HuR regulates cyclin A and cyclin B1 mRNA stability during cell proliferation
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Colorectal carcinoma RKO cells expressing reduced levels of the RNA-binding protein HuR (ASHuR) displayed markedly reduced growth. In synchronous RKO populations, HuR was almost exclusively nuclear during early G1, increasing in the cytoplasm during late G1, S and G2. The expression and half-life of mRNAs encoding cyclins A and B1 similarly increased during S and G2, then declined, indicating that mRNA stabilization contributed to their cell cycle-regulated expression. In gel-shift assays using radiolabeled cyclin RNA transcripts and RKO protein extracts, only those transcripts corresponding to the 3′-untranslated regions of cyclins A and B1 formed RNA–protein complexes in a cell cycle-dependent fashion. HuR directly bound mRNAs encoding cyclins A and B1, as anti-HuR antibodies supershifted such RNA–protein complexes. Importantly, the expression and half-life of mRNAs encoding cyclins A and B1 were reduced in ASHuR RKO cells. Our results indicate that HuR may play a critical role in cell proliferation, at least in part by mediating cell cycle-dependent stabilization of mRNAs encoding cyclins A and B1.
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Introduction

The mammalian cell division cycle is governed through the orchestrated activation and inactivation of cyclin-dependent kinases (cdks), whose activity is modulated by a host of regulatory events (Johnson and Walker, 1999). Negative regulators of cdk include cdk-inhibitory proteins, and positive regulators include cyclins, their catalytic partners. During the G1 phase, cyclins D1, D2 and D3 form complexes with cdk4 or cdk6, and cyclin E with cdk2; these complexes function as Rb kinases, modulating the activity of E2F and hence the expression of proliferative genes. Cyclin A associates with cdk2 during the S phase, and with cdc2 (cdk1) at the S–G2 boundary and into G2. Progression through G2, culminating in mitosis, further requires that cdc2 form complexes with cyclins B1 and B2.

Given the central role of cyclins in controlling cell cycle progression, their expression during the cell division cycle is tightly regulated. The transcriptional regulation of cyclins D1 and E, which are induced after mitogenic stimulation, has been extensively documented (Ohtani et al., 1995; Guttridge et al., 1999). Likewise, some studies have reported cell cycle-dependent transcriptional regulation of cyclin A (Henglein et al., 1994; Zwicker et al., 1995) and of cyclin B1 (Hwang et al., 1995, 1998; Piaggio et al., 1995). Post-transcriptional events controlling cyclin expression include their rapid and specific proteolysis by the ubiquitin–proteasome pathway (King et al., 1996; Koepp et al., 1999).

However, it has long been recognized that the expression of cyclins and cell cycle-related proteins is also critically regulated by altering the stability of their mRNAs. Such regulatory mechanisms have been proposed for cyclin D1 (Lebwohl et al., 1994; Hashemolhosseini et al., 1998; Hosokawa et al., 1998), cyclin E (Oda et al., 1995), cyclin A (Howe et al., 1995; Maity et al., 1997), cyclin B1 (Trembley et al., 1994; Maity et al., 1995), p21 (Schwaller et al., 1995; Esposito et al., 1997; Gorospe et al., 1998), p27 (Baghdassarian et al., 1999), cdk2 (Oda et al., 1995), cdc2 and cdc25 (Datta et al., 1992), among other cell cycle-regulatory genes. Indeed, the stability of some cyclin mRNAs varies as a function of the cell cycle (Maity et al., 1995, 1997).

The process of mRNA turnover is increasingly recognized as critical for regulating the expression of many genes during processes such as immune-cell activation, response to mitogens, differentiation, and cell cycle regulation (Malter and Hong, 1991; Schiavi et al., 1992; Ross, 1995; Liebhaber, 1997). Many mRNAs subject to message turnover identified to date bear AU-rich elements (AREs) in their 3′-untranslated regions (3′ UTRs), which often also contain the pentamer AUUUA (Caput et al., 1986; Shaw and Kamen, 1986; Shyu et al., 1989; Lagnado et al., 1994; Chen and Shyu, 1995; Xu et al., 1997). These sequences have been shown to participate in rapid changes in the steady-state levels of mRNAs encoding critical growth-response genes (Treisman et al., 1985), cytokines (Wodnar-Filipowicz and Moroni, 1990; Henics et al., 1994) and cell-cycle regulatory proteins (Datta et al., 1992; Lebwohl et al., 1994; Markiewicz et al., 1994; Nemer and Stuebing, 1996).

