Self assembly of NuMA: multiarm oligomers as structural units of a nuclear lattice
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NuMA is a nuclear matrix protein in interphase and relocates to the spindle poles in mitosis. Different NuMA constructs, in which either N- or C-terminal domains were deleted, and the full-length construct were expressed in Escherichia coli, and the NuMA polypeptides were purified to homogeneity and allowed to assemble in vitro. Electron microscopy showed that NuMA can build multiarm oligomers by interaction of the C-terminal globular domains. Each arm of the oligomer corresponds to a NuMA dimer. Oligomers with up to 10 or 12 arms have been observed for both full-length NuMA and for constructs that still contain the proximal part of the C-terminal tail domain. Other results from this laboratory have shown that transient overexpression of NuMA in HeLa cells induces a nuclear scaffold with a quasi-hexagonal organization that can fill the nuclei. Here we show that computer modelling of the three-dimensional packing of NuMA into such scaffolds can explain the different spacing of the hexagons seen when constructs with different coiled-coil lengths are used. Thus, the 12 arm oligomer, for which we have in vitro evidence, may be the structural unit from which the nuclear scaffold in transfected cells is built.
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Introduction

The nuclear mitotic apparatus protein (NuMA) was first identified by its striking cell cycle-dependent shuttling (Lydersen and Pettijohn, 1980). NuMA is a phosphoprotein and shuttling is controlled by defined phosphorylation/dephosphorylation steps (Compton and Luo, 1995; Sparks et al., 1995). In interphase cells, NuMA is restricted to the nucleus, whereas in mitotic cells it localizes to the spindle poles. In interphase cells, NuMA is a component of the nuclear matrix as shown by the classic criteria of being insoluble after DNase and high salt treatment of the nucleus. It is also resistant to extraction by non-ionic detergents but it can be solubilized by high concentrations of urea (Lydersen and Pettijohn, 1980; Kallajoki et al., 1991; Harborth et al., 1995). In addition, DNA binding studies have shown that NuMA is found associated with certain matrix associated regions (MARs) in vitro (Luderus et al., 1994). In mitotic cells, NuMA is a functional part of the mitotic spindle, since microinjection of certain NuMA antibodies causes cells to become blocked in mitosis and/or to form micronuclei (Kallajoki et al., 1991, 1993; Yang and Snyder, 1992). More recently, NuMA was shown to be an essential component for the formation and maintenance of mitotic spindle poles, and to interact with the dynein–dynactin complex (Gaglio et al., 1995, 1996; Merdes et al., 1996).

To date, two studies support the idea that NuMA can form lattice-like structures when overexpressed in HeLa cells (Saredi et al., 1996; Gueth-Hallonet et al., 1996). Overexpression of a NuMA construct lacking the nuclear localization signal (NuMA–ΔNLS) results in cells that show a single large cytoplasmic aggregate when stained with NuMA antibodies. Electron microscopy of such cytoplasmic aggregates revealed that they are composed of 5-nm diameter filaments and 23-nm diameter cables. Aggregates purified by flow cytometry contain only NuMA and are composed of 5-nm filaments (Saredi et al., 1996). Overexpression of full-length (FL) NuMA results in cells that accumulate NuMA in nuclei, as shown using immunofluorescence microscopy (Gueth-Hallonet et al., 1996; Saredi et al., 1996). Recent experiments from this laboratory show that quasi-hexagonal lattices can be detected in nuclei of cells overexpressing FL NuMA after extraction with non-ionic detergent. Electron-dense particles are present at the hexagon vertices and in optimal sections, 5–6 nm filaments are seen connecting the vertices. Using mutant NuMA constructs with insertions or deletions in the coiled-coil domain, we showed that the lattice spacing was increased in the insertion mutants and decreased in the deletion mutants. The lattices are decorated by antibodies to NuMA (Gueth-Hallonet et al., 1998).

The in vivo experiments suggest that NuMA might be capable of self assembly and for this reason it seemed important to analyse the in vitro assembly of NuMA in more detail. Secondary structure prediction rules indicated that NuMA should have a very long α-helical domain (169 kDa) flanked by globular head and tail domains of 24 and 45 kDa, respectively (Figure 1) (Compton et al., 1992; Yang et al., 1992). Previously we have studied the molecular properties of recombinant NuMA purified from Escherichia coli. We used chemical crosslinking studies, circular dichroism spectra and electron microscopy to directly reveal the tripartite structure of NuMA. The central rod is 207 nm long and at least 90% α-helical. It reflects a double-stranded coiled-coil with the α-helices arranged parallel and in register. Under the in vitro conditions we used originally, recombinant NuMA purified from E.coli neither assembled into filaments nor formed.
higher order structures, but was visualized using the electron microscope after rotary shadowing as dumbbell-shaped molecules corresponding to dimers (Harborth et al., 1995).

