (0.2–1.4 M KCl; 170 ml, 128 mg of protein) and dialyzed for 6 h against 5 l of 2 M KCl buffer. The pool was separated into two portions of 85 ml (64 mg of protein) and each portion was applied separately to an 8 ml phenyl Superox HR 10/10 FPLC column (Pharmacia) equilibrated in the dialysis buffer. The column was washed with 10 ml of the same buffer and developed with a 100 ml gradient from 0 to 0 M KCl at 0.7 ml/min.

On the phenyl Superox column, CE Iαα was separated into two activities, CE Iααα (gradient) and CE Iβαα (flow-through). CE Iβαα fractions were not purified further. Fractions from both columns containing CE Iααα activity were combined (1.4–0.3 M KCl; 60 ml, 40 mg of protein) and dialyzed for 2 h against 0.2 l of buffer containing 140–260 mM ammonium sulfate; 30 ml, 12 mg of protein) and dialyzed for 2 h against 2.1 l of buffer with 50 mM KCl containing no EDTA and no DTT. The dialyzed pool was incubated on a rotating wheel for 2 h with 2 ml of Ni-NTA (Superflow, Qiagen) equilibrated in the same buffer. The resin was washed first with 8 ml of 50 mM KCl buffer, then twice with 0.5 x 50 mM KCl buffer containing 10 mM imidazole and packed into a column. A 7 ml gradient of 10–250 mM imidazole was applied at a flow rate of 0.1 ml/min. Active fractions, eluting between 60 and 250 mM imidazole, were pooled (5 ml, 3 mg of protein) and dialyzed against 11 of 20 mM ammonium sulfate buffer for 2 h. The dialyzed pool was applied to a 1 ml Mono Q HR 5/5 FPLC column (Pharmacia) equilibrated in 20 mM ammonium sulfate buffer. The column was washed with 5 column volumes of the same buffer and developed with a gradient (35 column volumes) of 20–300 mM ammonium sulfate buffer at 0.5 ml/min. CE Iαα eluted between 120 and 190 mM ammonium sulfate. These fractions were used to identify the polypeptides in CE Iαα by mass spectrometry and western blot analysis (α-CE Iα 170 kDa). Fractions from a small-scale purification similar to the one described here are shown in Figure 2. Their protein composition is very similar to that of the fraction shown in Figure 3 and the apparent higher complexity of the fraction shown in Figure 4 is only due to different protocols used for the analysis by SDDS-PAGE.

**Identification of polypeptides by mass spectrometry**

A peak fraction of the final Mono Q column was loaded onto a 10% SDS–polyacrylamide gel. All polypeptides were digested in situ with endoproteinase Lys-C or trypsin (Fournotulakis and Langen, 1997).

**Nanoelectrospray tandem mass spectrometry.** Unseparated peptide mixtures obtained after tryptic digestions of unknown proteins were analyzed by nanoelectrospray tandem mass spectrometry (Wilm and Mann, 1996). Tryptic peptides were extracted as described (Fournotulakis and Langen, 1997), desalted, concentrated further on a pulled capillary with a polyethylene glycol–1000 reverse phase column (BioMol) and eluted with 1 µl of 60% methanol in 5% formic acid directly into the nanoelectrospray needle. Electrospray mass spectra were acquired on an API 365 triple quadrupole mass spectrometer (Sciex) equipped with a NanoES source (Wilm and Mann, 1996). Q1 scans were carried out with a 0.2 Da mass step. For operation in the MSMS mode, Q1 ions were set to transmit a window of 2 Da and spectra were accumulated with 0.2 Da mass steps. Resolution was set so that the fragmented masses could be assigned better than 1 Da.

**Matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization mass spectroscopy.** MALDI-MS analysis was carried out as described (Fournotulakis and Langen, 1997) with minor modifications. Briefly, the spots were excised, destained with 30% acetonitrile in 0.1 M ammonium bicarbonate and washed with water. The gel pieces were reswollen with 4 µl of 3 M Tris–HCl pH 8.8 containing 0.2 µg of Lys-C (WAKO) after drying in a speedvac evaporator. The digestion was carried out for 3–12 h at room temperature. A 7 µl aliquot of 50% acetonitrile containing 0.3% trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) was added, and the content was vortexed, centrifuged for 2 min and sonicated for 5 min. A 1 µl aliquot from the separated liquid was mixed with 1 µl of saturated α-cyano cinnamic acid in 50% acetonitrile/0.1% TFA in water and applied to the MALDI target. The samples were analyzed in a time-of-flight Bruker mass spectrometer (Reflex 3) equipped with a reflector and delayed extraction. An acceleration voltage of 20 kV was used. Calibration was internal to the samples. Des-Arg1 bradykinin (Sigma) and ACTH (18–38) (Sigma) were used as standard peptides. The peptide masses obtained for each individual polypeptide were used to search SwissProt, TREMBL and DDBJ/EMBL/GenBank databases.