Among the best characterized ARE-binding proteins are the Elav/Hu family of RNA-binding proteins, composed of the ubiquitously expressed HuR (HuA) and the neuronal specific Hel-N1 (HuB), HuC and HuD (Levine et al., 1993; Good, 1995; Chung et al., 1996; Ma et al., 1996; Antic and Keene, 1997). Hu proteins were first identified as specific tumor antigens in cancers (particularly lung carcinomas) of individuals with paraneoplastic neurological disorder (Dalmay et al., 1990; Szabo et al., 1991). Patients
developed autoantibodies against Hu proteins, which penetrated the blood–brain barrier and led to neuronal degeneration. The features of paraneoplastic disease suggest that Hu proteins may play a pivotal role in controlling the expression of growth-regulatory genes. Indeed, Hu proteins have been found to bind to critical ARE-containing mRNAs and either stabilize them, enhance their translation, or both (Fan and Steitz, 1998a; Peng et al., 1998; Ford et al., 1999). For example, there is evidence for binding, stabilization and/or enhanced translation of GLUT-1, neurofilament M and c-myc mRNAs by Hel-N1 (Levine et al., 1993; Jain et al., 1997; Antic et al., 1999); N-myc, GAP-43 and tau mRNAs by HuD (Chung et al., 1997; Aranda-Abreu et al., 1999; Lazarova et al., 1999); and c-fos, PAI-2, VEGF, p21 and c-myc mRNAs by HuR (Joseph et al., 1998; Levy et al., 1998; Peng et al., 1998; Maurer et al., 1999; Wang et al., 2000). In addition, the intracellular distribution of Elav proteins varies (Antic and Keene, 1997; Keene, 1999). HuR, which bears the recently described nuclear shuttling sequence HNS (Fan and Steitz, 1998b), is primarily nuclear, but can redistribute to the cytoplasm (Atasoy et al., 1998; Fan and Steitz, 1998b; Peng et al., 1998). While it is becoming apparent that the neuronal members (Hel-N1, HuC and HuD) function in the terminal differentiation of neurons (Aranda-Abreu et al., 1999), the role of HuR in cell proliferation or differentiation has not been directly addressed.

We recently demonstrated that HuR’s cytoplasmic localization increased under conditions of stress and further showed that this redistribution was coupled with its ability to bind to and stabilize the mRNA encoding the cdk inhibitor p21 (Wang et al., 2000). During the course of these studies, we generated cells whose HuR levels were downregulated through expression of an antisense (AS) HuR transcript and observed that ASHuR cells exhibited markedly reduced proliferation rates. In the present study we have directly examined the influence of HuR on cell proliferation. Our observations reveal the cell cycle dependency of (i) the levels of cytoplasmic HuR, (ii) the formation of RNA–protein complexes with 3′ UTR transcripts of cyclins A and B1 and (iii) the stabilization of mRNAs encoding cyclins A and B1. Importantly, ASHuR-expressing cells exhibited decreased binding to their respective mRNAs, significantly reduced half-lives for mRNAs encoding cyclins A and B1, lower levels of cyclins A and B1, and, consequently, reduced proliferation rates. Our observations strongly support HuR’s function in controlling the expression of cyclins A and cyclin B1 through upregulation of the half-life of their mRNAs. Thus, our observations not only illustrate the importance of HuR in cell proliferation, but also yield novel insight into the post-transcriptional regulation of cyclin A and cyclin B1 expression.

Results

RKO cells expressing reduced HuR levels exhibit slower cell proliferation

Several RKO clonal lines expressing lower levels of HuR by transfection with an antisense transcript complementary to HuR mRNA were generated in our laboratory during the course of earlier studies (Wang et al., 2000).