Here we show that the previously reported formation of dimers by coiled-coil interactions is only the first step in oligomerization. By varying the conditions used for self assembly we now describe the formation of a novel oligomeric structure composed of a central core from which thin radial arms project in a multiarm array (Figure 2A). Each arm has the appearance of a single NuMA dimer. The multiarm oligomers contain different numbers of arms. The higher the number of arms the greater the size of the central core. We have observed oligomers composed of up to 12 dimers, although it is sometimes hard to distinguish the different rods and usually the oligomers have a lower number of arms (six to nine). The arms have an average length of 155 ± 15 nm. This is in good agreement with a value of 153 nm calculated for the length of the λ2a coiled-coil, assuming a value of 0.1485 nm per coiled-coil residue. The arms seem able to adopt different conformations, suggesting that they are flexible, and sometimes show a sharp bend at a position 110 nm from the central core (arrow in Figure 2A). This is a position that would be equivalent to the approximate centre of the coiled-coil region in the FL NuMA molecule.

**Electron microscopy of the N-terminal λ1a reveals no oligomers**

The N-terminal fragment λ1a, corresponding to amino acid residues 1–851, covers the entire head domain and the N-terminal 43% of the rod domain (Figure 1A). SDS–PAGE of the purified λ1a fragment showed a band of ~100 kDa (Figure 1B) that reacted in immunoblots with the SPN-3 antibody which recognizes an epitope located in the N-terminal 43% of the rod domain (Harborth et al., 1995). The soluble protein was isolated by metal chelate chromatography on a Ni-NTA column and in a second step by gel filtration on a Superdex 200 column.

Oligomers were not found when this N-terminal frag-
Assembly of NuMA into higher order structures

Fig. 2. Electron micrographs of purified recombinant NuMA fragments obtained after low angle rotary shadowing. (A) \(\lambda 2a\) C-terminal fragment. Note the multiarm oligomers composed of a central globular core from which different numbers of arms project, and the sharp bends seen in some arms (arrow). (B) \(\lambda 1a\) N-terminal fragment. Note the thin rods of uniform length with a globular head at one end and the lack of higher order oligomers. Bar represents 100 nm.

ment was examined using the electron microscope (Figure 2B). It shows only single rods with a small globule corresponding to the head at one end.

Location of C-termini to the centre of the multiarm oligomers using different NuMA mutants

The \(\lambda 2a\) construct containing the C-terminal tail domain, but not the \(\lambda 1a\) construct containing the N-terminal head domain, revealed multiarm oligomers. This suggested that the different NuMA dimers interact via the globular tail domains to form the multiarm oligomers. To obtain more evidence for this mode of assembly, we constructed different mutants in which either the head or the tail domain was deleted. The constructs were designated according to the different patterns of the tripartite secondary structure they contain (see Materials and methods). These constructs were expressed in the pRSET vector in E.coli JM 109. Expression of such constructs was relatively low due to the very large size of the resulting fusion proteins. Only a small part of the tailless fragment (HCC residues 1–1700) was soluble in lysis buffer A. The construct containing a part of the tail domain (HCCt corresponding to residues 1–2003) was completely insoluble in this buffer, as were the complete recombinant protein (HCCT equivalent to full-length) and the construct without the N-terminal head domain (CCT corresponding to residues 216–2115). All four recombinant proteins (HCC, CCT, HCCt and HCCT) were purified by treating the insoluble pellets with 8 M urea to solubilize the recombinant protein. The fragments as well as the FL

Fig. 3. Electron micrographs of recombinant NuMA fragments obtained after dialysis against physiological salt buffer and rotary shadowing. (A) HCC fragment, (B) CCT fragment and (C) HCCt fragment. Note that fragments with either the whole tail (CCT) or the proximal part of the tail (HCCt) show the multiarm oligomers whereas the fragment lacking the whole tail domain (HCC) shows only dimers. Globular structures are visible at the ends of the rods furthest from the centre for the HCCt fragment (C) but not for the headless mutant CCT (B). A globular structure is also visible at one end of some dimers formed from the HCC fragment (A). Bar represents 100 nm.
Fig. 4. Electron micrographs of the recombinant FL NuMA construct (HCCT) after renaturation from 8 M urea and rotary shadowing. (A) Overview to show the multiarm oligomers with a central globular core from which different numbers of arms project. Each arm is thought to represent a NuMA dimer. The size of the globular core increases as the number of arms increases. Globular head domains can be seen at the distal end of the arms (short arrows). In some oligomers individual arms show loops, which can be explained by bending of the coiled-coil domain. Sometimes the globular head domain binds to the globular tail domains in the central core (arrowhead). Note the single rod-shaped dimer molecule visible in (A) (long arrow). (B–D) Oligomers appear to be connected. In (B), FL NuMA was dialysed against 20 mM Tris pH 7.2, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EGTA, 1 mM DTT, 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonylfluoride (PMSF). In (C) and (D), NuMA was dialysed against the same buffer with 20 mM NaCl. (E and F) Micrograph of a six arm oligomer (E) with sketch to show the arrangement of the individual dimers (F). Bar represents 100 nm.
Fig. 5. Electron micrographs of recombinant FL NuMA. Purified protein was dialysed either against physiological salt buffer (A) or against high salt buffer (B). To show that the equilibrium is reversible, probes were also dialysed first against high salt buffer and then against physiological buffer (C) or first against physiological buffer and then against high salt buffer (D). Note that multiarm oligomers are visible in the samples dialysed against physiological salt buffer and that dimers are the predominant structure in the samples dialysed against high salt buffer. Bar represents 100 nm.

