D-TACC: a novel centrosomal protein required for normal spindle function in the early Drosophila embryo
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We identify Drosophila TACC (D-TACC) as a novel protein that is concentrated at centrosomes and interacts with microtubules. We show that D-TACC is essential for normal spindle function in the early embryo; if D-TACC function is perturbed by mutation or antibody injection, the microtubules emanating from centrosomes in embryos are short and chromosomes often fail to segregate properly. The C-terminal region of D-TACC interacts, possibly indirectly, with microtubules, and can target a heterologous fusion protein to centrosomes and microtubules in embryos. This C-terminal region is related to the mammalian transforming, acidic, coiled-coil-containing (TACC) family of proteins. The function of the TACC proteins is unknown, but the genes encoding the known TACC proteins are all associated with genomic regions that are rearranged in certain cancers. We show that at least one of the mammalian TACC proteins appears to be associated with centrosomes and microtubules in human cells. We propose that this conserved C-terminal ‘TACC’ domain’ defines a new family of microtubule-interacting proteins.
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Introduction

Centrosomes, and the microtubules they nucleate, play a crucial role in organizing many processes in eukaryotic cells (Glover et al., 1993; Kellogg et al., 1994). The dynamic behaviour of microtubules is essential to their function, and drugs that interfere with microtubule dynamics invariably interfere with microtubule function (Jordan et al., 1992). The molecular mechanisms that regulate microtubule dynamics in the cell, however, are still poorly understood (Hyman and Karsenti, 1996; Desai and Mitchison, 1997; Andersen et al., 1999). Experiments in Xenopus extracts have provided strong evidence that several of these factors play important roles in regulating microtubule behaviour during the cell cycle (Gard and Kirschner, 1987; Andersen et al., 1994, 1997; Vasquez et al., 1994; Andersen and Mitchison, 1996; Walczak et al., 1996; Andersen and Karsenti, 1997; Tournebize et al., 1997; Andersen, 1998; Cha et al., 1998; Desai et al., 1999). The role of most of these proteins in regulating microtubule behaviour in vivo, however, is less clear.

Drosophila is a powerful, genetically tractable system in which a number of proteins have been identified that appear to regulate microtubule behaviour in vivo (see, for example, Gatti and Goldberg, 1991; Moritz et al., 1995; Sunkel et al., 1995; Saunders et al., 1997; Williams et al., 1997; Starr et al., 1998; Cullen et al., 1999; do Carmo Avides and Glover, 1999). By passing extracts of early Drosophila embryos over an affinity column of stabilized microtubules, Kellogg et al. (1989) isolated a large number of proteins that associate with microtubules in vitro. Antisera were raised against >20 of these proteins, and most of these antisera recognized proteins that were associated with microtubule structures in vivo. The distribution of the proteins varied: some were associated with centrosomes, either throughout the cell cycle or at specific stages of the cell cycle, while others were associated with spindle microtubules or kinetochores. The genes encoding several of these proteins have now been cloned and characterized, but, in most cases, their functions remain unknown (Kellogg et al., 1995; Whitfield et al., 1995; Kidd and Raff, 1997; Oegema et al., 1997). These studies demonstrate that the repertoire of proteins that interact with microtubules, either directly or indirectly, is likely to be large.

Here we report the cloning of a gene that encodes a Drosophila protein that co-purifies with microtubules in a microtubule spin-down experiment. We show that the protein, Drosophila TACC (D-TACC), is concentrated at centrosomes in vivo, and is essential for mitotic spindle function in the early fly embryo. In embryos where D-TACC function has been perturbed either by mutation or antibody injection, centrosomal microtubules are short. The C-terminal region of D-TACC is related to the mammalian TACC family of proteins. The function of these proteins is unknown, but it has been suggested that they are linked to cancer: the known TACC genes are all in genomic regions that are rearranged in certain cancer cells; TACC3 is overexpressed in some cancer cell lines; and overexpressing TACC1 transforms mouse cells (Still et al., 1999a,b). We show that this conserved C-terminal region targets D-TACC to centrosomes and microtubules in Drosophila, and that at least one mammalian TACC protein appears to be associated with centrosomes and microtubules in human cells. We propose that this con-
Results

