Structure of a trimeric domain of the MHC class II-associated chaperonin and targeting protein li
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The invariant chain (Ii) plays a critical role in MHC class II antigen processing by stabilizing peptide-free class II αβ heterodimers in a nonameric (αβIi)3 complex soon after their synthesis and directing transport of the complex from the endoplasmic reticulum to compartments where peptide loading of class II takes place. Loading progresses following Ii proteolysis and via an intermediate complex of MHC class II with an Ii-derived peptide, CLIP. CLIP is substituted by exogenous peptidic fragments in an exchange reaction catalyzed by HLA-DM. The CLIP region of Ii, roughly residues 81–104, is one of two segments shown to interact with class II HLA-DR molecules. The other segment, Ii 118–216, is C-terminal to CLIP, mediates trimerization of the ectodomain of Ii and interferes with DM/class II binding. Here we report the trimerization of the ectodomain of Ii and interferes with DM/class II binding. Loading progresses following Ii proteolysis and via an intermediate complex of MHC class II with an Ii-derived peptide, CLIP. CLIP is substituted by exogenous peptidic fragments in an exchange reaction catalyzed by HLA-DM. The CLIP region of Ii, roughly residues 81–104, is one of two segments shown to interact with class II HLA-DR molecules. The other segment, Ii 118–216, is C-terminal to CLIP, mediates trimerization of the ectodomain of Ii and interferes with DM/class II binding. Here we report the trimerization of the ectodomain of Ii and interferes with DM/class II binding. Loading progresses following Ii proteolysis and via an intermediate complex of MHC class II with an Ii-derived peptide, CLIP. CLIP is substituted by exogenous peptidic fragments in an exchange reaction catalyzed by HLA-DM. The CLIP region of Ii, roughly residues 81–104, is one of two segments shown to interact with class II HLA-DR molecules. The other segment, Ii 118–216, is C-terminal to CLIP, mediates trimerization of the ectodomain of Ii and interferes with DM/class II binding. Here we report the trimerization of the ectodomain of Ii and interferes with DM/class II binding.

Results and discussion

The invariant chain (Ii) plays a critical role in MHC class II antigen processing by stabilizing peptide-free class II αβ heterodimers in a nonameric (αβIi)3 complex soon after their synthesis and directing transport of the complex from the endoplasmic reticulum to compartments where peptide loading of class II takes place. Loading progresses following Ii proteolysis and via an intermediate complex of MHC class II with an Ii-derived peptide, CLIP. CLIP is substituted by exogenous peptidic fragments in an exchange reaction catalyzed by HLA-DM. The CLIP region of Ii, roughly residues 81–104, is one of two segments shown to interact with class II HLA-DR molecules. The other segment, Ii 118–216, is C-terminal to CLIP, mediates trimerization of the ectodomain of Ii and interferes with DM/class II binding. Here we report the trimerization of the ectodomain of Ii and interferes with DM/class II binding.
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Fig. 1. (A) Organization of the p31 Ii polypeptide. Ii is a type II transmembrane protein. N-terminal residues 1–31 contain a cytoplasmic localization signal, residues 32–56 (TM) span the membrane, and residues 57–216 form the lumenal domain. The CLIP region (81–104) is required for efficient Ii–class II complex formation and contains the sequence of a peptide which binds in the class II-binding groove. Residues 118–192 mediate trimerization of the lumenal domain and are also involved in class II interactions. (B) Strips of the $^{15}$N-NOESY-HSQC spectra of Ii 118–192 showing inter- and intraprotomer NOEs of the W168 and W172 indole protons. Strips from the spectrum of uniformly $^2$H,$^{15}$N-labeled Ii 118–192 in H$_2$O (D) show $^{15}$NH–NH NOEs only. Strips from the spectrum of mixed trimers containing both $^2$H,$^{15}$N-labeled protomers and unlabeled protomers (H/D) show $^{15}$NH–NH NOEs and interprotomer $^{15}$NH–CH NOEs. Strips from the spectrum of non-deuterated $^{15}$N-labeled Ii 118–192 (H) show all inter- and intraprotomer NOEs involving $^{15}$N-bound protons. (C) Stereoview close-up of the structure of Ii 118–192 showing the proximity of the W168 rings of all three protomers near the 3-fold axis of symmetry and interprotomer contact between W172 and F175, as evidenced by the NOESY spectra of (B). (D) NOE-based interresidue distance restraints used in structural calculations. Green, red and black squares indicate intraprotomer, interprotomer and ambiguous constraints, respectively. Blue squares denote residue pairs for which both inter- and intraprotomer constraints were used.