protein were purified in 8 M urea using a gel filtration column (TSK G6000 PW) as the first step. The recombinant proteins eluting in the first fractions absorbing UV light were pooled and applied to a MonoQ anion exchange column equilibrated with buffer in 8 M urea. The recombinant proteins were eluted using a salt gradient from 0 to 0.9 M NaCl. All four recombinant fragments eluted at a concentration of ~150 mM NaCl. Homogeneity was controlled by SDS–PAGE (Figure 1B). Before rotary shadowing, the proteins were renatured by dialysis against buffer D which does not contain urea. The resulting solutions of HCC, CCT, HCCt and HCCT were analysed by electron microscopy after glycerol spraying and metal shadowing (Figure 3). The tailless mutant (HCC) revealed only thin rods with globular portions at one end representing dimers (Figure 3A), whereas the headless mutant containing the full tail domain (CCT) clearly showed multiarm oligomers with a central core (Figure 3B). This result provides further evidence that FL NuMA interacts via the globular tail domains of the dimers. The construct HCCt, which contains only the proximal part of the tail domain, also showed clearly multiarm oligomers with a variable number of arms radiating from a central core (Figure 3C). This demonstrates further that the interaction, while requiring the proximal part of the tail domain, does not depend on the last 112 amino acid residues which are extremely basic (theoretical pI = 12.6). In addition, small globular structures corresponding to the head domain are visible at the end of the arms furthest from the central core in the multiarm oligomers formed from the HCCt mutant (Figure 3C), but not in those formed from the CCT construct (Figure 3B).

All three recombinant constructs (HCC, CCT and HCCt) have the complete α-helical domain, and indeed the rod-shaped portions of the different fragments have similar lengths of ~200 nm as shown in Figure 3. The rods seem able to bend, in agreement with our previous electron microscopic studies of recombinant FL NuMA which suggested that the rod regions of the molecule are flexible and that some were sharply bent at a hinge-like region near the middle of the molecule (Figure 8 in Harborth et al., 1995).

Electron microscopy of the FL NuMA protein also reveals the multiarm oligomers

The results shown in Figures 2A and 3 caused us to investigate in more detail the in vitro assembly of the FL recombinant NuMA protein (residues 1–2115). Previously we presented a gallery of individual FL NuMA molecules that had an appearance similar to the molecule indicated with the long arrow in Figure 4A. In our previous experiments, which were performed at low protein concentrations (~<0.02 mg/ml) or at high salt concentrations (500 mM NaCl), we saw only thin rods with globular heads and tails that correspond to NuMA dimers (Harborth et al., 1995).

The FL construct was solubilized in 8 M urea, purified to homogeneity by gel filtration and anion exchange
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clearly showed the multiarm oligomers when dialysed
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expected from the results in Figure 3, whereas in the
presence of 500 mM NaCl only dumbbell molecules
corresponding to dimers were seen (Figure 5B). Samples
first dialysed against the high salt buffer and then against
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from the dimer molecules (Figure 5C) showing a reversible
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Conversely, multiarm oligomers built in the physiological
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a reversible transition from the multiarm oligomer to
the dimer.

Lattices in nuclei of HeLa cells overexpressing FL NuMA
Examples of the type of lattices that can be seen in
HeLa cells overexpressing FL NuMA or different NuMA
constructs are shown in Figure 6. The cells have been
incubated for 42 h after transfection and then extracted
with a microtubule stabilizing buffer containing 0.5%
Triton X-100. They were fixed in glutaraldehyde and
embedded as monolayers for electron microscopy. Figure 6
compares and contrasts the profiles obtained from normal
cell constructs (Figure 6A) and from cells transfected with
either the FL construct (Figure 6B and F) or with constructs
with insertions or deletions in the coiled-coil region
(Figure 6C–E and G–I). In normal untransfected cells, only
residual patches of chromatin and residual proteinaceous
structures are retained (Figure 6A). In cells transfected
with the FL construct, a striking network that fills the
nucleoplasm can be discerned. At higher magnification
this structure appeared to be formed from a three-dimensional
network of hexagons with electron dense particles at each
vertex and at the centre of the hexagon (Figure 6F).
Different constructs with in-phase deletions or an in-phase
insertion in the coiled-coil region change the spacing of
the lattices (Figure 6C–E and G–I). The spacing of the
hexagons measured for each of the different constructs
from the electron micrographs is listed in the last column
of Table I and is taken from Gueth-Hallonet et al. (1998).