D-TACC is a centrosomal protein that interacts with microtubules in fly embryos

We isolated proteins from Drosophila embryo extracts that co-fractionated with the endogenous microtubules in a microtubule spin-down experiment, as described previously (Kellogg et al., 1989; Raff et al., 1993). We raised mouse antisera against several of these proteins, and one of them stained centrosomes strongly and microtubules weakly throughout the cell cycle in fly embryos. This serum recognized an ~220 kDa protein in Western blotting experiments, and we used it to screen a cDNA expression library. A single positive clone was isolated and the cDNA was used to isolate a full-length cDNA that we call Drosophila tacc (d-tacc; see below).

We raised and affinity-purified antibodies against a recombinant D-TACC fusion protein. In embryos, these antibodies strongly stained centrosomes and weakly stained microtubules throughout the cell cycle (Figure 1A–C), just as the initial mouse sera did. D-TACC remained concentrated at centrosomes in embryos that were treated with colchicine to depolymerize their microtubules (Figure 1D), suggesting that it is an integral centrosomal protein (Kalt and Schliwa, 1993). To confirm the distribution of D-TACC, we expressed a full-length D-TACC–green fluorescent protein (GFP) fusion protein in early embryos. The fusion protein...
D-TACC, a centrosomal protein required for spindle function

Fig. 2. (A) The distribution of D-TACC–GFP in a living embryo. Time is shown in minutes and seconds in the top right corner of each panel. In interphase (0.0), the protein is concentrated at centrosomes, but also spreads out in a slightly punctate fashion along the astral microtubules close to the centrosome. In metaphase (9.18), the protein remains concentrated at centrosomes but also associates with the mitotic spindle, where it becomes concentrated in the region of the spindle where the minus ends of the spindle microtubules are slightly separated from the centrosomes (arrow). In anaphase (10.48), the chromosomes (seen as dark shadows on the spindle) move to the poles of the spindle. The concentration of D-TACC–GFP in the region of the detached minus ends of the spindle microtubules is still apparent, and, in some spindles, small dots of D-TACC–GFP may be associating with the kinetochores of the chromosomes as they move towards the spindle poles (arrow). Scale bar, 20 μm. (B) A comparison of the distribution of γ-tubulin (top panel) in a methanol-fixed mitotic spindle and D-TACC–GFP (bottom panel) in the mitotic spindle of a living embryo (see text for details). Scale bar, 10 μm.

was fully functional as it rescued a mutation in the d-tacc gene (see below), and it was highly concentrated at centrosomes throughout the cell cycle in living embryos (Figure 2A). D-TACC–GFP also bound weakly to astral and spindle microtubules, often having a punctate distribution on the microtubules. During mitosis, D-TACC–GFP became slightly concentrated in the region of the spindle where the minus ends of the microtubules were clustered near to, but slightly detached from, the centrosomes (arrows, Figure 2A). In fixed embryos, the centrosomal protein γ-tubulin had a similar distribution during mitosis (Figure 2B). This suggests that D-TACC may interact preferentially with the minus ends of microtubules, as is widely believed to be the case for γ-tubulin.

D-TACC appears to bind indirectly to microtubules via its C-terminal region

In Western blotting experiments, the anti-D-TACC antibodies recognized an ~220 kDa protein (Figure 3A). The majority of this protein (60–80%) co-fractionated with the microtubules that were polymerized in embryo extracts and then pelleted through a sucrose cushion (Figure 3B, panel 1); for comparison, only 20–30% of the γ-tubulin co-pelleted with microtubules in this experiment (Figure 3B, panel 2). The interaction of D-TACC with stabilized microtubules appeared to be specific as the protein in embryo extracts did not co-pellet with actin filaments in microfilament spin-down experiments (not shown). Surprisingly, however, full-length D-TACC, translated in vitro, bound to microtubules only weakly, if at all, in spin-down experiments (Figure 3B, panel 3). To address further how D-TACC interacts with microtubules, we tested whether bacterially expressed and purified glutathione S-transferase (GST) or maltose-binding protein (MBP) fusion proteins