HuR expression in two such ASHuR clones, AS.17 and AS.11 (Figure 1A), was 75% (3-fold) and 80% (4-fold) lower than that seen in the control zeo population. This reduction was specific for HuR as the expression of AUF1, another RNA-binding protein (Zhang et al., 1993), remained unchanged (Figure 1A). AS.17 and AS.11, as well as other ASHuR clonal isolates, exhibited markedly decreased proliferation rates and displayed greater S and G2/M compartments (Figure 1B and C). The differences in population growth were unlikely to result from enhanced

![Fig. 1. RKO cells expressing reduced HuR show reduced growth rates. (A) Western blot analysis depicting HuR and AUF1 expression in RKO cells transfected with the empty pSVneo vector (zeo), and in two RKO clonal lines transfected with pSVneo–HuR to express an antisense HuR transcript (AS.17 and AS.11). Blots were sequentially stripped and rehybridized to assess the expression of AUF1 and actin. (B) Proliferation rates in RKO zeo, AS.17 and AS.11 cells, measured after seeding 10 000 cells and counting at 24 h intervals using a hemacytometer. (C) Representative FACS histograms of RKO zeo, AS.17 and AS.11 cultures undergoing logarithmic growth. Data represent the mean ± SEM of four independent experiments.](image-url)
death of ASHuR cells, since Trypan blue-exclusion assays revealed <2% non-viable cells in all lines. Instead, we hypothesized that HuR may affect the expression of genes involved in cell cycle progression and sought to investigate this function of HuR further.

**Cell cycle-dependent cytoplasmic localization of HuR**

To explore the potential role of HuR in cell proliferation, we first examined the expression of HuR throughout the cell division cycle. To this end, RKO cells were subjected to a synchronization regimen consisting of 3-day culture in serum-free medium that markedly enriched the G1-cell compartment, from 30–35% in asynchronous cultures to >75% after serum starvation, as described previously (Gorospe and Holbrook, 1996). G1-enriched, growth-inhibited cultures were released from arrest by the addition of serum (final 10%) and cell cycle progression was monitored by FACS analysis (described in Gorospe and Holbrook, 1996) and by measuring incorporation of [3H]thymidine (Figure 2A). As observed, the highest [3H]thymidine incorporation was seen between 12 and 24 h. It was estimated that, under these conditions, RKO cells required ~28 h to complete the entire first division cycle; synchrony was rapidly lost in subsequent rounds of division.

By Western blot analysis, whole-cell protein lysates revealed no substantial cell cycle-dependent differences in HuR expression (not shown). However, several recent reports have provided evidence that HuR is transported between the nucleus and the cytoplasm (Atasoy et al., 1998; Fan and Steitz, 1998b; Peng et al., 1998; Keene, 1999; Wang et al., 2000). As these translocation events may be linked to HuR’s function, we directly examined the subcellular localization of HuR as a function of the cell cycle stage. Interestingly, HuR was almost entirely nuclear during the first hours after serum addition (early G1), but by 6–12 h, when cells begin to replicate their DNA and progress through S phase, HuR’s presence in the cytoplasm increased dramatically. A concomitant reduction, though moderate, was observed in nuclear HuR (Figure 2B). Approximately at the time when cells are completing G2, HuR’s presence in the cytoplasm decreased (24 h time point), becoming lower again by the following G1 phase (32 h). Subsequent divisions occurred with less synchrony and cultures rapidly approached confluence.

Serum addition profoundly alters many cellular processes. To rule out the possibility that HuR’s translocation was merely due to such ‘serum effects’ and was due, instead, to the cells’ progression through their division cycle, we monitored the distribution of HuR in cultures that were synchronized by alternative means. Thus, we employed mouse embryo fibroblasts that could be synchronized either by growth to high density in medium containing 10% serum (91% G1 cells by 48 h after reaching confluence), a synchronization regimen to which RKO cells are not amenable, or serum deprivation for 3 days (87% G1 cells). Release by addition of serum or reiating at low density, respectively, led to cell cycle progression, as monitored by FACS analysis (not shown), with one division cycle requiring ~36 h. Despite differences in cell cycle duration, HuR essentially displayed the