Computer modelling and discussion

Computer modelling of nuclear lattices using the
12 arm oligomer as structural unit
Ball-and-stick models using the 12 arm oligomer as the
structural unit can explain the hexagonal organization seen
in the electron micrographs. The model in Figure 7A uses
hexagonal closest packing while the model in Figure 7B
uses cubic closest packing. Computer modelling suggests
that planar hexagonal arrangements of the type visualized
in the electron micrographs of the FL NuMA construct
(Figure 6B and F), i.e. with a central particle surrounded
by six further particles, can be generated from both models
by taking a slice either in the plane of the paper or by
taking slices through the model at different angles. Patterns
with a quadratic arrangement of particles have also been
visualized in the lattices in situ and can be generated from
the computer models.

Two possible arrangements of the NuMA dimers in a
single lattice plane are shown in Figure 7C. In the first
step of assembly, dimers are formed by aggregation of
two monomers with the α-helices arranged parallel and
in register (Figure 7C, top centre). In the second step of
assembly, the globular C-terminal regions, indicated by a
Fig. 6. Electron microscopy after detergent extraction of HeLa cells 42 h after transfection. (A) Mock transfected cell; (B and F) cells transfected with FL NuMA; (C and G) dCC1; (D and H) dCC2; or (E and I) CCXL NuMA cDNAs. The relative lengths of the coiled-coils in the different constructs in arbitrary units are dCC2 (0.4)<dCC1 (0.6)<FL (1.0)<CCXL (1.8). Note the ordered lattices that fill the nuclei in cells transfected with NuMA cDNAs (B–I). Hexagons are marked and thin 5–6 nm rods connecting the hexagon vertices can be seen in the high-magnification micrographs in (F–I). The relative sizes of the hexagons for the different constructs are dCC2<dCC1<FL<CCXL (for exact values and comparison to calculated values see Table I). Bar (A–E), 1 μm; (F–I), 200 nm. Part of the nucleolus is visible in (A) and at top left of B–E. Cy, cytoplasm; Nu, nucleolus.

Table I. Comparison of calculated values using models 1 and 2 with the measured centre-to-centre distance for the different constructs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total no. residues*</th>
<th>Coiled-coil residues*</th>
<th>Calculated rod lengthb</th>
<th>Measured particle diameterc</th>
<th>Centre-to-centre distance, no bendingd</th>
<th>Centre-to-centre distance with bendingc</th>
<th>Measured centre-to-centre distancee</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FL</td>
<td>2115</td>
<td>1485</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>279</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>166 ± 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCXL</td>
<td>3327</td>
<td>2699</td>
<td>401</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>466</td>
<td>266</td>
<td>236 ± 27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dCC1</td>
<td>1523</td>
<td>894</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>135 ± 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dCC2</td>
<td>1244</td>
<td>615</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>102 ± 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Δ2005</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>1485</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>130 ± 10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aValues from Yang et al. (1992).
bCalculated using a value of 0.1485 nm per coiled-coil residue (Harborth et al., 1995).
cTaken from Gueth-Hallonet et al. (1998).
dCalculated by adding the particle diameter to the calculated coiled-coil length (model 1, Figure 7C in which the coiled-coils do not bend).
eCalculated by adding the particle diameter to half the coiled-coil length (model 2, Figure 7C in which the rod bends to achieve maximum packing of NuMA).
Fig. 7. (A and B) Three-dimensional ball-and-stick models of close packing of NuMA molecules in cells transfected with the FL construct. The balls represent the electron dense regions at the vertices of the quasi-hexagonal lattices while the sticks joining the balls represent the coiled-coil regions visualized as thin rods in the electron micrographs. In both models, each ball is surrounded by 12 nearest neighbours: six are in the planar hexagonal array, three are in the layer above and three are in the layer below. Each layer is drawn in the plane of the paper in a different colour; the difference in the models is best appreciated by focusing on the blue ball at the intersection of the arrows. (A) Hexagonal closest packing: the balls in the third layer lie over the balls in the first layer (pattern ABAB). (B) Cubic closest packing: the balls in the third layer lie over the grooves in the A layer (pattern ABCA). The balls represent oligomers of the NuMA globular domains. The sticks represent the coiled-coil portions of the NuMA molecules. (C) Models for the two-dimensional packing of NuMA in cells transfected with the FL construct. The formation of multiarm oligomers from dimers is illustrated in the top part of the figure. The dimers interact through their C-terminal globular domains (black dots). The globular head domains (black rings) can bind to the centres of neighbouring oligomers to form lattices in two and three dimensions, resulting in an anti-parallel array of the coiled-coil rods. The N-terminal half of the coiled-coil region is in green, the C-terminal half in red and the main bending region in black. A single line connecting the oligomers is used to represent each NuMA dimer. In model 1, the coiled-coil is not bent and the distance between the two centres corresponds to the coiled-coil length plus the particle diameter. In model 2, the coiled-coil is bent and the distance between two centres corresponds to half the coiled-coil length plus the particle diameter. In model 1, two dimers or four NuMA polypeptides connect the centres while in model 2 each centre is connected by four half-dimers or eight half-NuMA polypeptides.
black dot for each dimer, interact to form the globular centres. The experimental data described above show that the proximal part of the C-terminal domains are essential for formation of the multiarm oligomers and are found at the centre of such structures. The globular N-terminal regions, indicated by a black ring for each dimer, are shown interacting with the C-terminal regions and such interactions can be observed experimentally as shown in Figures 3 and 4. In the final assembly step, the multiarm oligomers aggregate to form a three-dimensional lattice. Experimental evidence for the existence of such lattices is provided by electron micrographs of HeLa cells which overexpress either FL NuMA or one of the constructs with additions or deletions in the coiled-coil (Figure 6; Gueth-Hallonet et al., 1998).