Fig. 3. An analysis of the microtubule-binding and centrosomal localization properties of D-TACC. (A) A Western blot of 0–4 h old embryos probed with affinity-purified anti-D-TACC antibodies. The antibodies recognize a major band of ~220 kDa. They also recognize a number of smaller proteins that appear to be breakdown products of the ~220 kDa protein as overexpressing the D-TACC protein from the full-length D-TACC cDNA increases the level of all of these bands, while a mutation in the D-TACC gene decreases the level of all of these bands (see, for example, Figure 4). (B) Western blots of various microtubule spin-down experiments. S, supernatant; P, pellet (equal fractions of which were loaded in each lane); –T is a control experiment where no taxol was added; and +T is an experiment where taxol was added to polymerize the microtubules. Panels 1 and 2, the endogenous D-TACC or γ-tubulin proteins in embryo extracts. Panel 3, in vitro translated D-TACC mixed with purified tubulin. (On longer exposures of this blot, a small amount of D-TACC was detectable in the pellet, not shown.) Panels 4 and 5, purified MBP–NT and MBP–CT fusion proteins mixed with purified tubulin. Panels 6 and 7, purified MBP–NT and MBP–CT fusion proteins mixed with embryo extracts. The MBP–Mid fusion protein behaved in a similar manner to MBP–NT, while a GST–CT fusion protein behaved in a very similar manner to MBP–CT (not shown). (C) Immunofluorescence staining of a transgenic embryo expressing the GST–CT fusion protein with affinity-purified anti-GST antibodies. In wild-type embryos, these antibodies give only a weak background cytoplasmic staining. In the GST–CT-expressing embryos, the antibodies strongly stain centrosomes and weakly stain microtubules. Scale bar, 10 μm. (D) Immunoprecipitations were performed with extracts made from embryos expressing the GST–CT fusion protein. Anti-D-TACC antibodies (raised against the C-terminal region of D-TACC) precipitate both full-length D-TACC and the GST–CT fusion protein, as expected (lane 2). Anti-GST antibodies precipitate only the GST–CT protein (lane 3), demonstrating that, in embryo extracts, GST–CT does not oligomerize with the endogenous D-TACC protein. (This may explain why the GST–CT fusion protein does not appear to interfere dominantly with the function of the endogenous D-TACC). Random rabbit IgG precipitates neither of these proteins (lane 1). The IgG heavy chain from the antibodies used in the immunoprecipitation is recognized by the anti-rabbit secondary antibodies used to probe this blot, and it is shown here as a loading control (bottom band).
that contained only the N-terminal (NT), middle (Mid) or C-terminal (CT) regions of D-TACC could associate with purified microtubules. None of these proteins bound strongly to microtubules, although a small fraction (~5%) of the GST–CT and MBP–CT fusion proteins reproducibly co-pelleted with microtubules (Figure 3B, panels 4 and 5). If, however, these fusion proteins were mixed with embryo extracts, a significant fraction (~50–60%) of the GST–CT or MBP–CT co-pelleted with microtubules, while none of the other fusion proteins interacted significantly with microtubules (Figure 3B, panels 6 and 7).

A GST–CT fusion protein also bound strongly to microtubules in extracts made from transgenic embryos that expressed the GST–CT fusion protein (not shown). In these transgenic embryos, the fusion protein was also localized in a manner very similar to the endogenous D-TACC protein, being strongly concentrated at centrosomes and more weakly concentrated on microtubules (Figure 3C). As this C-terminal region is predicted to form a coiled-coil structure (see below), it was possible that the GST–CT fusion protein was binding to centrosomes and microtubules in the transgenic embryos as an oligomer with the full-length endogenous D-TACC protein. Immunoprecipitation experiments, however, suggested that GST–CT did not form an oligomer with the endogenous D-TACC, at least in embryo extracts (Figure 3D). Thus, the C-terminal region of D-TACC appears to interact with microtubules and centrosomes in vivo, but a strong interaction with microtubules in vitro appears to require other factors present in the embryo extracts.