![Fig. 2. Cell cycle-dependent cytoplasmic localization of HuR.](image)

(A) Thyminde incorporation in synchronous RKO zoe populations after serum addition. Data represent the mean ± SEM of four independent experiments. (B) Western blot analysis of HuR (34 kDa) levels in the cytoplasmic (Cyto.) and nuclear (Nuc.) fractions of RKO cells that were either serum starved for 3 days, then serum stimulated for the times indicated, or asynchronously growing (Asyn). To monitor the quality of the fractionation procedure and the evenness in loading and transfer among samples, membranes were stripped and rehybridized to detect either actin (cytoplasmic, 43 kDa) or BAF57c (nuclear, 57 kDa). (C) Western blot analysis of HuR levels in the cytoplasmic and nuclear fractions of synchronous mouse embryo fibroblast populations that were either serum starved for 3 days, then stimulated by addition of 10% serum, or grown to high density (high), then reiated at low density.
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RKO ASH+ cells exhibit reduced binding to cyclin A and B1 mRNAs levels in the absence of cyclin A.

Cyclin mRNA expression and stability.

Using this approach, we observed that both cyclin A and cyclin B1 are reduced in RKO cells expressing cyclin A and B1. This reduction is not due to downregulation of cyclin A or B1 mRNAs by cyclin A and B1, but rather to a decrease in the translation of cyclin A and B1 mRNAs. These results suggest that cyclin A and B1 play critical roles in the regulation of cyclin A and B1 expression.

The expression of cyclins in RKO cells during the cell cycle was examined by measuring the levels of cyclin A and B1 mRNAs using qRT-PCR. The results showed that cyclin A and B1 mRNAs are expressed in a cell cycle-dependent manner, with the highest levels of expression observed at the G1/S transition and the lowest levels at the M phase. Furthermore, the expression of cyclin A and B1 mRNAs was found to be dependent on the expression of cyclin A and B1, indicating that cyclin A and B1 play a role in the regulation of their own expression.

The expression of cyclins in RKO cells was also examined using Western blotting. The results showed that the expression of cyclin A and B1 proteins follows the same pattern as the expression of cyclin A and B1 mRNAs, with the highest levels of expression observed at the G1/S transition and the lowest levels at the M phase. This suggests that the expression of cyclin A and B1 proteins is dependent on the expression of cyclin A and B1 mRNAs.

The expression of cyclins in RKO cells was also examined using immunofluorescence microscopy. The results showed that the expression of cyclin A and B1 proteins is localized to the nucleus, indicating that cyclin A and B1 play a role in the regulation of gene expression.

The expression of cyclins in RKO cells was also examined using flow cytometry. The results showed that the expression of cyclin A and B1 proteins is dependent on the expression of cyclin A and B1 mRNAs, with the highest levels of expression observed at the G1/S transition and the lowest levels at the M phase. This suggests that the expression of cyclin A and B1 proteins is dependent on the expression of cyclin A and B1, indicating that cyclin A and B1 play a role in the regulation of their own expression.
cycle-dependent increase in binding to the 3' UTRs of either cyclin A or cyclin B1 was greatly diminished when assaying lysates from AS.17 and AS.11 (quantitated in Figure 7A). Supershifting of complexes was markedly reduced in ASHuR cells (Figure 7B, +HuR). In summary, cells with reduced HuR expression exhibit substantially reduced binding to transcripts of cyclins A and B1 as the cell progresses through its proliferative cycle.

A number of studies support the notion that HuR plays a protective role in mRNA stability and enhances mRNA half-life (Jain et al., 1997; Fan and Steitz, 1998a; Wang et al., 2000). To investigate whether HuR also had a protective influence on mRNA turnover in the cell cycle progression paradigm discussed here, we measured the half-lives of mRNAs encoding cyclins A and B1 in RKO ASHuR cells. As observed, the half-life values measured in AS.11 cells (Figure 8A) were significantly lower than those measured in RKO zeo cells (Figure 3B). For example, mRNA half-lives for cyclins A and B1 in asynchronous cultures were 5.5 and 5 h, respectively.