Models 1 and 2 in Figure 7C differ in the arrangement of the coiled-coils and as a consequence of this in the centre-to-centre spacing. In model 1, the coiled-coil is straight while in model 2 the coiled-coil region is bent approximately in the middle, corresponding to the bend sometimes seen in the middle of the coiled-coil (Figures 3 and 4). In model 1 the distance between the two centres corresponds therefore to the length of the coiled-coil plus the particle diameter, while in model 2 it corresponds to half the length of the coiled-coil plus the particle diameter. Model 1 therefore gives a larger centre-to-centre spacing (279 nm) than does model 2 (169 nm) (Table I). In addition, four times as many NuMA molecules can be packed into the nucleus using model 2 as with model 1 (Table II). Thus, in model 2, 7.2×10⁶ molecules can be accommodated, whereas in model 1 only 1.7×10⁶ molecules can be accommodated.

**Comparison of models 1 and 2 with the experimental data for the FL construct and for constructs with changes in length of the coiled-coil region**

The structural unit from which the models in Figure 7C are built is the 12 arm oligomer. The central core contains the C-terminal tail domains, and each arm corresponds to a single NuMA dimer. In Figures 2, 3 and 4 we provided experimental in vitro evidence for this structure and showed that its formation is dependent on the NuMA polypeptides retaining the proximal part of the C-terminal tail region.

How many polypeptides are found in the nucleus of a HeLa cell transfected with the FL NuMA construct? Such cells express 40 times more NuMA than do untransfected cells (Gueth-Hallonet et al., 1996), while the abundance of NuMA in untransfected cells has been estimated at 2×10⁵ polypeptides/cell (Compton et al., 1992). This yields a value of 8×10⁶ NuMA polypeptides per transfected cell, a value in good agreement with the value of 7.2×10⁶ calculated using model 2 (Table II). This calculation also suggests that the number of NuMA molecules actually present in some transfected cells can exceed the number that can be packed into the nucleus. This may be why we find in one and the same cell not only a nuclear lattice, but also NuMA polypeptides accumulated in a single aggregate near to the centrosome (Figure 6 in Gueth-Hallonet et al., 1998). In such cells it may be that the nucleus has become saturated with NuMA.

The calculated centre-to-centre spacings for models 1 and 2 can be compared directly with the measured centre-to-centre distances for the FL NuMA construct, and for the NuMA constructs with additions or deletions in the coiled-coil shown in Table I. The lengths for model 1, shown in column 6, were obtained by adding the calculated coiled-coil length to the measured particle diameter. The lengths for model 2, shown in column 7, were obtained by adding half the calculated length of the coiled-coil region to the measured particle diameter. The numbers in columns 6 and 7 can be compared directly with the measured centre-to-centre distances between particles in the hexagons observed in the electron microscope in column 8. The lengths predicted by model 1 are much larger than those actually observed, e.g. by a factor of 1.7 for the FL construct and 2.0 for the CCXL construct, and are greater than can be explained by shrinkage during the electron microscope procedures which can be ~10–20%. In contrast, the numbers provided by model 2 show a much better fit to the measured values e.g. a factor of 1.0 for the FL construct and 1.1 for the CCXL construct. Thus, model 2, which allows the coiled-coils to bend, again allows a better fit to the experimental data than does model 1, which shows the coiled-coil regions as stiff rods which cannot bend. The dCC1 and dCC2 constructs can also be accommodated in a model with bending (Table I).