**D-TACC is required for mitotic spindle function in the early embryo**

To investigate the function of D-TACC, we isolated a mutation in the d-tacc gene, which we mapped to 82C within the deficiencies Df(3R)110 and Df(3R)ME15. None of the available mutations in this region appeared to be in the d-tacc gene, as none were rescued by the expression of the full-length D-TACC cDNA under the control of the polyubiquitin promoter (Pubq-D-TACC), which gives high levels of expression at all stages of development (Lee et al., 1988). We therefore performed an ethane methylsulfonate (EMS) mutagenesis screen to identify mutations that were either lethal or female sterile in combination with Df(3R)110. We screened ~4000 chromosomes and found that the female sterility of one of the female sterile mutations isolated in this screen was fully rescued by the Pubq-D-TACC or Pubq-D-TACC–GFP transgenes. Western blotting revealed that this female sterile mutant produced an apparently full-length D-TACC protein, but at ~10-fold lower levels than normal (Figure 4). We conclude that the female sterility of these flies is due to a mutation in the d-tacc gene and we call the mutation d-tacc1.

We fixed embryos laid by d-tacc1/Df(3R)110 females (hereafter referred to as D-TACC1 embryos) and examined the distribution of nuclei and microtubules. These embryos fell into two classes. About 30–50% of embryos initiated development but died during early embryogenesis from an accumulation of mitotic defects, with many free centrosomes and polyploid/aneuploid nuclei (Figure 5A). These mitotic defects looked similar in many respects to the defects seen in many other mitotic mutants, but these D-TACC1 embryos displayed one unusual feature: the nuclei often failed to migrate to the cortex and remained tightly clustered in the middle of the embryo (compare Figure 5B and C). Normal nuclear migration requires microtubules (Zalokar and Erk, 1976; Raff and Glover, 1989), and the microtubules emanating from the centrosomes in D-TACC1 embryos often appeared to be much shorter than normal at all stages of the cell cycle, even in embryos that had not yet developed mitotic defects (Figure 5B–E).

The second class of D-TACC1 embryos (50–70%) failed to develop at all, and appeared to arrest at the first mitotic division with a single spindle surrounding an apparently haploid set of chromosomes (Figure 5F). In these embryos, the four female pronuclei seemed to form normally, but none of them migrated to fuse with the male pronucleus, a process dependent on the long astral microtubules nucleated by the sperm centrosome (Callaini and Riparbelli, 1996).

In agreement with the Western blotting results, when D-TACC was visualized by immunostaining in D-TACC1 embryos, it appeared to be present and localized correctly, but at much lower levels than normal (not shown). The centrosomal proteins γ-tubulin (Figure 5D and E), CP60 and CP190 (not shown) were also distributed normally, suggesting that centrosome structure was not grossly altered in D-TACC1 embryos. Similar defects to those shown in Figure 5 were seen in embryos laid by d-tacc1/Df(3R)ME15 females, and these defects were not seen in D-TACC1 embryos laid by mothers carrying a single copy of the Pubq-D-TACC or Pubq-D-TACC–GFP transgene (not shown). Recently, several other female sterile alleles of d-tacc have been identified on the basis of their failure in pronuclear fusion and their early mitotic phenotype (J.Kramer, B.Theurkauf and M.Goldberg, personal communication).

**Spindle microtubules are short in embryos injected with anti-D-TACC antibodies**

The defects seen in D-TACC1 embryos suggested that D-TACC might be involved normally in stabilizing or nucleating centrosomal microtubules, but the primary cause of the defects was difficult to determine from an analysis of a series of fixed embryos. We therefore injected syncytial blastoderm embryos with anti-D-TACC
antibodies that had been labelled with Texas red; these embryos also expressed a tau–GFP fusion protein (Brand, 1995) so that we could follow the behaviour of the microtubules and antibodies at the same time in living embryos.