The open fold of the Ii 118–192 protomer structure (Figure 2A) is evident in the distribution of (unambiguous) intraprotomer NOEs (green in Figure 1D), which are only found between residues near each other in the sequence and between antiparallel structural elements. Each protomer contains an N-terminal helix A from T122 to A133, connected by an AB strand (134–147) to a second shorter helix B from E148 to T156, a turn, and a longer helix C comprising residues 159–178 (Figure 2A). Helix A makes no contacts with the B and C helices in the same protomer, but B, C and the BC turn themselves form a compact elbow structure with an angle of 45° and extensive contacts among L150, L153, M157, W162, F165 and M169. The AB strand makes intraprotomer contacts at one end with helix A and at the other end with helix B, and contains four (trans) prolines (P135, P140, P141 and P147) which presumably favor its extended structure. The Ii 118–192 fold shows no significant homology to structures in the Protein Data Bank (Holm and Sander, 1995).

Y118 and G119 are partially disordered and show a number of weak NOEs inconsistent with a single conformation. N120 is a glycosylation site (Machamer and Cresswell, 1982), and absence of a polysaccharide in bacterially produced Ii 118–192, in addition to artificiality of the trypsin-generated N-terminus, may influence the conformation of 118–120. C-terminal residues 181–192 are disordered, judging from their narrow linewidths, random coil chemical shifts, and the absence of medium-
Fig. 2. Structure of Ii 118–192. (A) Cα trace of a single protomer of Ii 118–192 showing helix A, the AB strand, helix B, the BC turn and helix C. Disordered residues 118–119 and 181–192 are omitted. The gray vertical arrow denotes the axis of 3-fold symmetry. (B) Stereoview of a backbone overlay of 20 calculated structures of Ii 118–192 with no NOE-derived distance restraint violations greater than 0.5 Å. The red protomer is in the same orientation as the Cα trace of (A), and its N- and C-termini are labeled. Vertical arrows mark the symmetry axis. Residues 118–182 are shown; disorder of 118–119 and 181–182 is apparent. (C and D) MOLSCRIPT stereo representations of the minimized average structure of the ensemble in (B) (residues 120–180 shown). Side view (C) in the same orientation as in (A) and (B). Top view (D) with chain termini pointing away from the viewer. C helices from the three protomers form the core of the structure, with helices A and B surrounding them. Black triangles denote the 3-fold axis, and the termini of the red protomer are labeled.
Fig. 3. Sequence conservation in Ii. (a) Comparison of Ii sequences from human, mouse, rat and cow. Residues 118–192 are shaded, and helices A, B, and C are denoted by black tubes. The transmembrane and CLIP regions, and glycosylation sites at N114, N120 and S202 are marked. The p41 form of Ii contains a 64 residue insertion after K192 with cathepsin L inhibition activity and sequence homology to domains from other sources. (b–e) Mapping of sequence conservation to residues in Ii 118–192. A white to red scale correlates with more to less conserved positions. Backbone ‘worm’ (b) and surface (e) representations of a side view of Ii 118–192 showing a patch of conserved residues on the surface, which could correspond to a class II interaction interface and is derived mainly from the A and B helices, and the AB strand of two protomers (blue labels). Backbone ‘worm’ (d) and surface (e) representations of a top view of Ii 118–192. Residues involved in helix–helix packing are well conserved (white), and the most variable surface residues (red) face the viewer. Chain termini are on the opposite face of the molecule.

or long-range NOEs, except for weak signals possibly indicative of transient structure from S181 to Q184. Residues 186, 190 and 191 are prolines, and residues 187–189 and 192 can be shown by NMR to belong to the disordered C-terminus of a 54 kDa soluble Ii containing residues 72–216. This argues that disorder of C-terminal residues in Ii 118–192 is not an artifact of truncation; their flexibility could be important for accommodating
and guaranteeing accessibility of the 64 residue thiolprotease inhibitory domain inserted after K192 in Ii p41 (Koch et al., 1987; Bevec et al., 1996).