Examination of the NuMA sequence shows the existence of six short non-helical spacers interrupting the sequence at different positions along the rod domain (6, 7, 19, 7, 5 and 6 amino acid residues in length) (Yang et al., 1992). These non-helical spacers begin at residues 272, 401, 941, 1115, 1362 and 1410, respectively (see Figure 1A). More detailed analyses of the NuMA rod sequence have documented up to 13 additional discontinuities which do not involve prolines (Parry 1994). Circular dichroism results have provided direct experimental evidence that the NuMA rod is a segmented coiled-coil that does not reach 100% α-helix (Harborth et al., 1995). Electron micrographs of isolated dimers (Figure 8 in Harborth et al., 1995) revealed a hinge-like region, probably corresponding to the major discontinuity beginning at residue 941 which is found approximately in the middle of the NuMA coiled-coil region. The results shown here in Figures 2, 3 and 4 confirm the existence of a major bending site and again

---

**Table II. Calculation of NuMA molecules required to assemble a lattice that fills the nucleus using models 1 and 2**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Model 1</th>
<th>Model 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Centre-to-centre distance (^a)</td>
<td>279</td>
<td>169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Centres fitting into the nucleus (^b)</td>
<td>7×10⁴</td>
<td>3×10⁴</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of NuMA molecules (^c)</td>
<td>1.7×10⁶</td>
<td>7.2×10⁶</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connections</td>
<td>4.2×10⁵</td>
<td>1.8×10⁶</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)See Table I.
\(^b\)Taking a value of 1000 μm³ for the nucleoplasmic volume (given that in cross section, the nucleus of a typical HeLa cell approximates an ellipse with maximum dimensions of ~18.5×10.9 μm, we calculate a value for the nuclear volume of ~1150 μm³, and then subtract 10% from this volume for the nucleolus).
\(^c\)Calculated using 24 molecules per centre or 12 dimers per centre. These numbers can be compared with the number measured experimentally, i.e. 8×10⁶ (see text).
locate it to the middle of the coiled-coil region, but suggest that the FL molecule may also be able to bend at other positions along the molecule. Thus, the fact that the major bending site beginning at residue 941 has been deleted in the constructs dCC1 and dCC2 does not exclude that these constructs may also be able to bend. The position of the major bending site has been indicated in black in Figure 4F and in the models in Figure 7C.

**NuMA assembly/disassembly: comparison of in vitro and in vivo data**

Our data, together with the computer modelling data, suggest that the assembly of NuMA into lattices involves at least three steps: (i) dimer formation; (ii) formation of multiarm oligomers; and (iii) interaction of head and tail domains from different oligomers to form lattices. The first two steps occur by self assembly and require neither post-translational modifications nor additional proteins since they can be achieved starting from recombinant NuMA purified from E.coli. It may also be that step three occurs by self assembly. The fact that at protein concentrations of ~0.25 mg/ml some interconnected oligomers are seen (Figure 3B–D) provides a hint that this may be the case. However, it could be that post-translational modifications not provided by recombinant protein expression in E.coli may cause the oligomers to interact more efficiently and to build more stable three-dimensional lattices. Alternatively, specific kinases and/or phosphatases or other cofactors including binding proteins which are not present in our in vitro system might be required. The fact that NuMA assembles into higher order structures when a mitotic extract from HeLa cells is dephosphorylated (Saredi et al., 1997) suggests that it may be possible to define further the factors required for lattice assembly using NuMA purified from the bacculovirus expression system and supplementing the assembly system with fractions from mitotic extracts that have been immunodepleted of NuMA.

Our in vitro data suggest that deletions in the globular tail and head domains of NuMA would have profound effects on lattice assembly, and indeed such effects have been noted in several experimental in vivo studies (Compton and Cleveland, 1993; Gueth-Hallonet et al., 1998). The in vitro data show that the C-terminal globular domain is necessary to build the lattice structure since it is important for the first step in oligomerization. In vivo overexpression of certain NuMA constructs truncated in the tail domain, such as Δ2005, cause a drastic reorganization of nuclear components resulting in relocation of the DNA, histone H1 and nucleoli to the nuclear rim (see Figure 6 in Gueth-Hallonet et al., 1998). The models suggest that deletions in the N-terminal globular domain would affect the assembly of the 12 arm oligomers into lattices. Indeed when cells overexpressing a deletion mutant involving a deletion in the head and coiled-coil domains were examined (Gueth-Hallonet et al., 1998), no lattices were found. Taken together, the following roles can be assigned to the two end domains of NuMA during oligomerization. The C-terminal domain promotes assembly of NuMA dimers into higher order oligomers and the N-terminal domain controls the assembly of oligomers into lattices.