An injection of Texas red-labelled random IgG antibodies had no effect on the behaviour of microtubules, and the majority of injected embryos hatched as larvae. An injection of anti-D-TACC antibodies, in contrast, severely disrupted microtubule behaviour, and the injected embryos never hatched. The antibodies bound to the centrosomes around the injection site (note the increasingly orange/yellow colour of the centrosomes the closer they are to the injection site; Figure 6A, 1.00). The antibodies also started to form prominent clumps in the cytoplasm around the injection site, suggesting that they might be locally precipitating the D-TACC protein (Figure 6A, 2.20–10.54); this makes it difficult to tell whether the antibody is binding to centrosomes in this area at later time points. As the embryos entered mitosis, the spindles that formed closest to the injection site appeared to contain approximately normal numbers of microtubules, but the microtubules were shorter than normal (Figure 6A and B, 2.20–5.36). These spindles remained short throughout mitosis and they were delayed in exiting mitosis by ~2 min (Figure 6A and B, 5.36–7.24). When the spindles eventually entered anaphase, the chromosomes moved towards the spindle poles (Figure 6A and B, 7.24; the position of the chromosomes can be inferred from their ‘shadows’ on the spindles, highlighted here with arrows), but they were poorly separated on the short spindles and often fused back together forming polyploid nuclei (Figure 6A and B, 10.54).

As a further control, we injected labelled antibodies
against the centrosomal protein CP60. These antibodies also bound to centrosomes, but they did not induce these mitotic defects (not shown).

**The C-terminal region of D-TACC is related to the mammalian TACC proteins**

The sequence of the D-TACC cDNA revealed a single large open reading frame encoding a protein of 1190 amino acids. Analysis of this sequence revealed that the C-terminal \~200 amino acids had a high probability of forming a coiled coil (Figure 7A). In BLAST searches of sequence databases (Altschul et al., 1990), this region of D-TACC identified a large number of coiled-coil proteins, but it was most homologous to the TACC family of proteins (a Blast E-value of between $5 \times 10^{-17}$ and $2 \times 10^{-18}$ compared with $7 \times 10^{-6}$ for the next most closely related coiled-coil protein; Figure 7B). The functions of the TACC proteins are unknown, but the genes encoding the known TACC proteins are all associated with chromosomal rearrangements found in certain human cancers, and it has been proposed that these proteins might play a role in tumourigenesis (Still et al., 1999a,b). TACC1 and TACC3 have been fully sequenced (Still et al., 1999a,b; F.Gergely and J.W.Raff, unpublished results) and TACC2 partially sequenced (F.Gergely and J.W.Raff, unpublished results; I.Still and J.Cowell, personal communication). From the available sequences, the human proteins are highly conserved within the putative coiled-coil domain (60–75% identity), but are much less well conserved outside of this domain. Apart from this putative coiled-coil region, none of the TACC or D-TACC proteins show significant sequence homology to any other proteins in the databases.

To test whether the human TACC proteins might also be associated with centrosomes and microtubules, we raised and affinity-purified antibodies against one of the TACC proteins, TACC2. The antibodies recognized a major band of \~73 kDa in Western blots of HeLa cells (Figure 8A). In both HeLa cells and primary human fibroblasts, the antibodies stained centrosomes throughout the cell cycle as well as the microtubules of the mitotic spindle (Figure 8B), although some protein was also distributed throughout the cytoplasm. Thus, TACC2, like D-TACC, appears to be a centrosomal protein that can interact with microtubules.
embryos where the microtubules have been depolymerized with colchicine. We cannot, however, exclude the possibility that some short microtubules remain associated with centrosomes under these conditions. Moreover, the same C-terminal region of D-TACC that interacts with microtubules in embryo extracts can also target a heterologous fusion protein to centrosomes. Thus, we remain cautious about whether D-TACC associates with centrosomes independently of microtubules.

**D-TACC is essential for spindle function in the early embryo**

To investigate the function of D-TACC, we have isolated a mutation in the D-TACC gene (d-tacc<sup>1</sup>). Flies homozygous for d-tacc<sup>1</sup>, or transheterozygous for d-tacc<sup>1</sup> and a deficiency that uncovers d-tacc, are viable but female sterile. Centrosomal microtubules at all stages of the cell cycle are often shorter than normal in D-TACC1 embryos. Pronuclear fusion, nuclear migration and chromosome segregation are also often defective in these embryos; as all of these processes are thought to require centrosomal microtubules (Zalokar and Erk, 1976; Foe and Alberts, 1983; Raff and Glover, 1989; Baker et al., 1993; Foe et al., 1993; Callaini and Riparbelli, 1996), we suspect that they are defective as a secondary consequence of the abnormally short centrosomal microtubules.