The general shape of the Ii 118–192 trimer (Figure 2B–D) is a short cylinder with a diameter of 40 Å and a height of 25 Å, discounting disordered residues. The N- and C-termini emerge, approximately evenly spaced, out of one face of the cylinder. The trimer architecture centers around packing of the C helices, which make extensive interprotomer contacts with each other involving residues 164–175. This explains earlier identification of the 163–183 segment as necessary for Ii trimerization (Bijlmakers et al., 1994; Gedde-Dahl et al., 1997). W168 side chains from the three trimers make edge-to-face contacts at the three C helices’ point of closest approach (Figure 1C), resulting in strong interprotomer intraresidue NOEs (Figure 1B) and ^1H//2H exchange protection of the indole H°. Considered in pairs, the C helices make angles of ~80° against one another, so that the three-helical bundle splays apart at both ends unlike a traditional coiled-coil. The splaying creates pits at both sides of the trimer, lined largely by hydrophilic side chains. Helices A and B form six ‘posts’ surrounding the core of C helices and almost parallel to the axis of symmetry (Figure 2D).

Ii sequences in the literature are 62% identical over their entire length and 55% identical in the 118–192 region (Figure 3a). Residues which form the hydrophobic core and interprotomer contacts are among those conserved across all species, including in the chicken Ii sequence (B.Bremnes, M.Rode, M.Gedde-Dahl, S.A.Ness and O.Bakke, submitted) which is only 44% identical to human Ii 118–192. These include T122, V126, L129 and L130 on helix A, Y139 and L142 on the AB strand, L150, L153 and K154 on helix B, and M157 and most of the hydrophobic residues on helix C (Figure 3a). Mapping of sequence variability to the surface of Ii 118–192 shows that the most variable regions are exposed towards the face of the trimer opposite the N- and C-termini (red in Figure 3b–e; top in c). These are at the end of the A helix (131–133), the beginning of the AB strand (134–137) and at the BC turn (156–160). By contrast, more conserved residues (white or light pink in Figure 3b–e) are present on lateral surfaces of the cylinder, closer to the domain’s termini, donated primarily by the A helix, the C-terminal half of the AB strand (140–146), and most of the B helix (148–154) (Figure 3c). Although some surface residues (e.g. P140) may be conserved for structural reasons, the asymmetric distribution of variable sites could also reflect evolutionary selection biases at a class II interaction interface.

Due to the relatively small size of the Ii trimerization domain (compared with the class II structure), and the likelihood that Ii and TSST-I class II-binding sites overlap (Karp et al., 1992; Romagnoli and Germain, 1994), three HLA-DR molecules forming a symmetric complex with Ii 118–192 would probably, for steric reasons, contact lateral sites on the Ii domain. Figure 4 presents a rough but plausible model of such a complex, taking Ii sequence conservation, Ii/enterotoxin class II-binding competition and geometric constraints into account. In intact Ii, the trimerization domain (118–192) is 17 amino acids away from CLIP residues (87–101) which occupy the class II groove in the HLA-DR3–CLIP crystal structure (Ghosh et al., 1995). Our model is therefore consistent with simultaneous interaction of the CLIP region with the class II peptide-binding site and binding of the trimerization domain to the DRα domain in intact (αβli)3 complexes (Roche et al., 1991).