**A role for NuMA as a scaffold protein in normal cells?**

That NuMA might play a critical role in normal nuclear structure is suggested by two findings. First, NuMA interacts with MARs in vitro (Luderus et al., 1994). Secondly, during apoptosis NuMA is proteolysed from a 238 kDa form to a 180–200 kDa form (Hsu and Yeh, 1996; Weaver et al., 1996). We have suggested that the cleavage site leading to the stable fragment occurs between residues 1701 and 1725 (Gueth-Hallonet et al., 1997). Examination of the models in Figure 7 suggests that cleavage of NuMA in this region, i.e. at the border between the coiled-coil and the tail regions, would cause the lattice to collapse. Thus, if normal cells have lattices that are built from NuMA, cleavage of NuMA might result on the one hand in the observed redistribution of DNA and NuMA and on the other hand cause the dramatic rearrangements of nuclear constituents seen during apoptosis. In addition, it has been suggested that NuMA may be involved in defining the nuclear shape in interphase cells and that the absence or degradation of NuMA may allow the cell to modulate the nuclear architecture to adapt to specific functions upon differentiation (Merdes and Cleveland, 1998).

Untransfected HeLa cells have, on average, $2 \times 10^5$ molecules NuMA per nucleus, which is some 40-fold less than the amount of NuMA seen in cells overexpressing the FL NuMA construct. Thus, lattices built from NuMA in normal cells cannot fill the nucleus and must therefore be spatially restricted. One way to reconcile the dotted pattern seen in HeLa cell nuclei with NuMA antibodies that recognize a defined epitope close to the C terminus of NuMA would be to imagine that NuMA assemblies into many ‘minilattices’, each of which would be built using the principles elucidated for lattices in transfected cells. Minilattices could contain different numbers of NuMA molecules under different conditions, thus allowing rapid and dynamic changes in the size of NuMA aggregates in response to changes in the nuclear or cellular environment. Immuno electron microscopy using gold-labelled NuMA antibodies has not shown lattices in normal cells, but because such lattices would be very much smaller and would not necessarily be interconnected, they may not survive the extraction and fixation steps used to reveal the lattices in transfected cells (Gueth-Hallonet et al., 1998).

In summary, we have shown that bacterially expressed recombinant NuMA can self assemble in vitro under physiological salt conditions into a novel higher order structure, the multiarm oligomer. Computer modelling suggests that these multiarm oligomers could be the structural unit from which the lattices of NuMA seen by electron microscopy in nuclei of cells overexpressing NuMA are built. These lattices allow very close packing of NuMA into the nuclei and may be a prototype for the arrangement of NuMA or of other nuclear scaffold molecules in the normal interphase cell.

**Materials and methods**

**Recombinant fragments of NuMA and FL NuMA**

The λ phage isolates λ.1 and λ.2 from a HeLa cell cDNA library in the λ ZAP II vector (Harborth et al., 1995) were used as templates for PCR amplification of the constructs λ.1a (corresponding to amino acid residues
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**Materials and methods**

**Recombinant fragments of NuMA and FL NuMA**

The λ phage isolates λ.1 and λ.2 from a HeLa cell cDNA library in the λ ZAP II vector (Harborth et al., 1995) were used as templates for PCR amplification of the constructs λ.1a (corresponding to amino acid residues
Fragment 1a was soluble and was enriched by metal affinity chromatography. The supernatant was applied to a Ni-NTA-agarose column (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). The column was washed with buffer A and then with buffer B (identical to buffer A, except pH 6.0 and 20 mM imidazole). The recombinant protein was washed with buffer A and then with buffer B (identical to buffer A, leaving the subfragments corresponding to the α-helical portion of NuMA (λ1c corresponding to residues 216–851 and λ2b corresponding to residues 670–1700) were amplified and cloned into the pRSETA vector.

### Expression of recombinant polypeptides

Overexpression of the recombinant fusion proteins was as described (Harborth et al., 1995). SOB medium (Invitrogen) (2 ml) containing 50 μg/ml of ampicillin was inoculated with a single recombinant E.coli JM109 colony that contained the appropriate plasmid. The culture was incubated overnight at 37°C with shaking and 0.8 ml was used to inoculate 200 ml SOB medium containing 50 μg/ml of ampicillin. The culture was grown at 37°C with shaking until it reached an optical density at 600 nm of 0.3. Expression was initiated by adding IPTG to a concentration of 1 mM. One hour later, cells were infected with M13/λ T7 phage. After a further 4 h, cells were harvested by centrifugation (4000 g for 20 min).

### Purification of soluble recombinant polypeptides

Cells harvested from a 200 ml culture were suspended in 10 ml lysis buffer A (50 mM potassium phosphate pH 7.9, 500 mM KCl, 10 mM 2-mercaptoethanol, 1 mM PMSE, 0.2% Tween 20) and sonicated. The lysate was clarified by centrifugation at 10 000 g for 15 min. The recombinant fragment λ1a was soluble and was enriched by metal chelate affinity chromatography. The supernatant was applied to a Ni-NTA-agarose column (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). The column was washed with buffer A and then with buffer B (identical to buffer A, except pH 6.0 and 20 mM imidazole). The recombinant protein was eluted with 500 mM imidazole in buffer B. The λ1a fragment was further purified by gel filtration on a Superdex 200 column (Pharmacia, Sweden).