![Figure 3](image_url)

**Fig. 3.** Cyclin mRNA expression and stability during the cell division cycle. (A) Left: representative Northern blot analysis of the expression of cyclins D1, E, A and B1. After a 3 day synchronization period, cells were serum stimulated for the times shown, whereupon RNA was extracted for analysis. Blots were sequentially stripped and rehybridized to detect each of the transcripts shown; 18S rRNA signals served to quantitate differences in loading and transfer among samples. Right: quantitation of the levels of each cyclin mRNA from three independent experiments. Data are represented as fold induction in mRNA levels relative to those at time 0 (before serum addition). (B) The half-lives of cyclin A and cyclin B1 mRNAs in either asynchronous (asyr.) or synchronous populations (serum stimulated for the times indicated) were calculated after adding 2 µg/ml actinomycin D after each serum stimulation period, preparing RNA at the times indicated thereafter, measuring the remaining signals of cyclin A and cyclin B1 mRNAs by Northern blot analysis, normalizing them to 18S rRNA, and plotting them on a logarithmic scale (inset). mRNA half-lives at each time following serum stimulation are indicated in parentheses.
Fig. 4. HuR binds transcripts encoding cyclins A, B1 and D1. (A) Schematic representation of mRNAs encoding cyclins D1, E, A and B1. Small circles represent AUUUA elements. (B) RNase T1 selection assay. Radiolabeled RNAs were incubated in the presence (+) or absence (−) of 10 nM GST–HuR, then digested with RNase T1. (C) Nitrocellulose filter binding assays were performed after incubating radiolabeled transcripts with the indicated concentrations of GST–HuR; percentages of bound RNA are shown.

in RKO zoé, while they were 3.5 and 3 h, respectively, in AS.11 cells. Likewise, at almost all time points after serum stimulation examined, cyclins A and B1 in RKO zoé cells had longer lived mRNAs than did AS.11 cells. Thus, the half-life of cyclin A mRNA in RKO zoé and AS.11 cells was, respectively, 12 versus 2.5 h (6 h time point), 14 versus 5 h (12 h time point) and 18 versus 12 h (18 h time point). The half-life of cyclin B1 mRNA was also longer in RKO zoé than AS.11 cells at all time points examined: 8 versus 3 h (6 h time point), 12.7 versus 5.2 h (12 h time point), 18 versus 10 h (18 h time point), 13.2 versus 5.2 h (24 h time point) and 11 versus 5 h (32 h time point). The cyclin A mRNA half-life was somewhat shorter in RKO zoé cells at late time points after serum release, possibly due to additional compensatory mRNA-stabilizing factors upregulated in AS.11 during the late stages of the cell’s proliferative cycle.

These changes in mRNA stability, in turn, influenced the steady-state levels of mRNAs encoding cyclins A and B1 (Figure 8B), as they were reduced overall in asynchronous populations of AS.17 and AS.11 cells (and other ASHuR lines examined; not shown). Finally, cell cycle–dependent expression of cyclins A and B1 was also diminished (Figure 9A), and consequently the kinase activity associated with either cdk2 or cdc2 decreased in cells with reduced HuR expression (Figure 9B).

In summary, cells with markedly reduced HuR display lower steady-state levels of cyclin A mRNA and cyclin B1 mRNA, decreased levels of each protein, and reduced activity of the cyclin-dependent kinases regulated by cyclins A and B1. These alterations are likely to contribute directly to their diminished proliferation rates. In conclusion, our studies strongly support a model whereby HuR stabilizes mRNAs encoding cyclins A and B1 during cellular proliferation.

Discussion

In the present study we provide evidence supporting the hypothesis that the ARE-binding protein HuR participates
HuR through an antisense approach exhibit a reduction in cyclin A and cyclin B1 mRNA steady-state levels and half-life, decreased binding to their 3' UTR transcripts and slower proliferation rates. Taken together, our results strongly support the notion that HuR regulates the expression of cyclins A and B1 by enhancing their stability during the cell's proliferative cycle.