**Isolation of cDNAs, expression of recombinant proteins and preparation of polyclonal antibodies.** The ORF coding for hCPI was amplified from HeLa cDNA by PCR with the primers 5'-GGAATTCCTACAAGGAGAAAGCCTTA-3' (2.8 pmol) and 5'-CGGGATCCCTACTCAGATCCATGAA-3' (5 pmol), which included NdeI and BamHI sites at the 5' and 3' ends, respectively. The PCR products were ligated into pCR2.1 (Invigene) and sequenced. Constructs were inserted into the NdeI site of the pGEMD1-TEV (from Bernard Dichtl; for N-terminal GST–TEV-tagged hCPI1) or pGM10 (His6) (from Georges Martin; for N-terminal His-tagged hCPI1). Both recombinant proteins were expressed in E.coli BL21 (DE3) pRexS cells. Cells were grown in 2 x 10 cells (GST–TEV-tagged hCPI1) and LB (His-tagged hCPI1), respectively. Protein expression was induced at an OD600 of 0.7 by adding isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactopyranoside to a final concentration of 0.8 mM and the cells were harvested 2–3 h after induction. All solutions used for the purification of the recombinant proteins contained 0.5 mM PMSF, 0.4 µg/ml leupeptin hemisulfate and 0.7 µg/ml pepstatin. To purify GST–TEV-tagged hCPI1, extracts prepared in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) were incubated with reduced glutathione–Sepharose (Pharmacia). The matrix was washed three times with 10 vols of PBS and the protein was eluted with 10 mM reduced glutathione in 50 mM Tris–HCl pH 7.9. The cells expressing the His-tagged protein were resuspended in 100 ml of 50 mM Tris–HCl pH 7.9.
pH 7.9, 10% (v/v) glycerol, 100 mM KCl. His-tagged hCp1 was purified with Ni-NTA (Qiagen) under native conditions according to the manufacturer’s protocols. For the immunization of rabbits, His-tagged hCp1 was separated on a 10% SDS–polyacrylamide gel, excised and eluted. Two New Zealand white rabbits were injected with 100 μg of protein in 0.45 ml of SDS-PAGE running buffer mixed with 0.5 ml of Spercol (ID-DLO). After six injections, the rabbits were terminally bled and antisera prepared according to standard procedures.

**Immunodepletion and immunoprecipitation experiments**

For immunodepletion experiments, 2 ml of α-hCp1 or pre-immune serum were covalently coupled to 300 μl of protein A-Sepharose CL-4B (Pharmacia; Harlow and Lane, 1988). A phenyl Superose CF IIAm fraction or HeLa cell NXX was dialyzed against buffer with 20 mM ammonium sulfate. Then 50 μl of the diazylated fraction or 100 μl of NXX were incubated for 2 h at 4°C with variable amounts (5, 10 and 15 μl) of the beads equilibrated in the same buffer and the supernatants were assayed for CF IIAm activity.

Immunoprecipitations from HeLa cell NXX with hCp1 or pre-immune serum were carried out in 150 mM KCl, 100 mM Tris–HCl pH 7.9, 0.01% NP-40. HeLa cell NXX was incubated for 2 h with the respective antibody covalently coupled to protein A–Sepharose CL-4B. The beads were washed three times in the same buffer and proteins were eluted either with 3 M MgCl₂ or by boiling in SDS–PAGE loading buffer. After separation on 10% SDS–polyacrylamide gels, polypeptides were blotted onto nitrocellulose membranes and the blots were probed with the antibodies indicated.

**Binding experiments with GST–TEV-tagged hCp1**

The purified for end processing factor indicated was incubated with GST–TEV-tagged hCp1 in PBS containing 50 μg/ml bovine serum albumin (BSA) for 1 h at 25°C on a rotating wheel. The mixture was then incubated with 20 μl of glutathione–Sepharose (Pharmacia) for 1 h at 4°C. The slurry was centrifuged and the supernatant removed. The glutathione–Sepharose beads were washed twice with 0.4 ml of 50 mM Tris–HCl pH 7.9, 150 mM KCl, 0.1% NP-40, 50 μg/ml BSA, followed by two washes with 0.4 ml of 50 mM Tris–HCl pH 7.9, 1 mM EDTA pH 8.0, 0.5 μg/ml BSA. The matrix was resuspended in 70 μl of 50 mM Tris–HCl pH 7.9, 1 mM EDTA pH 8.0. Twenty units of TEV protease (Gibco-BRL) were added to half of the beads, while the other half was left untreated, and both samples were incubated for 2 h at 25°C. The eluates were analyzed by western blotting.
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