### Purification of insoluble recombinant NuMA proteins

All constructs other than λ1a were insoluble in lysis buffer and were purified as follows: the pellet was treated with urea buffer B (8 M urea, 20 mM Tris–HCl pH 7.9, 500 mM NaCl, 10 mM 2-mercaptoethanol, 1 mM PMSE, 0.2% Tween 20) and sonicated. After centrifugation, the supernatant was subjected to gel filtration in the presence of 8 M urea on a TSK G6000PW column (external diameter 5 mm, length 60 cm, Tosoh, Tokyo, Japan) in buffer C [8 M urea, 20 mM Tris pH 7.2, 1 mM dithiothreitol (DTT), 2 mM EGTA]. Early fractions that contained the expressed fragments as assayed by SDS–PAGE, were pooled and subjected to anion exchange chromatography on Mono Q PC 1.6/5 (Pharmacia) in buffer C. Proteins were eluted in buffer C using a 0–0.9 M NaCl gradient. Integrity of the NuMA proteins was monitored by SDS–PAGE, were pooled and subjected to electron microscopy.

### Electron microscopy

The recombinant protein λ2a, purified by Mono-Q chromatography, was dialysed against 20 mM Tris–HCl pH 7.2, 200 mM NaCl, 1 mM DTT for at least 3 h at room temperature. Protein aliquots (50 μl) were placed on a nitrocellulose filter (0.025 μm, Millipore) floating on 50 ml buffer. The FL NuMA protein was renatured by dialysis against 20 mM Tris–HCl pH 7.2, with 1 mM DTT, 1 mM EGTA and different salt concentrations of 150 mM NaCl (physiological salt), 20 mM NaCl (low salt) or 500 mM NaCl (high salt) for at least 1 h at room temperature. Protein aliquots (50 μl) were placed on a nitrocellulose filter (0.025 μm, Millipore) floating on 50 ml buffer. The FL NuMA protein was renatured by dialysis against 20 mM Tris–HCl pH 7.2, with 1 mM DTT, 1 mM EGTA and different salt concentrations of 150 mM NaCl (physiological salt), 20 mM NaCl (low salt) or 500 mM NaCl (high salt) for at least 1 h at room temperature. Protein aliquots (50 μl) were placed on a nitrocellulose filter (0.025 μm, Millipore) floating on 50 ml buffer.

For the equilibrium assay, the purified FL construct was dialysed against either physiological salt buffer (25 mM Tris, 1 mM EGTA, 1 mM DTT, 1 mM PMSE, 1 mM MgCl2, 150 mM NaCl pH 7.6) or against high salt buffer (same composition except 500 mM NaCl and pH 7.1). Samples were dialysed for 4 h at room temperature in parallel against either physiological or against high salt buffer. Other samples were dialysed first against high salt buffer and then against physiological salt buffer or vice versa.

Glycerol was added to the protein solutions to a final concentration of 30%. Protein solutions were then sprayed on to freshly cleaved mica flakes, which were subsequently dried under vacuum. The specimens were rotary shadowed with tantalum/tungsten or platinum at an angle of 5° or at 9° using a modified Balzers apparatus. They were then carbon shadowed at 90°. Replicas were floated off onto a surface of distilled water and collected on copper grids (400 mesh, TAAB, Munich, Germany).

### Cell culture and transfection

Electron microscopy of cells overexpressing the particular NuMA constructs shown in Figure 6 used HeLa S36 cells grown as monolayers on glass coverslips. Cells were transiently transfected and 42 h later were extracted with microtubule stabilizing buffer (4 M glycerol, 100 mM PIPES pH 6.8, 1 mM EGTA, 5 mM MgCl2, 0.5% Triton X-100) for >3 min at room temperature and then fixed with glutaraldehyde and processed for electron microscopy (for details see Gueth-Hallonet et al., 1998).

### SDS gel electrophoresis and immunoblotting

SDS–PAGE was performed in 0.5 mm thick slab gels containing 10 or 5% acrylamide. For immunoblotting, polypeptides separated by SDS–PAGE were transferred electrophoretically onto nitrocellulose membranes. The transfer buffer contained 25 mM Tris, 190 mM glycine, 0.01% SDS and 20% methanol. The nitrocellulose strips were stained reversibly with Ponceau S. Blocking of the nitrocellulose membrane and subsequent immunological detection was as described previously (Harborth et al., 1995). Peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibodies were detected with 4-chloronaphthol.
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