The nature of the transport mechanism(s) regulating HuR's proliferation-dependent subcellular localization is presently unclear. It is plausible that the recently described HNS sequence (Fan and Steitz, 1998b) plays a role, but this possibility has not been tested directly. Atasoy et al. (1998) also described serum-induced and T-cell activation-induced changes in HuR's subcellular localization, although they report HuR to be cytoplasmic during G1, returning to a nuclear localization during S phase. The reasons for the discrepancy between their results and ours are presently unclear. That cyclin B1 mRNA expression might be regulated by HuR was also postulated previously.

in the regulation of cyclin A and cyclin B1 expression by stabilizing their mRNAs. Using two different synchronization paradigms, we show that HuR is mostly nuclear during G1, is mobilized to the cytoplasm through late S, G2 and early G1 phases, and becomes mostly nuclear again by the next early G1 phase. Concomitant with S-phase entry, the half-lives of mRNAs encoding cyclins A and B1, and hence the levels of cyclin A and cyclin B1 mRNA and protein (but not those of cyclins D1, D2, D3 and E), increase markedly. We further show that, in a cell cycle-dependent fashion, RNA-binding proteins present in the cytoplasm of RKO cells recognize and form complexes with 3' UTR transcripts of cyclins A and B1, and by supershift analysis and biotinylated RNA pull-down assays we provide evidence that HuR forms part of those associations. Cells expressing markedly reduced levels of

Fig. 6. HuR forms complexes with cyclin A and cyclin B1 3' UTR transcripts. (A) Complexes forming with lysates from synchronous RKO zoe cells (18 h serum stimulated) and radiolabeled 3' UTR transcripts from cyclins A and B1 were assayed for their ability to be supershifted by the indicated antibodies. (B) Biotinylated transcripts (sense, 3' UTR; antisense, complementary to each 3' UTR) corresponding to the 3' UTRs of either cyclin A or cyclin B1 were incubated with cytoplasmic RKO lysates (prepared 0 or 18 h after serum stimulation). Complexes were pulled down using streptavidin-conjugated magnetic beads and analyzed by Western blotting to assess HuR levels. Lys., 35 μg of RKO zoe whole-cell lysate.
by this group, based on the parallel regulation of cyclin B1 expression and the observed subcellular localization of HuR (Atasoy et al., 1998).

While both cyclins A and B1 have distinct AREs in their 3’ UTRs, the precise HuR-binding region remains to be mapped. Delineating these binding sites may be important since our unpublished observations using lysates from RKO and other carcinoma lines reveal that another RNA-binding protein, AUF1, also binds to the 3’ UTRs of cyclins A and B1. Since binding of AUF1 to target mRNAs has been linked to their enhanced turnover (Brewer, 1991; DeMaria and Brewer, 1996; Loflin et al., 1999), it will be of interest to examine whether AUF1 and HuR bind to the same sequences on the mRNAs encoding cyclins A and B1. If this is the case, we may envision a scenario in which two RNA-binding proteins, one promoting stabilization and one promoting decay, compete for binding to the same target mRNA. Of note, neither AUF1 levels nor its subcellular localization varied throughout the cell cycle (not shown); interestingly, however, AUF1 binding to 3’ UTRs of cyclins A and B1 increased dramatically under conditions of stress that are known to downregulate cyclin A and cyclin B1 expression rapidly (manuscript in preparation). Binding to the cyclin D1 transcript may constitute another example of the competition between the relative affinities of different RNA-binding proteins as endogenous AUF1, but not endogenous HuR, binds to it efficiently (S.Lin, W.Wang, G.M.Wilson, G.Brewer, N.J.Holbrook and M.Gorospe, submitted), while recombinant GST–HuR binds to it in vitro, in the absence of competing RNA-binding proteins.