As D-TACC1 embryos almost always develop severe mitotic defects prior to the migration of the nuclei to the cortex, it is not possible to observe microtubules directly at the cortex of living D-TACC1 embryos (Francis-Lang et al., 1999). We have therefore injected labelled anti-D-TACC antibodies into syncytial blastoderm embryos that expressed a tau–GFP fusion protein (Brand, 1995), allowing us to follow the behaviour of the antibodies and microtubules in real-time in living embryos. In these embryos, the injected antibodies bind to the centrosomes, and the microtubules that form around the injection site are shorter than normal. Although chromosomes can move to the poles on these spindles, the chromosomes are often insufficiently separated on the short spindles and polyplody nuclei often form. These effects appear to be specific, as injecting labelled antibodies against CP60 (another centrosomal protein that binds to microtubules; Kellogg et al., 1995) does not cause similar defects, even though the antibodies bind strongly to centrosomes.

Taken together, our results suggest that D-TACC is normally required to organize or stabilize centrosomal microtubules. Although it is not clear how D-TACC functions, the interaction of the protein with microtubules and its concentration at centrosomes suggest that this effect is likely to be direct. One possibility is that D-TACC stabilizes microtubules that are nucleated from the centrosome. It is currently thought that microtubules are nucleated from γ-tubulin ring complexes (γ-TuRCs) in the centrosome (Moritz et al., 1995; Zheng et al., 1995). There is increasing evidence, however, that at least some of these microtubules can be released from their centrosomal nucleation sites, and, in many cell types, the released microtubules can be held in the vicinity of the centrosome by the action of microtubule motor proteins (Hyman and Karsenti, 1996; Keating et al., 1997; Compton, 1998). It is not clear how the minus ends of such free microtubules are stabilized in the cell (Rodionov et al., 1999). Perhaps

**Discussion**

D-TACC is a novel centrosomal protein that can associate with microtubules. Although it binds strongly to microtubules in embryo extracts, full-length D-TACC, translated in vitro, binds only weakly, if at all, to purified microtubules. Purified fusion proteins containing the N-terminal, middle or C-terminal regions of D-TACC also do not bind strongly to purified microtubules, although a small fraction of the C-terminal fusion proteins reproducibly co-pellets with the microtubules. If, however, the C-terminal fusion proteins are mixed with embryo extract, then they strongly interact with microtubules, suggesting that D-TACC interacts with microtubules via its C-terminal region, and that this interaction requires other factors present in the embryo extracts. These factors in the extract may modify D-TACC to enable it to bind to microtubules, or they may bind to microtubules in a complex with D-TACC. We favour the latter possibility, as gel filtration and velocity sedimentation analysis of the endogenous D-TACC in embryo extracts suggest that D-TACC may be part of a larger complex (our unpublished observations).

Although D-TACC can interact with microtubules, its concentration at centrosomes may be independent of this interaction, as it remains concentrated at centrosomes in
D-TACC is involved in this stabilization. In support of this possibility, D-TACC–GFP becomes slightly concentrated in the area of the mitotic spindle where the minus ends of the microtubules are clustered near to, but slightly detached from, the centrosomes. γ-tubulin has a similar distribution in methanol-fixed embryos, raising the possibility that D-TACC might interact preferentially with the minus ends of microtubules, as is thought to be the case for γ-tubulin. We have no direct evidence, however, that D-TACC interacts preferentially with the minus ends of microtubules, and there are several other possible explanations for our results. D-TACC could, for example, be required to nucleate centrosomal microtubules, although D-TACC is unlikely to be a component of the γ-TuRC as there are apparently no proteins of the appropriate size in these complexes (Oegema et al., 1999). Alternatively, D-TACC could somehow serve to prevent the premature release of microtubules from the centrosome, perhaps by regulating the activity of centrosomal microtubule-severing activities (Karsenti, 1993).