The structure of Ii 118–192 will facilitate future investigation of Ii’s structure–function relationships in the context of antigen presentation in vivo. Because of the interdigitation of protomers in the structure, it is unlikely that a single polypeptide of Ii 118–192 could form a stable, ordered structure in the absence of trimeric contacts. Furthermore, mutations which disrupt trimerization of this domain would also disrupt class II interaction interfaces involving more than one protomer. Because of the proximity of the N- and C-termini in Ii 118–192, however, three consecutive end-to-end copies of the 118–192 sequence should be able to form the domain’s structure in a single chain Ii. Based on the structure, an engineered single chain Ii containing one copy of the CLIP region could span residues 1–117 followed by three repeats of 118–192 and then the 193–216 sequence, and could be mutated independently at any site, facilitating experiments to distinguish the importance of trimerization and interactions with class II to the function of Ii in vivo.

Materials and methods

Ii 118–192 purification and labeling

The soluble invariant chain molecule Ii h94–216, including an N-terminal hexahistidine tag and residues 94–216 of the intact protein, was expressed...
Table I. Structural statistics for Ii 118–192

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Interprotomer</th>
<th>Intraprotomer</th>
<th>Ambiguous</th>
<th>All</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Intraresidue</strong> (i = j)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>276</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sequential</strong> (i – j = 1)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>690</td>
<td>363</td>
<td>993</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Medium</strong> (1 &lt;</td>
<td>i – j</td>
<td>≤ 4)</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>663</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Long</strong> (i – j &gt; 4)</td>
<td>339</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>276</td>
<td>756</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>384</td>
<td>1680</td>
<td>987</td>
<td>3051</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>R.m.s. restraint violations</strong></td>
<td>0.072 ± 0.004</td>
<td>0.060 ± 0.001</td>
<td>0.091 ± 0.002</td>
<td>0.072 ± 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>No. of hydrogen bond restraints in trimer</strong></td>
<td>162</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Backbone atoms (A)</strong></td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>All heavy atoms (Å)</strong></td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Average t. m. s. deviations from ideal geometry</strong></td>
<td>0.0058 ± 0.0001</td>
<td>0.80 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.67 ± 0.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

in Escherichia coli strain XA90 and purified by elution from a nickel column followed by anion exchange chromatography, as described previously (Park et al., 1995). Liı 118–192 was produced by digestion of liı h94–216 with 50 μg/ml trypsin for ~12 h, followed by quenching and aprotinin or phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (PMSF) and anion exchange chromatography (Pharmacia). Isotopic labeling was achieved by growing liı h94–216–expressing bacteria in 1:1000 inoculated labeled M9 minimal medium cultures at 30°C, with induction at OD_{600} 0.1 by addition of 250 mg/l isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG). M9 media contained 1.2 g/l 13C_{6}-glucose for production of 13C-labeled samples, 15NH_{4}Cl for 15N-labeled samples, and D_{2}O instead of H_{2}O for partially (~70%) deuterated samples. Medium for producing 100% perdeuterated samples was also D_{2}O-based, but contained 1.2 g/l 2H_{2}-glycerol instead of glucose. Ten per cent 13C labeling was accomplished using medium containing 10% 13C-glucose and 90% 12C-glucose. Isotopes were purchased from Cambridge Isotope Labs and Aldrich Chemicals. Mixed liı 118–192 trimers containing 2H,15N-labeled protoners and unlabeled protoners were produced by mixing labeled and unlabeled protoners in 4 M urea and refolding by dilution. Mixed trimers containing one 13C-labeled protomer and two fully 2H-labeled protoners were produced (as described in more detail in Jasanoff, 1998) by mixing 2H-labeled liı h94–216 with 13C-labeled liı 118–192, purifying mixed trimers with only one 13C-labeled protomer by anion exchange, and cleaving with trypsin to generate trimers of liı 118–192. The efficiency of 2H-labeling was confirmed by NMR, and a non-denaturing polyacrylamide gel-based assay indicated that a non-equilibrium population of mixed liı 118–192 trimers is stable for many days.