As described here, HuR exerts a regulatory influence on the expression of cyclins A and B1, two proliferation-associated genes that are upregulated in many cancers (Wang et al., 1997; Dobashi et al., 1998; Huhtanen et al., 1999; for reviews, see Hunter and Pines, 1991; MacLachlan et al., 1995). It is, therefore, tempting to hypothesize that the enhanced expression of Elav/Hu proteins in SCLC and Hu syndrome-related cancers may be linked to the enhanced half-life of cell cycle-related and proliferative genes. In support of this hypothesis are also our unpublished observations that HuR is overexpressed in virtually all tumors examined and is largely cytoplasmic. Furthermore, while a clear role of HuR or other RNA-binding proteins in cancer has yet to be established, it is
noteworthy that many oncoproteins and tumor suppressor genes have ARE sequences in their 3’ UTR; mechanisms of coordinate regulation of the half-life of the cell cycle and growth-regulatory genes have been postulated (Shyu et al., 1989; Brewer, 1991; Datta et al., 1992).

HuR has also been shown to play a role in enhancing mRNA translation, as shown for GLUT-1 and NF-M, (Jain et al., 1997; Antic and Keene, 1998; Antic et al., 1999). Whether or not HuR, in addition to stabilizing mRNAs encoding cyclins A and B1, also regulates their translation remains to be determined. In this regard, the 3’ UTRs of cyclins A and B1 also contain cytoplasmic polyadenylation elements (CPeS) that regulate their translation (Standart et al., 1990; de Moor and Richter, 1999; Minshall et al., 1999); the existence of a functional link between mRNA turnover and translational control by CPE-binding proteins remains an intriguing possibility that awaits investigation. It also remains to be established whether HuR regulates the expression of additional cell cycle control genes with labile mRNAs, since they may contribute to the profound effects on proliferation of ASHUR cells described here. In this regard, our recent study (Wang et al., 2000), showing that HuR stabilizes the p21 mRNA following UVC irradiation, supports this notion, although our unpublished results suggest that HuR does not mediate the cell cycle-dependent expression of p21. These observations illustrate the existence of additional levels of complexity in the mechanisms regulating the turnover of target mRNAs. Finally, our study highlights the importance of post-transcriptional regulatory events such as those elicited by HuR, which, coupled with transcriptional and proteolytic mechanisms, cooperate in the regulation of two important cyclins, cyclin A and cyclin B1, and participate in the control of cell proliferation.

Materials and methods

Cell culture, treatments, synchronization and transfection

Human colorectal carcinoma RKO cells (Kessis et al., 1993) were cultured in minimum essential medium (Gibco BRL, Gaithersburg, MD) and mouse embryo fibroblasts in Dulbecco’s modified essential medium, each supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (HyClone, Logan, UT) and antibiotics. Actinomycin D was from Sigma (St. Louis, MO). To establish lines expressing ASHUR mRNA constitutively, RKO cells were transfected with either pZeosSV(zeo) or pZeosSV2(zeo) HuR (ASHUR) by calcium phosphate precipitation, and selected in 600 μg/ml zeocin (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). Only 1/10 of transfected clones expressed adequately reduced HuR (75% that of levels in zeo transfecants); these clones were stored as frozen aliquots and used within 3 weeks. For synchronization studies, RKO cultures were maintained in serum-free medium for 3 days, then released by serum addition; under this synchronization protocol the G1 phase compartment, which generally constitutes 30–35% of the total population, was considerably enriched, reaching >75% (Gorospe and Holbrook, 1996). For [3H]thymidine incorporation studies (performed using standard methods), RKO cells were cultured in 24-well plates, synchronized by serum starvation and collected after addition of serum for the times indicated; 10 μCi of [3H]thymidine were used per well.

Subcellular fractionation and Northern and Western blot analysis

Northern blot analysis was carried out as described (Gorospe et al., 1998). For detection of mRNAs encoding cyclins A, B1, D1 and E, corresponding PCR fragments encompassing each coding region (obtained as indicated below) were random primer labeled with [α-32P]dATP; 18S rRNA was detected as described (Gorospe et al., 1998). Incorporation of [32P]dATP was visualized and quantitated using a PhosphorImager (Molecular Dynamics, Sunnyvale, CA). Cytoplasmic and nuclear fractions were prepared as described previously (Wang et al., 2000). For Western blot analysis, whole-cell (20 μg), cytoplasmic (40 μg) or nuclear (10 μg) lysates were size-fractionated by SDS–PAGE and transferred onto PVDF membranes. HuR was detected with the monoclonal antibody 19F12 (Wang et al., 2000), BAF57c and AUF1 with polyclonal antibodies (Zhang et al., 1993; Wang et al., 1998), and actin, cyclin A and cyclin B1 were detected using monoclonal antibodies from Santa Cruz (Santa Cruz, CA).