Is D-TACC only required in the early embryo? The d-tacc1 mutation is viable but female sterile, and several other female sterile alleles of d-tacc have been isolated independently by other groups on the basis of their mitotic and pronuclear fusion defects (J.Kramer, B.Theurkauf and M.Goldberg, personal communication). These findings suggest that D-TACC may only be essential in the early embryo, perhaps because the rapid mitoses and large spindles of the early embryo impose a more stringent requirement for long spindle microtubules. In support of this possibility, the abnormally short spindles in the antibody-injected embryos are always delayed in exiting mitosis, suggesting that they transiently activate the spindle assembly checkpoint (Wells, 1996). Eventually, however, these spindles complete mitosis, although chromosome segregation often fails on the short spindles. Perhaps, at other stages of development, when mitosis is slower and the spindles no longer occupy a common cytoplasm, the activation of the spindle assembly checkpoint may allow the short spindles time to compensate for the loss of D-TACC function and complete mitosis successfully. On the other hand, our d-tacc1 mutation is unlikely to be a functional null as the mutants produce an apparently full-length protein but at only 10% of normal levels, and the protein is concentrated at centrosomes. The D-TACC protein is detectable at all stages of fly development (J.W.Raff, unpublished observations), and it is possible that a null mutation would be lethal.

**D-TACC is related to the mammalian TACC family of proteins**

The C-terminal ~200 amino acids of D-TACC are predicted to form a coiled-coil structure, and this region is related to the mammalian transforming, acidic, coiled-coil-containing family of proteins. Although the sequence similarity between D-TACC and the three known mammalian TACC proteins is relatively weak (~25–30% identity in the ~200 amino acid C-terminal region), we believe it is functionally significant for three reasons. First, D-TACC is significantly more homologous to the known TACC proteins than to any other coiled-coil protein in the databases (a Blast E-value of $1 \times 10^{-18}$ compared with $7 \times 10^{-6}$ for the next most closely related coiled-coil protein). Secondly, although the mammalian TACC genes appear to have been generated by gene duplication events, the sequences of the known TACC proteins outside of this putative coiled-coil region are poorly conserved between family members (Still et al., 1999b; F.Gergely, J.W.Raff,
I.Still and J.Cowell, unpublished data). Thus, it is perhaps not surprising that D-TACC is only related to the mammalian proteins in this region. Thirdly, TACC2 appears to have a very similar distribution in human cells to that of D-TACC in Drosophila cells, and the conserved C-terminal region of D-TACC appears to be important in determining this distribution in Drosophila cells. The simplest interpretation of these results is that this conserved region targets D-TACC and TACC2 to centrosomes and microtubules, and we speculate that the TACC proteins will all interact with microtubules via this conserved C-terminal domain. We are currently investigating whether this is the case.

Although the functions of the mammalian TACC proteins are unknown, the known TACC genes all map to regions of chromosomes that are rearranged in certain cancers, and it has been proposed that alterations in TACC gene function may contribute to tumorigenesis (Still et al., 1999a,b). All of the known TACC genes, however, are closely linked to fibroblast growth factor receptor (FGFR) genes (presumably the consequence of linked gene duplication events), and these genes are also attractive candidates for any gene(s) in these regions whose disruption could contribute to tumorigenesis. Thus, a direct link between the TACC genes and tumorigenesis remains to be established.

Recently, much attention has focused on the role of genetic instability in cancer progression (Li et al., 1997). As centrosomes organize the mitotic spindle, it has been suggested that defects in centrosome or microtubule function could contribute to the large-scale genetic instability that is usually associated with aggressive cancers (Brinkley and Goepfert, 1998; Doxsey, 1998). Many cancer cells have extra centrosomes, and some overexpress specific centrosomal or microtubule-associated proteins (Charrasse et al., 1998; Hanash et al., 1988; Lingle et al., 1998; Pihan et al., 1998; Zhou et al., 1998; Xu et al., 1999). While it is unclear whether alterations in TACC gene expression can contribute to cancer, our data demonstrate that D-TACC is involved in regulating microtubule behaviour in Drosophila, and a mutation in the d-tacc gene causes severe chromosome segregation defects in the early embryo. If the mammalian TACC proteins play similar roles in human cells, TACC defects could contribute to increased levels of genetic instability and thus to the development and progression of cancer. Our preliminary results suggest that the overexpression of TACC2 in human cells does perturb the microtubule cytoskeleton (F.Gergely, C.Karlsson, I.Still, J.Cowell, J.Kilmartin and J.W.Raff, unpublished observations).
with taxol and pelleted through a sucrose cushion as described above. Alternatively, 1–2 μg of purified fusion protein was mixed with 100 μl of embryonic extract. Microtubules were then polymerized with taxol and the extracts treated as described above.