NMR spectroscopy

Spectroscopic data were acquired at 25°C with 0.3–3.0 mM liı 118–192 in phosphate-buffered saline, pH 6.7, and 0.3 μM aprotinin. The 500 MHz Bruker DMX, Varian VXR and Unity Plus, 600 and 750 MHz Varian Unity INOVA spectrometers were used. Residue-specific backbone assignments were obtained from 500 MHz HNCA and HNCACB and HN(CO)CA spectra of 13C,15N-labeled liı 118–192, from 500 MHz HNCA, HN(CO)CA, HNCAB and HN(CO)CAB spectra of partially 2H-labeled and 13C,15N-labeled liı 118–192, and from 100 ms mixing time 1H-NOE-HSQC spectra of 15N-labeled (500 MHz) and 2H,15N-labeled (750 MHz) samples, all in 93% H_{2}O/7% D_{2}O. Side chain resonance assignments were obtained from 50 and 100 ms 1H-NOESY-HSQC, 1H-TOCSY-HSQC and HCH-TOCSY spectra at 500 MHz, and from 50 ms 13C-NOESY-HSQC spectra at 750 MHz. Stereospecific assignment of leucine and valine methyl groups was performed using the 600 MHz 2H-NOE-HSQC spectrum of 10% 13C-labeled liı 118–192. HN exchange kinetics were determined from 2H-NOE-HSQC spectra following suspension of lyophilized protonated protein in D_{2}O. Interprotomer-specific 2H–2H NOEs were recorded from a 750 MHz 2H-NMR-HSQC spectrum of the mixed liı 118–192 trimer containing 2H,13N-labeled and unlabeled protoners, in 93% H_{2}O/7% D_{2}O (Walters et al., 1997). Intraprotomer-specific NOEs were obtained from 750 MHz 13C-NOESY-HSQC spectra in 100% D_{2}O of the liı 118–192 trimer asymmetrically labeled with 13C in one protomer and 2H in the other two protoners (Jasanoff, 1998). NOE-derived distance constraints were extracted from the 50 ms 1H-NOESY-HSQC and 13C-NOESY-HSQC spectra, and from 100 ms NOESY-HSQC spectra of the 2H,15N-labeled samples.

Computational methods

Data were processed using Felix (Biosym), and displayed and integrated with XEASY (Xia and Bartels, 1994). Molecular structures were calculated from random starting conformations with 3-fold symmetry by the program X-PLOR, version 3.1 (Brüger, 1992), using a simulated annealing protocol (Nilges, 1993) modified for application to trimers. No dihedral or electrostatic energy terms were used. The following distance restraints were used, per trimer, in addition to symmetry-related constraints: 987 protomer-independent interatomic constraints derived from NOESY spectra of uniformly-labeled trimers, 1680 intraprotomer and 384 interprotomer constraints derived from mixed trimer NMR spectra and comparison of similar spectra of mixed and unmixed trimers, and 162 backbone hydrogen bond constraints (1.8–2.5 Å H–O and 2.5–3.3 Å N–O for each NH–O triad), included in later stages of the calculations. Protomer-independent constraints were satisfied if Σ_{p,q} r_{calc}^{p,q}/p, q > 3r_{cons} for each given pair of cross-relaxing proton and all (ordered) pairs of protoners p and q in the trimer, where r_{calc} was calculated from the model and r_{cons} was the constrained distance (Brüger, 1992; Nilges, 1993). Interprotomer constraints were satisfied if Σ_{p,q} r_{calc}^{p,q}/p, q > 3r_{cons} for p ≠ q, and intraprotomer constraints were satisfied only if Σ_{p,q} r_{calc}^{p,q}/p, q > 3r_{cons} for p = q. Of 80 structures calculated by simulated annealing, 35 had no distance violations greater than 0.5 Å, and 25 of these had φ and ψ angles only in allowed regions of the Ramachandran plot. Of these 25, four structures with the worst backbone geometry and one with the worst r.m.s. distance violation were eliminated, and the remaining 20 give rise to the figures and statistics we report (Table I). Quanta (Molecular Simulations), GRASP (Nicholls et al., 1991) and Molscript (Kraulis, 1991) were used for manipulation of atomic models and surfaces.
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