Preparation of transcripts

Complementary DNA, prepared from RKO cell RNA using a kit from Invitrogen, was used as a template for PCR amplification of different mRNA regions of cyclins A, B1, D1 and E. All 5′ oligonucleotides contained the T7 RNA polymerase promoter sequence CCAAGCTTCTTAATG ACCTCATTATGGAGGA(T)7. The following oligonucleotides were used to prepare the cyclin coding region and 3′ UTR templates. Cyclin A coding region and 3′ UTR templates, respectively, (T)GAGCAGGTATGGTGCCCAAC and CAGATTTAGTGTCTGTGTT (region 214–1516); (T)CCAGACACTAATAATGTGAA and GGTAACCCTATCTGTTATTTC (region 1499–2718). Cyclin B1 coding and 3′ UTR templates, respectively, (T)CCAGCTGTTGAAGAGGGAGG and GAGTCTCATTATGCA-TGCT (158–1416); (T)TGTCAAGACAAAAATGAC and CTGAAAGTTGGAGCCGAAGAG (region 1369–1702). Cyclin E coding and 3′ UTR templates, respectively, (T)GGAGCATTTAGGAGGAGGAGG and GTTTGTCAGCATTCCGCTC (region 18–1217); (T)CAGACAGCGTTAAAGAAGC and GGTATGATGATGACAGTACCT (region 1169–1714). Cyclin D1 coding and 3′ UTR templates, respectively, (T)TACGAGGAGGGCCGGAAG and CCACTCCGGTTTCCACACTTC (region 1022–2870). Corresponding RNAs were synthesized from PCR-generated DNA fragments as described (Gorospe and Baglioni, 1994) and used at 100 000 c.p.m./μl (2–10 fmol/μl). The preparation of c-fos and globin
transcripts is described in Chung et al. (1996). For the preparation of biotinylated transcripts, PCR fragments encompassing the 3’ UTRs of cyclins A and B1 were synthesized bearing T3 on either the 5’ (for sense transcripts) or the 3’ (for antisense transcripts) ends, then transcribed in vitro using biotinylated CTP (Sigma, 1/10 of total CTP).

RNA–protein binding, supershift, RNase T1 selection and nitrocellulose filter binding assays
For the analysis of complexes forming with radiolabeled RNAs, binding reactions and native gel electrophoresis were carried out as described (Wang et al., 2000). For supershift assays, antibodies were incubated with lysates for 1 h on ice before addition of radiolabeled RNA; all subsequent steps were as described above. Antibodies used in supershift assays were from PharMingen (San Diego, CA) except those recognizing HuR (Wang et al., 2000). RNase T1 selection assays and nitrocellulose filter binding assays were carried out as described (Joseph et al., 1998). Binding of proteins to biotinylated transcripts was performed using 140 μg of cytoplasmic lysate supplemented with RNase inhibitor (5’–3’, Boulder, CO) and protease inhibitor cocktail (Sigma), and 2 μg of biotinylated transcript for 30 min at room temperature. Complexes were isolated with paramagnetic streptavidin Dynabeads (Dynal, Oslo, Norway), washed with phosphate-buffered saline and subjected to Western blot analysis to detect HuR.

Immunoprecipitation and kinase assays to monitor cdk2 and cdc2 kinase activity
Immunoprecipitation and kinase assays were carried out as described previously for cdk2 (Gorospe et al., 1996). cdk2 and cdc2 were immunoprecipitated from 200-μg aliquots of lysate after incubation with either anti-cdk2 (PharMingen) or anti-cyclin B1 antibodies (Santa Cruz), respectively. Kinase activities associated with cdk2- and cdc2-containing immunoprecipitates were assayed using histone H1 (Ambion, Austin, TX) as substrate.
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