**Immunoprecipitation**

Immunoprecipitation experiments were performed using affinity-purified anti-D-TACC or anti-GST antibodies as described previously (Kidd and Raff, 1997).

**SDS–PAGE and Western blotting**

SDS–PAGE and Western blotting were performed as described previously (Laemmli, 1970; Towbin et al., 1979). Blots were incubated with primary antibodies at 1–2 μg/ml final concentration, and antibody detection was performed using either the ECL kit (Amersham), or the Supersignal kit (Pierce) according to the manufacturers’ instructions. As these enhanced chemiluminescent detection methods are highly non-linear, all blots were quantitated by blotting serial dilutions of the appropriate fusion proteins or extracts on the same blots.

**Fixation and antibody staining**

The 0–3 h *Drosophila* embryos were fixed with methanol and processed for indirect immunofluorescence as described previously (Kellogg et al., 1989), except that RNase A was included with the primary antibodies, and propidium iodide was used to stain the DNA (Gonzalez and Glover, 1993). Embryos were treated with colchicine (500 μg/ml) prior to fixation as described previously (Raff et al., 1993). Human HeLa or primary fibroblast cells (MHF 181 primary foreskin fibroblasts) were cultured, fixed with methanol and stained with antibodies as described previously (Pines and Hunter, 1991). All antibody-purified primary antibodies were used at 1–2 μg/ml. The anti-CP60, anti-CP190 and anti-Drosophila γ-tubulin antibodies have all been described previously (Kellogg and Alberts, 1992; Raff et al., 1993; Kellogg et al., 1995); DM1a (Sigma) was used at 1/1000 to detect microtubules, while GTU-88 (Sigma) was used at 1/500 to detect γ-tubulin in some experiments. Cy5 or Cy3 (Jackson) anti-rabbit, and Cy3 (Jackson) or Alexa488 (Molecular Probes) anti-mouse secondary antibodies were used at 1/500. All imaging was performed using a Bio-Rad 1024 scanning confocal head attached to a Nikon microscope. Images were imported into Adobe Photoshop and were adjusted to use the full range of pixel intensities. In some cases, an unsharp mask filter was applied to the whole image.

**Analysis of living embryos**

Time-lapse video recordings of living embryos were made as described previously (Huang and Raff, 1999). For antibody injection, affinity-purified antibodies were desalted into 50 mM HEPES pH 8.6, 25 mM KCl, and labelled with Texas red–X succinimidyl ester (Molecular Probes) according to the supplier’s instructions. The labelled antibodies were purified away from unincorporated label by desalting on a P6 (Bio-Rad) column into 25 mM HEPES pH 7.6, 10 mM KCl, and the antibodies were concentrated to 9–10 μg/ml in a BioMax concentrator (Millipore). The ratio of coupling was determined according to the supplier’s instructions. Embryos were injected with antibody and observed on a confocal microscope as described above.

**Screen for D-TACC mutations**

Males of the genotype *ru, st, e, ca* were mutagenized with EMS as described previously (Ashburner, 1989). These males were mated to *Pt, Dy/TM3* virgin females, and the resulting *ru, st, e, ca/TM3* male progeny (F1) were selected and individually mated to two Df(3R)110/TM3 virgin females in a single vial. The progeny of these flies were screened to see if the mutated chromosome carried a lethal or female sterile mutation. The progeny of these flies were screened to see if the mutated chromosome carried a lethal or female sterile mutation. The progeny of these flies were screened to see if the mutated chromosome carried a lethal or female sterile mutation.
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